
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	35	29	34
Grade 1	41	35	31
Grade 2	36	39	33
Grade 3	48	47	41
Grade 4	47	39	35
Grade 5	36	44	43
All students	261	251	235

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	9%	8%
% Free Lunch Eligible	83%	83%	90%
% Student with IEPs	28%	33%	33%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	14%	18%	18%
% HRA Eligible	-	75%	72%
% Temporary Housing	-	24%	30%
% Asian	5%	2%	2%
% Black	60%	55%	52%
% Hispanic	32%	40%	41%
% White	0%	0%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

05M133 P.S. 133 Fred R Moore

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.52

			2014-15 Targets							
S	tudent Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
S	tate Test Results - ELA									
	Average Student Proficiency	113	2.21	1.92	2.10	2.22	2.36	2.64	2.92	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	113	14.2%	0.1%	7.8%	13.4%	19.8%	31.8%	3.13	9.09%
,	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	70	81.5	45.7	55.1	63.2	68.8	82.3	4.94	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	24	89.5	61.9	70.3	77.6	82.7	94.7	4.57	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	40	1.06	0.11	0.85	1.50	1.95	3.04	2.32	9.09%
S	tate Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	113	2.19	1.89	2.12	2.28	2.46	2.82	2.44	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	113	12.4%	0.0%	9.1%	15.7%	23.2%	38.2%	2.50	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	68	58.5	35.8	47.9	58.3	65.6	83.0	3.03	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	25	77.0	55.3	65.2	73.8	79.8	94.1	3.53	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	40	1.91	0.02	1.01	1.87	2.48	3.98	3.07	9.09%
٨	1S Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	43	88.5%	72.6%	80.4%	85.9%	92.2%	100.0%	3.41	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.26

						2014-15 Targets						
		2044 45 6 1 1	5 1 0/	2014.45	5 (5. 5
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4					-	-		-				
Self-Contained	19	16.8%	82.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	15.0%	76.1%	5.9%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.79	0.030	0.013
SETSS	5	4.4%	41.9%	20.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	19	16.8%	83.6%	5.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.05	0.030	0.015
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	15.0%	76.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	5	4.4%	42.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	12.9%	27.6%	77.8%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Lowest Third Citywide	39	55.7%	81.0%	71.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.86	0.030	0.029
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	30.0%	75.9%	76.2%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.93	0.030	0.030
SC/ICT/SETSS	26	37.1%	95.1%	73.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.81	0.030	0.029
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	13.2%	27.1%	55.6%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.43	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	42	61.8%	84.9%	40.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.78	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	18	26.5%	69.0%	55.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.11	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	25	36.8%	95.4%	56.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.19	0.030	0.024
ELL Progress	17	7.9%	20.1%	52.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.26
									Overs	ll Student Achie	voment Scare	3.52

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 133 Fred R Moore

05M133

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	25%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	25%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	25%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	84%	3.00	25%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.24	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	67%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	73%	1.72	33%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	76%	1.68	25%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	7070	1.00	2370
EMS	66.1%	2.80	
HS	00.270		
Overall	66.1%	2.80	35%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.03	1.21	
HS			
Overall	0.03	1.21	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.64	
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	47%	1.32	100%
The School Survey Effective School Leadership	4770	1.32	10070
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.32	
ng Family-Community Ties			
ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	76%	1.76	100%
	76% Section Score:	1.76 1.76	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Not Meeting Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Not Meeting Target St	Section Score:	1.76	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Not Meeting Target			100%



P.S. 133 Fred R Moore

				City Range				
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigoro	us Instruction							
Co	mmon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	91	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
• Co	mmon Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Co	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	iality of student discussion	Teachers	60	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
	Results:		84%					3.00
Collab	orative Teachers							
	Itural awareness:							
Cu	Cultural awareness	Teachers	86	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.25	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	90	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students	30	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	88	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.38	2.52
Inc	clusive classroom instruction	Teachers	86	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.25	2.00
	uality of professional development	Teachers	50	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
	hool commitment	Teachers	58	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
	novation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.56	3.24
		Teachers	84	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.00	1.00
	flective dialogue er collaboration			77.6				
_		Teachers	78		92.2	100.0	0.01	1.04
	cus on student learning	Teachers	47	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
	Illective responsibility Results:	Teachers	81 73%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.43	2.72 1.72
	rtive Environment fety:							
	Safety	Teachers	70	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
0	Safety	Combined	70				0.00	1.00
Cla	assroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	70	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.09	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
0	Classroom behavior	Combined	70				0.09	1.36
So	cial-emotional measure	Teachers	93	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Pe	er interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Ne	ext-level guidance	Students						
Pre	ess toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	61	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	61				0.00	1.00
Pe	rsonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
	er support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	78	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.06	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	85				0.28	2.12
	n Results:		76%					1.68

P.S. 133 Fred R Moore

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	86	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.31	2.24
Teacher influence	Teachers	29	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.00	1.00
 Program coherence 	Teachers	44	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	29	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		47%					1.32
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	75	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	82	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.00	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	78				0.00	1.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.38	2.52
Section Results:		76%					1.76
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	86	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.25	2.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	88	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.32	2.28
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	46	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	89	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.58	3.32
Section Results:		77%					2.16

05M133

P.S. 133 Fred R Moore

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2014-15 2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.21	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.25	2.26 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.2%	7.2% or lower	7.3% to 11.4%	11.5% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.19	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	12.4%	8.8% or lower	8.9% to 14.0%	14.1% to 17.9%	18.0% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	88.5%	85.1% or lower	85.2% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.7%	91.8% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.77	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
SETSS	2.24	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
ELL	2.05	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.90	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.90	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.19	2.20 or higher		
SETSS	2.18	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.16	2.17 or higher		
ELL	2.25	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.38	2.39 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	52.9%	40.3% or lower	40.4% to 50.3%	50.4% to 57.8%	57.9% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	66.1%	57.5% or lower	57.6% to 64.3%	64.4% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.03	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.21	0.22 or higher			