
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 329 Surfside

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	70	57	58
Grade 1	80	71	67
Grade 2	76	77	74
Grade 3	71	76	75
Grade 4	67	67	75
Grade 5	70	68	63
All students	467	443	450

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	2%	3%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	90%	90%	90%
% Student with IEPs	19%	22%	22%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	12%	15%
% HRA Eligible	-	78%	77%
% Temporary Housing	-	13%	15%
% Asian	4%	5%	5%
% Black	62%	63%	61%
% Hispanic	28%	26%	27%
% White	6%	6%	6%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

21K329 P.S. 329 Surfside

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.50

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	203	2.34	1.97	2.18	2.30	2.45	2.72	3.27	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	203	19.7%	2.6%	11.5%	17.6%	24.6%	36.3%	3.30	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	130	64.0	44.4	52.8	60.1	65.2	77.3	3.76	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	50	68.5	56.1	65.0	72.7	78.1	90.9	2.45	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	71	1.62	0.44	1.02	1.53	1.88	2.79	3.26	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	203	2.33	1.98	2.23	2.39	2.58	2.93	2.63	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	203	21.7%	2.4%	13.5%	21.2%	30.0%	44.8%	3.06	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	129	60.0	34.4	47.0	58.0	65.6	83.7	3.26	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	43	75.0	52.1	62.3	71.2	77.4	92.2	3.61	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	72	2.36	0.32	1.18	1.92	2.43	3.78	3.86	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	67	94.6%	72.5%	80.3%	85.8%	92.1%	100.0%	4.32	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.34

						2	014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	38	18.7%	92.1%	13.2%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	3.0%	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	4	2.0%	19.0%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	38	18.7%	93.0%	28.9%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	3.0%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	4	2.0%	19.2%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	10	7.7%	16.5%	40.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	64	49.2%	71.3%	42.2%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.41	0.030	0.011
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	26.2%	66.3%	41.2%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.52	0.030	0.011
SC/ICT/SETSS	28	21.5%	50.4%	53.6%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.89	0.030	0.022
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	11	8.5%	17.5%	27.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	72	55.8%	76.6%	41.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.89	0.030	0.014
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	33	25.6%	66.7%	48.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.63	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	20.9%	49.6%	48.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.71	0.030	0.020
ELL Progress	14	3.4%	8.6%	85.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.16
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.50

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

21K329

P.S. 329 Surfside

Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Sec		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1	gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2 Proficent 3.40 22% Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 2.2% Well Seveloped 4.99 2.2% Well Seveloped 4.99 2.2% Well Seveloped 4.99 3.4% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 5.0% Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 5.0% Section Survey - Collaborative Teachers 7.9% 2.36 5.0% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 3.60 Section Score:	_	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 2.2%	·	·	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.60 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 So% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 79% 2.36 So% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Ipportive Environment	Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% INTERCENTIAL PROFICE SCHOOL Survey - Collaborative Teachers 79% 2.36 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50%	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	83%	1.92	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% INTERPRETATION OF Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Importive Environment				
Quality Review 4.2	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 79% 2.36 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Importive Environment	ollaborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 79% 2.36 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Ipportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 1.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 69.6% 2.92 HS Overall 69.6% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.15 2.09 HS Overall 0.15 2.09 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 69% 2.28 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44		Proficient	3.40	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Inportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 1.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 69.6% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.15 2.09 HS Overall 0.15 2.09 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 TONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	·			
Apportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 1.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 69.6% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.15 2.09 HS Overall 0.15 2.09 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 TOUS Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44		. 5/0		30,0
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 1.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 69.6% 2.92 30% NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100% NYC School Survey - Trust 81% NYC School Survey - T	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 1.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 69.6% 2.92 HS Overall 69.6% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.15 2.09 HS Overall 0.15 2.09 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 69% 2.28 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 FOR Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%				
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 1.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 69.6% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.15 2.09 HS Overall 0.15 2.09 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 69% 2.28 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	pportive Environment			
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EM/S Overall 69.6% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EM/S Overall 0.15 2.09 EM/S Overall 0.15 2.09 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 69% 2.28 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	·	·		
EMS HS Overall Overall 69.6% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.15 2.09 HS Overall 0.15 2.09 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 69% 2.28 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%		77%	1.88	35%
Noverall Overall Overa				
Overall 69.6% 2.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS		69.6%	2.92	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.15 2.09 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%				
environments EMS HS Overall 0.15 2.09 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%		69.6%	2.92	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.15 2.09 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 section Score: 2.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust NYC School Survey - Trust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%				
Overall 0.15 2.09 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 69% 2.28 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%		0.15	2.09	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 RUST NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%		0.45		
Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Section Score: 2.44 NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	Overall	0.15	2.09	5%
fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 USS NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 69% 2.28 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	Couldn't Harring France Transport	3001011 300101	0.22	
Section Rating: Approaching Target Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.28 100% Section Score Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: 2.44 100% 100% 100%	fective School Leadership			
rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	69%	2.28	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.28	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%				
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.44 Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	rong Family-Community Ties			
rust NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	81%	2.44	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.44	
NYC School Survey - Trust 81% 2.20 100%				
		81%	2 20	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.20	THE SCHOOL SULVEY THUSE	J1/0	2.20	100/0
	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.20	



P.S. 329 Surfside

		City Range							
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigor	ous Instruction			•	, ,		•		
	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	88	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00	
C	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	85	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.25	2.00	
C	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
	uality of student discussion	Teachers	75	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.20	1.80	
	n Results:		83%					1.92	
Collab	oorative Teachers								
	ultural awareness:								
Ü	Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50		
	Cultural awareness	Parents	90	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50		
	Cultural awareness	Students	50	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50		
	Cultural awareness	Combined	91	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.50	3.00	
In	nclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	89	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.32	2.28	
	uality of professional development	Teachers	63	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.23	1.92	
	chool commitment	Teachers	60	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.92	
	novation	Teachers	82	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.40	2.60	
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
	eer collaboration	Teachers	88	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.75	2.84	
	ocus on student learning	Teachers	66	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.00	1.00	
	_	Teachers	78	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.36	2.44	
	ollective responsibility n Results:	reachers	79%	05.7	84.7	100.0	0.30	2.44	
	ortive Environment afety:								
	Safety	Teachers	76	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00		
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
0	Safety	Combined	76				0.00	1.00	
С	lassroom behavior:								
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	61	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.00		
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
0	Classroom behavior	Combined	61				0.00	1.00	
 So 	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.76	4.04	
P	eer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Ν	ext-level guidance	Students							
Р	ress toward academic achievement:								
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	66	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00		
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	66				0.00	1.00	
P	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
P	eer support for academic work:								
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	81	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.19		
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50		
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	87				0.35	2.40	
ectio	n Results:		77%					1.88	

P.S. 329 Surfside

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.58	3.32
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	42	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.20	1.80
Program coherence	Teachers	69	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.23	1.92
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	72	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.27	2.08
Section Results:		69%					2.28
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	91	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	89	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.38	2.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	72	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.34	2.36
Section Results:		81%					2.44
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	92	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	85	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.25	2.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	63	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.16	1.64
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	82	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.29	2.16
Section Results:		81%					2.20

21K329

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 329 Surfside

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.34	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.28	2.29 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.10	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	19.7%	8.0% or lower	8.1% to 12.6%	12.7% to 16.2%	16.3% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.33	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.97	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	21.7%	12.4% or lower	12.5% to 19.6%	19.7% to 25.0%	25.1% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.6%	85.1% or lower	85.2% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.7%	91.8% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.28	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.80	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.93	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
SETSS		1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
ELL	2.06	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.13	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.48	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.03	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
SETSS		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
ELL	1.98	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.48	2.49 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	85.7%	46.1% or lower	46.2% to 56.1%	56.2% to 63.7%	63.8% or highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	69.6%	59.9% or lower	60.0% to 66.8%	66.9% to 71.9%	72.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.15	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.32	0.33 or higher			