


2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

02M158
P.S. 158 Bayard Taylor

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	144	124	142
Grade 1	125	137	117
Grade 2	128	112	124
Grade 3	111	123	96
Grade 4	108	105	111
Grade 5	123	110	99
All students	775	747	761

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	4%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	11%	11%	11%
% Student with IEPs	19%	22%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	3%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	9%	7%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	2%
% Asian	10%	11%	11%
% Black	3%	4%	4%
% Hispanic	12%	11%	12%
% White	72%	70%	69%
% Other	0%	4%	4%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

02M158

P.S. 158 Bayard Taylor

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.85

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 Targets								
	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	287	3.49	2.42	2.75	2.93	3.15	3.39	4.99	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	287	78.4%	23.6%	38.5%	48.6%	60.1%	73.9%	4.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	194	73.0	48.6	57.2	64.7	69.9	82.4	4.25	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	67	84.0	54.2	63.1	70.9	76.4	89.3	4.59	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	85	4.04	1.04	1.70	2.24	2.62	3.52	4.99	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	293	3.74	2.54	2.94	3.16	3.41	3.71	4.99	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	293	87.0%	29.6%	46.8%	57.5%	69.7%	83.8%	4.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	194	78.0	42.5	53.2	62.4	68.9	84.2	4.59	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	68	76.5	50.8	60.6	69.1	75.0	89.1	4.11	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	90	3.78	0.89	1.82	2.56	3.07	4.35	4.55	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94	98.1%	86.4%	91.7%	94.0%	96.7%	100.0%	4.42	9.09%
Weighted Average Score								4.68	

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	10	3.5%	17.2%	20.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	38	13.2%	67.0%	57.9%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	11	3.8%	36.2%	54.5%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	10	3.4%	16.9%	50.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	38	13.0%	66.3%	73.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	11	3.8%	36.5%	81.8%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	4.6%	9.8%	55.6%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	13	6.7%	7.6%	69.2%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	5	2.6%	6.6%	80.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	46	23.7%	56.7%	58.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.18	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	4.6%	9.4%	77.8%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	6	3.1%	4.3%	66.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	1.0%	2.6%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	46	23.7%	57.6%	52.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.04	0.030	0.023
ELL Progress	29	4.2%	10.7%	86.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
CtAG Additional Points											0.17	
Overall Student Achievement Score											4.85	

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.32	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.76	

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.20	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	96%	4.32	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	91.7%	3.68	
HS			
Overall	91.7%	3.68	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.33	3.80	
HS			
Overall	0.33	3.80	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	80%	3.28	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	89%	3.64	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	89%	3.32	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	

2014-15 School Quality Reports
Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

02M158
P.S. 158 Bayard Taylor

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Rigorous Instruction							
• Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
• Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	92	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.74	3.96
Section Results:		96%					4.32
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.63	3.52
• Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	74	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.47	2.88
School commitment	Teachers	79	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.48	2.92
Innovation	Teachers	85	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.51	3.04
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	91	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.71	3.84
Focus on student learning	Teachers	82	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.45	2.80
Collective responsibility	Teachers	83	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.49	2.96
Section Results:		87%					3.20
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.71	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	91				0.71	3.84
• Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.93	4.72
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.68	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	92				0.68	3.72
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.87	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.77	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
• Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.82	4.28
Section Results:		96%					4.32

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.44	2.76
Teacher influence	Teachers	58	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.45	2.80
Program coherence	Teachers	89	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.72	3.88
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	86	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.65	3.60
Section Results:		80%					3.28
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	89	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.28	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	89				0.39	2.56
● Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	89	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.93	4.72
Section Results:		89%					3.64
Trust							
● Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.53	3.12
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	85	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.66	3.64
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	84	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.38	2.52
Section Results:		89%					3.32

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES Targets for 2015-16

02M158

P.S. 158 Bayard Taylor

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.49	3.09 or lower	3.10 to 3.19	3.20 to 3.27	3.28 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.98	2.48 or lower	2.49 to 2.60	2.61 to 2.70	2.71 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	78.4%	59.2% or lower	59.3% to 64.7%	64.8% to 68.9%	69.0% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.74	3.29 or lower	3.30 to 3.44	3.45 to 3.56	3.57 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	3.21	2.59 or lower	2.60 to 2.76	2.77 to 2.89	2.90 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	87.0%	65.6% or lower	65.7% to 72.8%	72.9% to 78.3%	78.4% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.1%	89.3% or lower	89.4% to 92.0%	92.1% to 94.0%	94.1% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.31	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	3.22	2.54 or lower	2.55 to 2.65	2.66 to 2.73	2.74 or higher
SETSS	3.19	2.40 or lower	2.41 to 2.52	2.53 to 2.62	2.63 or higher
ELL	3.37	2.59 or lower	2.60 to 2.72	2.73 to 2.83	2.84 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.22	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.72	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	3.46	2.79 or lower	2.80 to 2.96	2.97 to 3.09	3.10 or higher
SETSS	3.54	2.70 or lower	2.71 to 2.87	2.88 to 3.01	3.02 or higher
ELL	3.79	2.90 or lower	2.91 to 3.10	3.11 to 3.25	3.26 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.13	2.14 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
ELL Progress	86.2%	66.5% or lower	66.6% to 74.7%	74.8% to 80.9%	81.0% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	91.7%	81.7% or lower	81.8% to 86.4%	86.5% to 89.9%	90.0% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.33	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher