Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 212 Midtown West

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	56	56	56
Grade 1	64	55	56
Grade 2	58	62	57
Grade 3	57	58	60
Grade 4	57	56	58
Grade 5	56	56	56
All students	348	343	361

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	4%	3%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	19%	19%	20%
% Student with IEPs	17%	17%	14%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	1%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	13%	10%
% Temporary Housing	-	2%	2%
% Asian	10%	10%	8%
% Black	9%	7%	7%
% Hispanic	22%	22%	22%
% White	50%	49%	50%
% Other	0%	12%	13%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

02M212 P.S. 212 Midtown West

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.46

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	174	3.14	2.51	2.83	3.00	3.19	3.39	3.74	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	174	59.2%	29.3%	43.8%	52.7%	62.8%	74.1%	3.64	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	111	67.0	50.8	58.9	66.0	70.9	82.7	3.20	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	39	67.0	54.7	63.5	71.1	76.5	89.2	2.46	9.09%
O Early Grade Progress	60	1.95	1.35	1.96	2.39	2.69	3.42	1.98	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	174	3.45	2.64	3.04	3.23	3.46	3.71	3.96	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	174	70.7%	34.4%	51.4%	60.9%	71.7%	83.7%	3.91	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	111	64.0	44.3	54.7	63.5	69.7	84.3	3.08	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	38	67.0	50.7	60.4	68.9	74.8	89.0	2.78	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	60	2.95	1.24	2.08	2.65	3.04	4.13	3.77	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	50	98.6%	85.7%	91.0%	93.5%	96.4%	100.0%	4.61	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.38

						2	014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	2014-15 School Population % Population % of Range	6 2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Extra Points Metric Score Possible	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	7	4.0%	19.7%	14.3%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	2.3%	11.7%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	14	8.0%	76.2%	21.4%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	7	4.0%	19.9%	42.9%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	2.3%	11.7%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	14	8.0%	76.9%	28.6%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	3.6%	7.7%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	5	4.5%	4.3%	40.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	1.8%	4.6%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	14	12.6%	24.9%	42.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	3.6%	7.4%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	5	4.5%	6.2%	80.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1	0.9%	2.3%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	14	12.6%	25.6%	50.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.88	0.030	0.022
ELL Progress	9	2.6%	6.6%	88.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.08
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.46

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 212 Midtown West

02M212

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.88	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	98%	4.76	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.88	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.48	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	95.0%	4.16	
HS			
Overall	95.0%	4.16	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.88	4.99	
HS Overall	0.88	4.99	5%
			370
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.56	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	97%	4.80	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.80	
Section Rating. Exceeding range:	Section Score.	4.00	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	97%	4.96	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.96	
ust NVC Salacad Salacad Tanak	000/	4.50	40001
NYC School Survey - Trust	99%	4.68	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.68	



P.S. 212 Midtown West

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction		,	•			•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	89	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.66	3.64
ection Results:		93%					3.88
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	98	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.90	
Cultural awareness	Students	90	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.90	
		00	08.0	67.4	100.0	0.05	4.00
	Combined	99	04.3	04.6	100.0	0.95	4.80
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.94	4.76
Quality of professional development	Teachers	88	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.76	4.04
School commitment	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
• Innovation	Teachers	96	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.87	4.48
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Focus on student learning	Teachers	99	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.98	4.92
Collective responsibility ection Results:	Teachers	99 98%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.97	4.88 4.76
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	97	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.90	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	97				0.90	4.60
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students	200	68.2	84.8	100.0	2.00	
	Staatito						
	Students		00.2	04.0			
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	04.0			
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:		90				0.58	
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	90	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.58	
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students						2 27
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined	90 90	75.0 85.3	88.8 91.9	100.0 98.5	0.58 0.58	3.32
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support	Teachers Students		75.0	88.8	100.0		3.32
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Teachers Students Combined Students	90	75.0 85.3 77.8	88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 98.5 100.0	0.58	3.32
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	90 98	75.0 85.3 77.8 76.5	88.8 91.9 89.6 91.5	100.0 98.5 100.0	0.58 0.89	3.32
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers Parents	90	75.0 85.3 77.8 76.5 88.4	88.8 91.9 89.6 91.5 94.8	100.0 98.5 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.58	3.32
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	90 98	75.0 85.3 77.8 76.5	88.8 91.9 89.6 91.5	100.0 98.5 100.0	0.58 0.89	3.32 4.52

P.S. 212 Midtown West

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	97	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.87	4.48
Teacher influence	Teachers	94	28.8	60.8	92.8	1.00	4.99
Program coherence	Teachers	99	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.97	4.88
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	98	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
Section Results:		97%					4.80
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	98	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.93	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	99				0.97	4.88
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	94	62.4	76.6	90.8	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		97%					4.96
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	99	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.88	4.52
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	98	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		99%					4.68

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.14	3.09 or lower	3.10 to 3.19	3.20 to 3.27	3.28 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.80	2.40 or lower	2.41 to 2.52	2.53 to 2.61	2.62 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	59.2%	57.7% or lower	57.8% to 63.2%	63.3% to 67.3%	67.4% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.45	3.28 or lower	3.29 to 3.43	3.44 to 3.55	3.56 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	3.05	2.50 or lower	2.51 to 2.67	2.68 to 2.80	2.81 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	70.7%	65.2% or lower	65.3% to 72.5%	72.6% to 77.9%	78.0% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.6%	88.7% or lower	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% to 93.7%	93.8% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.01	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.29	2.30 or higher		
SETSS	2.55	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
ELL	2.51	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.69	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.66	2.67 or higher		
SETSS	2.72	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.48	2.49 to 2.62	2.63 or higher		
ELL	2.82	2.44 or lower	2.45 to 2.64	2.65 to 2.79	2.80 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.20	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
ELL Progress	88.9%	55.1% or lower	55.2% to 65.1%	65.2% to 72.6%	72.7% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	95.0%	82.2% or lower	82.3% to 86.7%	86.8% to 90.1%	90.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.88	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			