
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 272 Curtis Estabrook

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	101	92	71
Grade 1	91	104	95
Grade 2	82	75	89
Grade 3	78	66	66
Grade 4	101	76	67
Grade 5	105	95	63
All students	627	574	509

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	3%	2%
% Free Lunch Eligible	70%	70%	80%
% Student with IEPs	27%	26%	26%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	12%	14%
% HRA Eligible	-	67%	64%
% Temporary Housing	-	13%	19%
% Asian	1%	2%	2%
% Black	84%	82%	78%
% Hispanic	12%	13%	16%
% White	2%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	1%

18K272 P.S. 272 Curtis Estabrook

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.78

					2	014-15 Target:	5			
	Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
,	tudent Admevement Wethes	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
S	State Test Results - ELA									
0	Average Student Proficiency	184	2.16	1.98	2.19	2.31	2.46	2.73	1.86	9.09%
0	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	184	10.9%	2.9%	11.9%	18.0%	24.9%	36.6%	1.89	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	118	60.5	43.9	52.8	60.5	65.9	78.6	3.00	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	41	81.0	54.8	64.5	73.0	78.9	93.0	4.15	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	62	1.33	0.41	1.06	1.63	2.02	3.00	2.47	9.09%
	State Test Results - Math									
Ĭ	Average Student Proficiency	185	2.26	1.92	2.23	2.43	2.67	3.04	2.15	9.09%
		185	14.1%	0.0%	13.1%	22.5%	33.1%	48.9%	2.11	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4									
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	119	53.0	37.0	48.8	59.0	66.2	83.1	2.41	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	43	78.0	53.2	63.2	71.9	78.0	92.4	4.00	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	62	2.42	0.02	1.16	2.15	2.84	4.50	3.39	9.09%
0 1	MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	84	81.8%	78.4%	84.6%	88.9%	93.8%	100.0%	1.55	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.63

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4							-					
O Self-Contained	34	18.5%	91.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	15	8.2%	41.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	3	1.6%	15.2%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	35	18.9%	94.0%	11.4%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.77	0.030	0.028
O Integrated Co-Teaching	15	8.1%	41.3%	6.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.93	0.030	0.007
SETSS	3	1.6%	15.4%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.5%	5.3%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	67	56.8%	82.6%	50.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.43	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	31	26.3%	66.6%	58.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.11	0.030	0.023
• SC/ICT/SETSS	35	29.7%	73.9%	57.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.11	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.5%	5.1%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	65	54.6%	75.0%	40.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.73	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	27	22.7%	59.1%	51.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.92	0.030	0.022
SC/ICT/SETSS	36	30.3%	76.7%	38.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.86	0.030	0.014
ELL Progress	9	2.0%	5.1%	66.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.15
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	2.78

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 272 Curtis Estabrook

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
igorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	84%	2.20	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.36	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	80%	2.28	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	87%	2.92	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	58.1%	1.48	
HS			
Overall	58.1%	1.48	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS HS	0.06	1.43	
Overall	0.06	1.43	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.56	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	72%	2.56	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.56	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	81%	2.24	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.24	
NVC School Survey Truct	020/	2.44	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	83%	2.44	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.44	

