## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching	Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Approaching Target			2.84	L	
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target					4.00
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target			2.68		
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.36			
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target			2.64		
Trust	Approaching Target			2.60		
Student Achievement	Meeting Target				3.15	
		1	2	3		4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	132	112	114
Grade 1	111	137	109
Grade 2	133	105	101
Grade 3	97	123	97
Grade 4	110	99	110
Grade 5	82	97	87
All students	719	727	672

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	12%	11%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	92%	92%	93%
% Student with IEPs	17%	15%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	7%	5%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	78%	75%
% Temporary Housing	-	14%	11%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	44%	46%	45%
% Hispanic	53%	51%	51%
% White	2%	2%	3%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

12X134 P.S. 134 George F. Bristow

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.15									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		281	2.33	1.85	2.17	2.40	2.66	3.00	2.70	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		281	18.9%	0.0%	12.7%	21.8%	32.2%	45.8%	2.68	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		189	60.0	45.3	53.9	61.3	66.5	78.8	2.82	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Th	hird	67	75.0	57.8	66.2	73.5	78.6	90.8	3.29	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		91	1.62	0.11	1.00	1.77	2.31	3.58	2.81	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		277	2.35	1.70	2.19	2.55	2.95	3.46	2.44	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		277	19.1%	0.0%	16.3%	28.1%	41.4%	58.8%	2.24	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		187	63.0	34.4	47.2	58.2	65.9	84.2	3.62	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Th	nird	68	77.0	50.1	60.6	69.7	76.1	91.2	4.06	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		88	1.42	0.02	1.31	2.43	3.21	5.07	2.10	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		83	89.0%	70.8%	79.2%	85.0%	91.7%	100.0%	3.60	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.94

						2	014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	20	7.1%	35.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	9	3.2%	16.2%	11.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	9	3.2%	30.5%	11.1%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.36	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	19	6.9%	34.3%	5.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.05	0.030	0.015
Integrated Co-Teaching	9	3.2%	16.3%	11.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	9	3.2%	30.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	26	13.8%	29.5%	57.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.48	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	75	39.7%	57.0%	46.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.95	0.030	0.015
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	42	22.2%	56.2%	45.2%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.93	0.030	0.015
• SC/ICT/SETSS	32	16.9%	37.2%	62.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.34	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	26	13.9%	28.5%	61.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.66	0.030	0.028
Lowest Third Citywide	102	54.5%	74.9%	47.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.42	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	48	25.7%	66.9%	39.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.86	0.030	0.014
• SC/ICT/SETSS	30	16.0%	35.4%	53.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.08	0.030	0.023
O ELL Progress	73	11.8%	29.9%	34.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.20	0.030	0.002
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.21
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.15

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 12X134 P.S. 134 George F. Bristow

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
12X134
```

P.S. 134 George F. Bristow

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	83%	1.76	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	84%	2.96	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	87%	2.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	63.1%	2.12	
HS			
Overall	63.1%	2.12	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.13	1.93	
HS			
Overall	0.13	1.93	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.68	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	72%	2.36	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.36	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	2.64	100%
	5270	2.01	100/0
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.64	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	84%	2.60	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
12X134
P.S. 134 George F. Bristow
```

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction			U	, ,		U		
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	89	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00	
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in math</li> </ul>	Teachers	83	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.00	1.00	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	78	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.31	2.24	
Section Results:		83%					1.76	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:	Taaabara	95	05.4	05.0	100.0	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Teachers		85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Parents Students	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50		
Cultural awareness Cultural awareness	Combined	94	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.63	3.52	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.64	3.52	
	Teachers	68	51.4	94.0 77.4	100.0	0.33	2.32	
Quality of professional development School commitment	Teachers	79	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.33	2.52	
<ul> <li>Innovation</li> </ul>	Teachers	79	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.48	1.52	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.13	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	77.6	93.9	100.0	0.75	3.80	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	86	68.2	92.2 89.0	100.0	0.56	3.24	
<ul> <li>Collective responsibility</li> </ul>	Teachers	73	65.7	89.0	100.0	0.21	1.84	
Section Results:	reachers	84%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.21	2.96	
Section Results.		0770					2.50	
Supportive Environment								
Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	89	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.47		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
Safety	Combined	89				0.47	2.88	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	77	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.29		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	77				0.29	2.16	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	94	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	84	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.36		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	84				0.36	2.44	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	83	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.29		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.51		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
Peer support for academic work	Combined	89				0.40	2.60	
Section Results:		87%					2.60	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
12X134
P.S. 134 George F. Bristow
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
<ul> <li>Inclusive principal leadership</li> </ul>	Parents	83	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.19	1.76
Teacher influence	Teachers	54	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.39	2.56
Program coherence	Teachers	72	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.29	2.16
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	80	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.47	2.88
Section Results:		72%					2.36
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	93	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	86	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	89				0.39	2.56
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	74	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.42	2.68
Section Results:		82%					2.64
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	92	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	85	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.25	2.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	76	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.46	2.84
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	84	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.37	2.48
Section Results:		84%					2.60

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

#### P.S. 134 George F. Bristow

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
State Test Results - ELA*						
Average Student Proficiency	2.33	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.41	2.42 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.95	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	18.9%	12.3% or lower	12.4% to 17.8%	17.9% to 22.0%	22.1% or higher	
State Test Results - Math*						
Average Student Proficiency	2.35	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.53	2.54 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.12	2.13 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	19.1%	15.2% or lower	15.3% to 22.5%	22.6% to 27.9%	28.0% or higher	
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	89.0%	84.4% or lower	84.5% to 88.4%	88.5% to 91.3%	91.4% or higher	
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2		2015-16 Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	1.89	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.04	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.12	2.13 or higher	
SETSS	1.87	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher	
ELL	2.12	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.26	2.27 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Math - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	1.83	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.10	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher	
SETSS	1.85	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.24	2.25 or higher	
ELL	2.15	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.49	2.50 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.04	2.05 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher	
ELL Progress	34.2%	41.0% or lower	41.1% to 51.0%	51.1% to 58.5%	58.6% or higher	

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	63.1%	59.5% or lower	59.6% to 66.3%	66.4% to 71.5%	71.6% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.13	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.26	0.27 to 0.34	0.35 or higher			