
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 147 Isaac Remsen

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	39	50	45
Grade 1	36	47	54
Grade 2	37	44	43
Grade 3	39	33	30
Grade 4	37	56	30
Grade 5	24	38	42
All students	248	313	287

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	10%	8%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	90%	90%	91%
% Student with IEPs	19%	20%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	10%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	77%	72%
% Temporary Housing	-	19%	20%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	37%	34%	29%
% Hispanic	61%	63%	67%
% White	2%	3%	3%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

14K147 P.S. 147 Isaac Remsen

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.28

				2	2014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	101	2.27	1.95	2.15	2.28	2.42	2.70	2.92	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	101	15.8%	1.2%	9.9%	16.1%	23.1%	35.0%	2.95	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	71	45.0	44.8	53.1	60.4	65.5	77.6	1.02	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	24	59.0	58.3	66.6	73.8	78.9	90.8	1.08	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	30	2.19	0.31	0.96	1.52	1.92	2.90	4.28	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	99	2.38	1.95	2.21	2.38	2.57	2.92	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	99	20.2%	1.4%	12.5%	20.4%	29.3%	44.3%	2.97	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	69	66.0	36.1	47.9	58.1	65.3	82.2	4.04	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	28	75.0	53.7	63.5	72.0	78.0	92.1	3.50	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	30	3.61	0.23	1.17	1.98	2.55	3.99	4.74	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	37	90.2%	72.5%	80.3%	85.8%	92.1%	100.0%	3.70	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.11

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	13	12.9%	63.5%	7.7%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	7	6.9%	35.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	8	7.9%	75.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	13	13.1%	65.2%	23.1%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	7	7.1%	36.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
o SETSS	8	8.1%	77.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile ELL	8	11.3%	24.1%	37.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	25	35.2%	50.3%	40.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.14	0.030	0.009
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	19.7%	49.9%	42.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.69	0.030	0.013
o SC/ICT/SETSS	19	26.8%	65.6%	31.6%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.74	0.030	0.006
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	11.6%	23.8%	75.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	34	49.3%	67.7%	64.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.49	0.030	0.026
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	16	23.2%	60.4%	75.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.83	0.030	0.029
• SC/ICT/SETSS	19	27.5%	68.6%	63.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.48	0.030	0.026
O ELL Progress	25	10.3%	26.1%	36.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.33	0.030	0.002
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.17
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.28

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

14K147

P.S. 147 Isaac Remsen

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.08	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	98%	4.76	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.88	
portive Environment	Well Developed	4.00	200/
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.20	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	70.5%	3.28	
HS	70.370	3.40	
Overall	70.5%	3.28	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	70.370	3.20	30/6
environments			
EMS	0.13	1.93	
HS	3.10		
	0.13	1.93	5%
Overall	0.13		
	0.13		
Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target ective School Leadership	Section Score:	4.04	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target			100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target ective School Leadership	Section Score:	4.04	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score: 94%	4.04 4.60	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target ong Family-Community Ties	Section Score: 94% Section Score:	4.04 4.60 4.60	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score: 94%	4.04 4.60	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target ong Family-Community Ties	Section Score: 94% Section Score:	4.04 4.60 4.60	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	Section Score: 94% Section Score:	4.04 4.60 4.60 4.04	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Cotive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score: 94% Section Score: 89% Section Score:	4.04 4.60 4.60 4.04	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Cotive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score: 94% Section Score:	4.04 4.60 4.60 4.04	



P.S. 147 Isaac Remsen

			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigor	ous Instruction							
• C	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
• C	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	99	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.91	4.64
C	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Q	uality of student discussion	Teachers	89	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.65	3.60
	n Results:		94%					4.08
Collab	oorative Teachers							
	ultural awareness:							
•	Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	98	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.85	
	Cultural awareness	Students	30	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.03	
•	Cultural awareness	Combined	99	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.93	4.72
_	iclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.91	4.72
	uality of professional development	Teachers	92	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.84	4.36
	chool commitment	Teachers	96	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.91	4.64
	inovation	Teachers	98	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.93	4.72
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
	eer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.95	4.80
	ocus on student learning	Teachers	100	68.2	89.0	100.0	1.00	4.80
	ollective responsibility	Teachers	100	65.7	84.7	100.0	1.00	4.99
	n Results:	reactiers	98%	05.7	04.7	100.0	1.00	4.99 4.76
	ortive Environment afety:							
	Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.82	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	96				0.82	4.28
Cl	lassroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	93	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.77	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
•	Classroom behavior	Combined	93				0.77	4.08
	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
	eer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	ext-level guidance	Students						
Pi	ress toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	93	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.70	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	93				0.70	3.80
	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
P	eer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	91	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.63	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.77	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	94				0.70	3.80
Sectio	n Results:		95%					4.20

P.S. 147 Isaac Remsen

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
·	Doronto	96	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.81	4.24
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents				100.0		
Teacher influence	Teachers	85	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.88	4.52
Program coherence	Teachers	97	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.92	4.68
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	99	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.98	4.92
Section Results:		94%					4.60
Characteristic Community Ties							
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	97	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.86	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	99				0.93	4.72
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	79	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		89%					4.04
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	98	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.76	4.04
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.77	4.08
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.96	4.84
Section Results:		98%					4.48

14K147

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 147 Isaac Remsen

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

2.27 1.97 15.8%	Not Meeting Target 2.23 or lower 1.84 or lower	Approaching Target 2.24 to 2.33	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
1.97		2.24 to 2.33	2 24 +0 2 41	
1.97		2.24 to 2.33	2 24 + 2 2 41	
	1.84 or lower		2.34 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
15.8%		1.85 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
	12.6% or lower	12.7% to 18.1%	18.2% to 22.3%	22.4% or higher
2.38	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.56	2.57 or higher
1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
20.2%	17.3% or lower	17.4% to 24.6%	24.7% to 30.1%	30.2% or higher
90.2%	84.1% or lower	84.2% to 88.1%	88.2% to 91.2%	91.3% or higher
2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
1.89	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 or higher
1.89	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
2.06	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
2.15	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.35	2.36 or higher
1.98	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
2.02	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
2.29	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
1.84	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
2.30	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
1.99	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.52	2.53 or higher
2.06	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
1.95	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
36.0%	45.9% or lower	46.0% to 55.9%	56.0% to 63.4%	63.5% or higher
	1.93 20.2% 90.2% 2014-15 School Value 1.89 1.89 2.06 2.15 1.98 2.02 2.29 1.84 2.30 1.99 2.06 1.95	1.93 1.79 or lower 20.2% 17.3% or lower 90.2% 84.1% or lower 2014-15 School Value Not Meeting Target 1.89 1.75 or lower 1.89 1.90 or lower 2.06 1.88 or lower 2.15 2.11 or lower 1.98 1.90 or lower 1.98 1.90 or lower 2.02 1.87 or lower 2.02 1.87 or lower 1.84 1.91 or lower 1.84 1.91 or lower 2.30 1.87 or lower 1.99 2.18 or lower 2.06 1.86 or lower 1.95 1.83 or lower	1.93 1.79 or lower 1.80 to 1.93 20.2% 17.3% or lower 17.4% to 24.6% 90.2% 84.1% or lower 84.2% to 88.1% 2015-16 To 2015-16 To 2014-15 School Value Not Meeting Target Approaching Target 1.89 1.75 or lower 1.76 to 1.84 1.89 1.90 or lower 1.91 to 2.01 2.06 1.88 or lower 1.89 to 2.00 2.15 2.11 or lower 2.12 to 2.25 1.98 1.90 or lower 1.91 to 1.97 2.02 1.87 or lower 1.88 to 1.94 2.29 1.83 or lower 1.84 to 1.97 1.84 1.91 or lower 1.92 to 2.08 2.30 1.87 or lower 1.88 to 2.05 1.99 2.18 or lower 2.19 to 2.38 2.06 1.86 or lower 1.87 to 1.94 1.95 1.83 or lower 1.84 to 1.92	1.93 1.79 or lower 1.80 to 1.93 1.94 to 2.06 20.2% 17.3% or lower 17.4% to 24.6% 24.7% to 30.1% 90.2% 84.1% or lower 84.2% to 88.1% 88.2% to 91.2% 2014-15 2015-16 Targets Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meeting Target 1.89 1.75 or lower 1.76 to 1.84 1.85 to 1.90 1.89 1.90 or lower 1.91 to 2.01 2.02 to 2.09 2.06 1.88 or lower 1.89 to 2.00 2.01 to 2.09 2.15 2.11 or lower 2.12 to 2.25 2.26 to 2.35 1.98 1.90 or lower 1.91 to 1.97 1.98 to 2.02 2.02 1.87 or lower 1.88 to 1.94 1.95 to 2.00 2.29 1.83 or lower 1.84 to 1.97 1.98 to 2.07 1.84 1.91 or lower 1.92 to 2.08 2.09 to 2.21 2.30 1.87 or lower 1.88 to 2.05 2.06 to 2.18 1.99 2.18 or lower 2.19 to 2.38 2.39 to 2.52 2.06 1.86 or lower 1.87 to 1.94 1.95 to 2.00 <t< td=""></t<>

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	70.5%	63.8% or lower	63.9% to 70.7%	70.8% to 75.8%	75.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.13	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.32	0.33 or higher			