18K272
stabrook
Pct
,
,)
, •

P.S. 272 Curtis Estabrook

Survey Rosithw Survey Rosithw Suttom of Range New Row Rosithw Surfer Range Survey Rosithw Surfer Range Survey Rosithw Surfer Range Survey Rosithw Surfer Range Surfer Range Survey Rosithw Surfer Range S				City Range					
Common Cores shifts in literarcy Teachers 89 86.4 94.8 100.0 0.25 2.00			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range		Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Common Cores shifts in math	Rigorous Instruction								
Course clarity Students 73 64.7 85.8 100.0 0.15 1.60 1	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	89	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00	
Coulsty of student discussion Teachers 73 68.7 85.3 100.0 0.15 1.00	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	91	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Section Results: 84% Section Results: Secti	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Collaborative Teachers	 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	73	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.15	1.60	
Cultural awareness: Teachers 91 85.4 95.0 100.0 0.50 Cultural awareness Parents 91 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.50 Cultural awareness Students 68.6 87.4 100.0	Section Results:		84%					2.20	
Cultural awareness: Teachers 91 85.4 95.0 100.0 0.50 Cultural awareness Parents 91 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.50 Cultural awareness Students 68.6 87.4 100.0	Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness									
Cultural awareness		Teachers	91	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50		
Cultural awareness									
Cultural awareness			31				0.50		
Michaive classroom instruction Teachers 91 84.2 94.6 100.0 0.50 3.00 Quality of professional development Teachers 78 51.4 77.4 100.0 0.54 3.16 School commitment Teachers 74 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.35 2.40 Olinovation Teachers 69 70.3 86.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Reflective dialogue Teachers 90 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.50 3.00 Ole Peer collaboration Teachers 83 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.23 1.92 Focus on student learning Teachers 78 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.30 2.20 Collective esponsibility Teachers 80 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.03 2.20 Collective esponsibility Teachers 80 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Section Results: Safety Teachers 93 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67 Safety Students Teachers 93 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67 Safety Students Teachers 84 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.52 Classroom behavior Teachers 84 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.52 Classroom behavior Teachers 84 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.52 Classroom behavior Teachers 90 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.52 Classroom behavior Teachers 90 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.50 3.00 Ole Teachers 90 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.50 3.00 Ole Teachers 90 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.50 3.00 Ole Teachers 90 89.0 96.8 100.0 0.50 3.00 Ole Teachers 90 89.0 96.5 91.5 100.0 0.50 3.00 Ole Teachers 90 89.0 96.5 91.5 100.0 0.50 3.00 Ole Teache			91	00.0	٥٦.٦	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Quality of professional development Teachers 78 51.4 77.4 100.0 0.54 3.16 School commitment Teachers 74 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.35 2.40 O Innovation Teachers 69 70.3 86.7 100.0 0.05 3.00 Reflective dialogue Teachers 90 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.50 3.00 O Peer collaboration Teachers 78 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.30 2.20 O Collective responsibility Teachers 78 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.30 2.20 O Collective responsibility Teachers 78 66 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Section Results: Survive Environment				8/1 2	94.6	100.0			
School commitment Teachers 74 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.35 2.40									
o Innovation Teachers 69 70.3 86.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Reliective dialogue Teachers 90 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.50 3.00 O Peer collaboration Teachers 83 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.23 1.92 Focus on student learning Teachers 66 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.30 2.20 Collective responsibility Teachers 66 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.30 2.20 Sceltor Results: Supportive Environment Safety Teachers 93 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67 3.68 Safety Sudents 74.5 88.5 100.0 0.67 3.68 Classroom behavior Teachers 84 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.52 3.08 Classroom behavior Teachers 90 89.0 85.0 100.0 0.50 3.00	, ,								
Reflective dialogue Teachers 90 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.50 3.00 o Peer collaboration Teachers 83 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.23 1.92 Focus on student learning Teachers 66 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Section Results: 80% 80.7 84.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Section Results: 80% 80.7 84.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Section Results: 80% 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67 2.88 Safety 100.0 100.0 0.67 3.68 2.00 94.6 100.0 0.67 3.68 2.00 3.68 2.00 3.68 6.00 9.6 100.0 0.52 9.0 3.68 6.69 85.5 100.0 0.52 9.0 3.08 9.0 6.6 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 3.00 9.0 9.0 9.0									
o Peer collaboration Teachers 83 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.23 1.92 Focus on student learning Teachers 78 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.30 2.20 Collective responsibility Teachers 66 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Section Results: ***********************************									
Focus on student learning	-								
o Collective responsibility Teachers 66 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Section Results: 80% 5.7 84.7 100.0 0.00 1.00 Supportive Environment Safety: Safety Sudents 93 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67 Section Sect									
Supportive Environment	_								
Supportive Environment Safety Safety Teachers 93 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67 Safety Students 74.5 88.5 100.0 Safety Combined 93	·	reachers		05.7	84.7	100.0	0.00		
Safety Students 74.5 88.5 100.0 Safety Combined 93 0.67 3.68 Classroom behavior Classroom behavior 84 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.52 Classroom behavior Students 67.3 84.3 100.0 <	Supportive Environment Safety:								
Safety Combined 93	Safety	Teachers	93	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.67		
Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Teachers 84 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.52 Classroom behavior Students 67.3 84.3 100.0	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Safety	Combined	93				0.67	3.68	
