
The Family School

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	75	87	89
Grade 1	94	81	90
Grade 2	79	87	93
Grade 3	93	94	88
Grade 4	106	82	86
Grade 5	99	97	79
All students	546	528	525

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	45%	43%	38%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	94%
% Student with IEPs	18%	20%	20%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	1%	2%
% HRA Eligible	-	82%	74%
% Temporary Housing	-	26%	25%
% Asian	6%	5%	4%
% Black	27%	26%	28%
% Hispanic	66%	68%	66%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

09X443 The Family School

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.05

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	232	2.24	1.93	2.12	2.24	2.38	2.66	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	232	16.4%	2.2%	9.7%	14.8%	20.6%	32.2%	3.28	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	141	63.0	50.2	57.5	63.9	68.3	78.9	2.86	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	52	77.5	62.5	70.0	76.4	80.8	91.3	3.25	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	79	2.40	0.55	1.13	1.63	1.98	2.88	4.47	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	246	2.22	1.96	2.22	2.39	2.59	2.94	2.00	9.09%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	246	13.0%	2.5%	13.5%	21.1%	29.8%	44.6%	1.95	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	144	57.0	41.9	51.7	60.1	66.0	80.2	2.63	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	52	61.0	53.9	63.6	72.0	77.9	91.9	1.73	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	85	2.13	0.53	1.43	2.17	2.69	4.02	2.95	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	88	94.2%	80.0%	85.9%	89.9%	94.4%	100.0%	3.96	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.92

					2014-15 Targets							
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	5	2.2%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	12.5%	63.5%	3.4%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.92	0.030	0.007
o SETSS	9	3.9%	37.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	5	2.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	11.8%	60.2%	6.9%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.96	0.030	0.007
o SETSS	10	4.1%	39.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	75	53.2%	100.0%	38.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.24	0.030	0.017
Lowest Third Citywide	80	56.7%	82.5%	46.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.90	0.030	0.014
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	43	30.5%	77.2%	51.2%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.55	0.030	0.019
SC/ICT/SETSS	30	21.3%	49.9%	50.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.53	0.030	0.019
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	78	54.2%	100.0%	28.2%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.53	0.030	0.012
Lowest Third Citywide	89	61.8%	84.9%	27.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.59	0.030	0.004
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	46	31.9%	83.1%	34.8%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.45	0.030	0.011
SC/ICT/SETSS	29	20.1%	47.3%	34.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.46	0.030	0.011
ELL Progress	194	37.0%	93.9%	50.5%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.55	0.030	0.012
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.13
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.05

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

O Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

09X443

The Family School

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.24	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	4.08	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	90%	3.16	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	68.9%	2.24	
HS			
Overall	68.9%	2.24	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.13	1.93	
HS			
Overall	0.13	1.93	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
Section Nating. Weeting ranger	Section Score.	3.30	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.44	100%
· ·			
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
von a Fourille Community Ties			
rong Family-Community Ties	0001	2.12	400-4
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	3.12	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
r ust NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	3.72	100%
NIC SCHOOL SULVEY - HUST	J4/0	3.72	10070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	



The Family School

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	88	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.62	3.48
Section Results:		95%					4.24
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.86	
Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.68	3.72
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.86	4.44
Quality of professional development	Teachers	87	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.73	3.92
School commitment	Teachers	95	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.74	3.96
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.79	4.16
Focus on student learning	Teachers	93	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.78	4.12
Collective responsibility	Teachers	84	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.52	3.08
Section Results:		93%					4.08
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	92	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.58	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	92				0.58	3.32
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	83	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.48	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	83				0.48	2.92
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	94	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	90	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.60	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90				0.60	3.40
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:		-					
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	85	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.35	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	90				0.55	3.20
Section Results:		90%					3.16

09X443 The Family School

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.44	2.76
Teacher influence	Teachers	59	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.47	2.88
Program coherence	Teachers	84	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.61	3.44
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		82%					3.44
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	92				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	74	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.42	2.68
Section Results:		83%					3.12
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	97	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.87	4.48
Section Results:		94%					3.72

09X443

Targets for 2015-16 The Family School

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

2.24 1.84 16.4%	2.13 or lower 1.80 or lower 8.7% or lower	2.14 to 2.24 1.81 to 1.92 8.8% to 13.8%	2.25 to 2.32 1.93 to 2.01	2.33 or higher
1.84	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92		
1.84	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92		
			1.93 to 2.01	2.02
16.4%	8.7% or lower	8 8% to 13 8%		2.02 or higher
		0.070 to 15.070	13.9% to 17.7%	17.8% or higher
2.22	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.55	2.56 or higher
1.81	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
13.0%	16.1% or lower	16.2% to 23.4%	23.5% to 28.9%	29.0% or higher
94.2%	84.6% or lower	84.7% to 88.5%	88.6% to 91.4%	91.5% or higher
2014-15		Targets		
School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
1.76	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
1.87	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
1.92	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
2.16	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 or higher
1.92	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
1.89	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
1.58	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 or higher
1.97	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
1.95	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
2.20	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.45	2.46 or higher
1.88	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
1.90	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
50.5%	36.9% or lower	37.0% to 46.9%	47.0% to 54.4%	54.5% or higher
	1.81 13.0% 94.2% 2014-15 School Value 1.76 1.87 1.92 2.16 1.92 1.89 1.58 1.97 1.95 2.20 1.88 1.90	1.81 1.79 or lower 13.0% 16.1% or lower 94.2% 84.6% or lower 2014-15 School Value Not Meeting Target 1.76 1.69 or lower 1.87 1.83 or lower 1.92 1.84 or lower 2.16 1.96 or lower 1.92 1.86 or lower 1.89 1.83 or lower 1.58 1.70 or lower 1.97 1.88 or lower 1.95 1.87 or lower 2.20 2.11 or lower 1.88 1.89 or lower 1.90 1.87 or lower	1.81 1.79 or lower 1.80 to 1.96 13.0% 16.1% or lower 16.2% to 23.4% 94.2% 84.6% or lower 84.7% to 88.5% 2015-16 To 20	1.81 1.79 or lower 1.80 to 1.96 1.97 to 2.09 13.0% 16.1% or lower 16.2% to 23.4% 23.5% to 28.9% 94.2% 84.6% or lower 84.7% to 88.5% 88.6% to 91.4% 2015-16 Targets School Value Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meeting Target 1.76 1.69 or lower 1.70 to 1.79 1.80 to 1.89 1.87 1.83 or lower 1.84 to 1.94 1.95 to 2.02 1.92 1.84 or lower 1.85 to 1.96 1.97 to 2.06 2.16 1.96 or lower 1.97 to 2.10 2.11 to 2.20 1.92 1.86 or lower 1.87 to 1.93 1.94 to 1.99 1.89 1.83 or lower 1.84 to 1.90 1.91 to 1.99 1.58 1.70 or lower 1.71 to 1.84 1.85 to 1.95 1.97 1.88 or lower 1.89 to 2.05 2.06 to 2.18 1.95 1.87 or lower 1.88 to 2.05 2.06 to 2.18 2.20 2.11 or lower 2.12 to 2.30 2.31 to 2.45 1.88 1.89 or lower 1.90 to 1.97 1.98 to 2.03 <tr< td=""></tr<>

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	68.9%	71.1% or lower	71.2% to 77.9%	78.0% to 83.1%	83.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.13	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.21	0.22 or higher			