Classroom behavior Students 67.3 84.3 100.0 Classroom behavior Combined 84 0.52 3.08 Social-emotional measure Teachers 90 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.50 3.00 Peer interactions Students 68.2 84.8 100.0 <t< td=""><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	·								
Classroom behavior Students 67.3 84.3 100.0 Classroom behavior Combined 84	Classroom behavior	Teachers	84	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.52		
Classroom behavior Combined 84 0.52 3.08 Social-emotional measure Teachers 90 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.50 3.00 Peer interactions Students 68.2 84.8 100.0 5.00 1.00	Classroom behavior	Students							
Social-emotional measure Teachers 90 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.50 3.00 Peer interactions Students 68.2 84.8 100.0	Classroom behavior	Combined	84				0.52	3.08	
Peer interactionsStudents68.284.8100.0Next-level guidanceStudentsPress toward academic achievement:Press toward academic achievementTeachers8075.088.8100.00.18Press toward academic achievementStudents85.391.998.5Personal attention and supportStudents77.889.6100.0Peer support for academic work:77.889.6100.0Peer support for academic workTeachers9076.591.5100.00.57Peer support for academic workParents9088.494.8100.00.50Peer support for academic workStudents50.473.897.2Peer support for academic workCombined9073.897.2	Social-emotional measure			89.0	96.6	100.0			
Next-level guidance Students Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Teachers 80 75.0 88.8 100.0 0.18 Press toward academic achievement Students 85.3 91.9 98.5 Press toward academic achievement Combined 80 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 90 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.57 Peer support for academic work Parents 90 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 90									
Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Teachers 80 75.0 88.8 100.0 0.18 Press toward academic achievement Students 85.3 91.9 98.5 Press toward academic achievement Combined 80 1.72 Personal attention and support Students 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 90 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.57 Peer support for academic work Parents 90 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 90 5.16									
Press toward academic achievement Teachers 80 75.0 88.8 100.0 0.18 Press toward academic achievement Students 85.3 91.9 98.5 Press toward academic achievement Combined 80 0.18 1.72 Personal attention and support Students 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 90 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.57 Peer support for academic work Parents 90 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 90 1.8	-								
Press toward academic achievement Students 85.3 91.9 98.5 O Press toward academic achievement Combined 80 0.1.72 Personal attention and support Students 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 90 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.57 Peer support for academic work Parents 90 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 90 5.54 3.16		t Teachers	80	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.18		
Personal attention and support Students 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 90 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.57 Peer support for academic work Parents 90 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 90 5.54 3.16									
Peer support for academic work Parents Peer support for academic work Students Students Take 89.6 91.5 91.5 91.5 94.8 94.8 94.8 95.2 Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work O.50 3.16			80				0.18	1.72	
Peer support for academic work:Peer support for academic workTeachers9076.591.5100.00.57Peer support for academic workParents9088.494.8100.00.50Peer support for academic workStudents50.473.897.2Peer support for academic workCombined900.543.16				77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic workTeachers9076.591.5100.00.57Peer support for academic workParents9088.494.8100.00.50Peer support for academic workStudents50.473.897.2Peer support for academic workCombined900.543.16	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Peer support for academic workParents9088.494.8100.00.50Peer support for academic workStudents50.473.897.2Peer support for academic workCombined900.543.16	* *	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.57		
Peer support for academic workStudents50.473.897.2Peer support for academic workCombined900.543.16									
Peer support for academic work Combined 90 0.54 3.16									
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		90				0.54	3.16	
	Section Results:	20	87%				0.0	2.92	

P.S. 272 Curtis Estabrook

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.55	3.20
Teacher influence	Teachers	46	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.27	2.08
Program coherence	Teachers	71	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.28	2.12
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	79	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.46	2.84
Section Results:		72%					2.56
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	87	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	89	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	88				0.25	2.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.36	2.44
Section Results:		81%					2.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	91	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	75	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.42	2.68
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	74	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.01	1.04
Section Results:		83%					2.44

18K272

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.16	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.36	2.37 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.9%	11.7% or lower	11.8% to 17.2%	17.3% to 21.4%	21.5% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.26	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.46	2.47 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.94	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.1%	13.2% or lower	13.3% to 20.4%	20.5% to 25.9%	26.0% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	81.8%	83.9% or lower	84.0% to 88.0%	88.1% to 91.1%	91.2% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.82	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.90	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
SETSS		1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
ELL		2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.26	2.27 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.12	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.04	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
SETSS		1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
ELL		2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.52	2.53 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	66.7%	41.1% or lower	41.2% to 51.1%	51.2% to 58.6%	58.7% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	58.1%	56.9% or lower	57.0% to 63.7%	63.8% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.06	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher			