

ThriveNYC: Delivering on Mental Health

Promoting population mental health and meeting the burdens of mental illness is a priority public health challenge of the 21st century. But too little attention has been placed on how to design and sustain the scope of strategies and commitments that credibly live up to the full breadth of that challenge.

ThriveNYC is an effort by New York City to fill that gap, through a public health approach backed by investment in resources and leadership.

ThriveNYC can by example help mobilize a larger community of investigators and policymakers to consider how to meet this challenge, to get to consensus on key elements for effective action and implementation, to reimagine who and what the mental health “system” includes, and, in doing so, to strengthen the social contract that underlies well-being. (*Am J Public Health*. 2019; 109:S156–S163. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2019.305040)

Gary Belkin, MD, PhD, and Chirlane McCray, ScD (Hon)

By any measure, the outsized and pervasive impact of mental illness and threats to mental health on all sectors of society and on overall health and well-being of our people and our neighborhoods has simply not been matched by the scope, rigor, and coherence of our response.^{1–5} This article describes the rationale and overall design of ThriveNYC, an effort to define and scale a public health approach to mental health by city government, backed by significant resources and leadership.

The intention of ThriveNYC is to fill in what has been sorely lacking: a scaled, systematic, principle-driven, public health approach. “Principle-driven” is intended to mean purposefully connecting resources and policies to a core set of explicit, disruptive, strategic directions that comprehensively describe how and where to align action. These principles capture key drivers for population-level improvement and use the tools and perspectives of public health. Such an approach can correct the long-standing lack of aims and accountability for mental health policy. (Throughout this article, our use of the term “mental health” includes reducing the harms of substance use, and “mental illness” includes substance use disorders.)

A PUBLIC HEALTH APPROACH

There has been no shortage of prominent reports over the past decades that have called for action

or reform.^{6,7} These, however, tend to generate partial shopping lists of interventions for issues of the moment or specific sub-populations. They have not established a broader, unifying and strategically clear and sustained approach to mental health.

A public health approach looks at what protects and promotes health. It describes and addresses what threatens health across a population, describes who is most affected by those threats and why, and identifies solutions that reduce those threats—and disparities in their appearance and impact. It similarly identifies and advances factors that promote health. Public health solutions are large scale and generally operate outside the context of individual medical treatment, although they also identify better design and equitable access to effective treatment and services.

A public health approach therefore engages a range of policy, health system, and social levers for mitigating illness and poor outcomes, and removes obstacles to living in preventive and health-promoting environments. It often includes the following:

- Population-level mapping of needs and assets that help meet those needs;

- Data-driven mitigation of risk factors and threats through broad-reach interventions;
- Consensus on building-block skills and practices for scaled implementation of prevention and treatment pathways;
- Strategies and defined aims for closing gaps in access, risk reduction, and health promotion;
- Action through other sectors than health care and on structural drivers of inequity and disparities in outcomes (racism, social determinants);
- Consistent leadership and broad ownership of explicit aims and targets across sectors; and
- Support for communities to lead solutions for mental health.

These have not been at the core of mental health strategy in the United States, and it shows. It shows in selective but also inconsistent attention—and thus partial, siloed, solutions—to certain subgroups; in limited innovation of delivery models; in a failure to support relationships and environments that promote mental health across the life span; in the persistence of stigma and of criminal justice responses serving as de facto mental health responses; in low rates of use of evidence-based practices; and in

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Gary Belkin is with the Office of the Mayor, New York, NY. Chirlane McCray is First Lady of New York City.

Correspondence should be sent to Gary Belkin, Chief, Strategy and Policy, ThriveNYC, Office of the Mayor, 253 Broadway, 4th Floor, New York, NY 10007 (e-mail: gbelkin@cityhall.nyc.gov). Reprints can be ordered at <http://www.ajph.org> by clicking the “Reprints” link.

This article was accepted February 17, 2019.

doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2019.305040

too often missed opportunities for early intervention (e.g., whether to treat psychosis early or to head off lifelong consequences of toxic stress or emotional trauma).

THRIVENYC

ThriveNYC: A Roadmap for Mental Health for All first describes largely New York City (NYC)-specific data that identify the health, social, economic, and criminal justice impacts of mental illness and highlight the range of structural, institutional, and care system challenges that need to be fixed.⁸

The report then lays out both a strategic plan and an ambitious set of 54 starting initiatives to advance that plan—from supportive housing to universal screening and care for maternal depression. These were initially projected to comprise an investment of approximately \$850 million over the first four-year budget cycle. All this reflects a unique level of commitment by NYC leadership, led by the NYC First Lady Chirlane McCray. The strategy rests upon six key principles for action around which all stakeholders can align and contribute to moving forward.

SIX KEY PRINCIPLES

ThriveNYC's six principles are each described further in this section, and a small sampling of ThriveNYC initiatives derived from each principle are presented in Table 1. A fuller description and serial updates are available at <https://www.nyc.gov/thrivenyc>.

These principles were designed to promote the involvement of all sectors in addressing a broad range of needs that are too often distinguished one from the other instead of pursued as highly

connected and mutually reinforcing. They were intended to specify and stick to needed new directions to drive a public health approach to mental health at individual, institutional, and community levels.

The principles were developed from evidence, expert review, and broadly comprised feedback group sessions that included more than 200 organizations across NYC over 10 months. The initial 54 initiatives exemplify the transformational purpose of a given principle and, therefore, demonstrate and enable learning as to how to further grow and sustain that purpose.

1. Change the Culture

“Change the culture” recognizes that stigma and limited public knowledge can slow change, contribute to poor outcomes, and discourage people from seeking help.¹⁴ In addition to challenging stigma and misinformation, change the culture includes the expectation to improve individual self-efficacy to take these issues on in the same way people might support or engage friends, loved ones, and others with other health problems. “Change the culture” is also intended to include engaging deep structural biases often reflected in the behaviors of social institutions such as policing and public education.

2. Act Early

“Act early” describes the need for more investment in early intervention and prevention. It addresses the partners, practices, and infrastructures needed to implement and secure those investments at scale. This includes earlier opportunities for people to identify and treat schizophrenia in young adults^{56–59} and depression in perinatal women.⁶⁰

This principle means having the ongoing facilitating structures and capabilities, not just more discrete programs, that make act early the path of least resistance. Despite the overwhelming evidence supporting early intervention and prevention, systems and institutional habits are not currently inclined to act early.

This focus draws particular attention to early childhood and supports to parents, and is synergistic with other NYC priorities, such as universal access to pre-K. Lifelong risk of mental disorder increases incrementally with the number of adverse childhood events a child has. These long-term consequences can be buffered by supporting and protecting successful early parenting, attachment, and socioeconomic supports to young families, and other efforts that prevent exposure to toxic stress and trauma.^{61,62}

3. Close Treatment Gaps

“Close treatment gaps” challenges assumptions about how care can be originated and organized. Gaps include not just inadequate levels of coverage and access but also gaps in quality, use of best practices, and cultural and linguistic diversity. It recognizes the substantial barriers to access currently in place. Care is dominated by specialized expert-concentrated roles, with limited goal setting or accountability for addressing gaps or achieving outcomes. This makes it less likely to proactively reach people, to reliably use evidence-supported methods, or to optimally contribute to population mental health.⁶³

ThriveNYC therefore emphasizes the following:

1. Modularity and flexibility: Breaking down clinical protocols or guidelines into their

building-block backbone tasks and component skill packages helps to flexibly spread, adapt, and implement their use, and makes it easier to replicate best practices, compare results, and drive improvement and quality.^{64,65} This is the underlying logic of the still underused Collaborative Care model for depression treatment in primary care,^{66,67} as well as mix-and-match protocols that break down overlapping common elements that cut across evidence-based practices and guide their flexible application in real-world contexts.^{68,69}

2. Task shifting or task sharing: Organizing along skill packages allows task sharing, which has transformed thinking about access to care globally. Many of these skill sets can be managed effectively by nonspecialized people such as case workers, peers, teachers, family, clergy, community health workers, and other social and community networks and institutions. Including these partners creates pathways that are more credible, effective, accessible, and owned by users and communities.^{70–80}
3. Specialist providers as partners: Mental health professionals should be able to—and paid to—focus as much on coaching the capabilities of others as on providing direct treatment themselves. Investing in connecting specialist expertise to scaled action by others to advance promotion, harm reduction, and prevention, for example, can help close the concerning gap between the health care system and improvements in health.⁸¹
4. Digital innovation and access: Digital app and Web-based

TABLE 1—ThriveNYC Key Principles and Sample Initiatives

Key Principle	Description	Sample Initiatives
Change the culture	Improve public discussion and understanding, promote individual self-efficacy, and normalize deep attitudinal and practice change across key social institutions (e.g., public safety, schools).	<p>Mental Health First Aid: Train 250 000 New Yorkers in mental health first aid, which has been shown to diminish stigma and improve self-efficacy to address mental health issues.⁹⁻¹³</p> <p>Ongoing public engagement campaign: Large-scale waves of public messaging in print, TV, signage, and other media to promote awareness of easy points of contact for help and normalize conversations about, and the common presence of, mental illness.¹⁴⁻¹⁶</p> <p>Crisis intervention team: Training for all NYPD patrol officers in this established set of police de-escalation and engagement skills.¹⁷⁻²¹ We modified and codeveloped this approach with certified peers to include active simulation learning, and this curriculum is now a routine part of the NYPD Academy.</p>
Act early	Intervene earlier for those at higher risk and invest in prevention and promotion.	<p>Socio-emotional Learning: Embed socio-emotional learning in all public prekindergarten and Early Learn sites in the city, which reach 100 000 children/year.²²⁻²⁶</p> <p>School mental health consultant program: Assign to each public school campus (~1000) that does not already have a mental health clinic resource, support in adoption of universal, selective, and targeted evidence-based practices.²⁷</p>
Close treatment gaps	<p>Multiply opportunities for access.</p> <p>Invest in training clinician’s skills relevant to the six key principles.</p> <p>Redesign chains of care to include task sharing.</p> <p>Adopt models of care based on standard packages of skills or modules.</p>	<p>NYC Well: NYC Well provides crisis counseling, referrals to services, help scheduling appointments via a warm handoff to a provider, free short-term, telephonic, evidence-based psychotherapy, peer support, and follow-up calls, texts, or chats.²⁸⁻³¹</p> <p>Maternal depression: Learning Collaborative launched with the Greater New York Hospital Association across 30 NYC hospitals to universalize the identification and connection to effective (and innovatively redesigned) care of all women with perinatal depression.³²⁻³⁸</p> <p>Workforce summit: Ongoing series of convenings to develop new networks for action along specified aims (cross-discipline changes in curriculum, integrated care and community-facing experiences in medical and psychiatric residency training, racial equity in training pathways, and collaborations between educational institutions and health systems in promoting skills and roles advanced by ThriveNYC).</p> <p>NYC Safe: Highly flexible mobile care teams and QI with citywide providers to improve retention for people with violence and serious deterioration in mental illness or chronic substance use not connected to services.</p>
Partner with communities	<p>See neighborhoods as partners to advance mental health, close gaps, and identify needs and solutions.</p> <p>Equip community members to do so.</p> <p>Extend the role of specialized professionals to include coaching and nonclinical people and settings.</p> <p>Identify and address social and structural determinants of mental health, illness, and barriers to treatment.</p>	<p>Connections to Care: Seed-funded partnerships to demonstrate how to build scalable task-sharing and capacity-building partnerships between behavioral health providers and an array of CBOs (e.g., day care centers, job training programs, shelters). Behavioral health providers coach and support CBOs to do front-line work.^{39,40}</p> <p>Early Years Collaborative: Application of the Breakthrough Learning Collaborative method to community-level improvement where neighborhood and community groups in high-need communities advance locally identified goals, in this case reducing parent stress.^{41,42}</p> <p>Thrive Learning Center: A web-based learning portal to accelerate the spread of task-shifted skills and topical knowledge to community actors often turned to for mental health and substance use issues (e.g., clergy, social service staff, other trusted organizations or city agencies).⁴³</p> <p>Mental Health Service Corps: Places up to approximately 300 largely early career, masters- and doctoral-level clinicians in high-need communities. Members are supported and mentored to also drive innovation, such as accelerating adoption of integrated care in primary care settings,⁴⁴⁻⁴⁸ as well as promote and liaise with community initiatives and capacity for mental health promotion.</p>

Continued

TABLE 1—Continued

Key Principle	Description	Sample Initiatives
Use data better	<p>Develop data-collection strategies and tools that better map needs, gaps, impact, and performance in real time, and with geographic and risk-group specificity.</p> <p>Build capacity for local implementers to drive innovation through use of QI and other tools.</p> <p>Use cost-benefit analyses in decision-making.</p> <p>Survey and synthesize evidence to inform policy.</p> <p>Develop a network of academic partners for these goals.</p>	<p>Mental Health Innovation: Describes a cluster of new capabilities to advance better data and use of digital tools and access to expertise and information. This includes ability to host Learning Collaboratives and spread QI, to advance digital, mobile, and Web applications, build better data sources and methods, and support community knowledge exchange and networking.^{49,50} Includes establishment of the Center for Mental Health Innovation and Investigator Hub, as well as developing a high-volume repeat population survey mechanism for real-time surveillance data with significant geographic and risk group precision.</p> <p>ThriveNYC evaluation: Evaluation of this breadth of work requires layering and integration of three different levels of analysis: initiative-specific outcomes, key “cross-domain” outcomes that capture shared areas of impact across clusters of initiatives (e.g., schools or task shifting), and potentially discernible population-level changes. We are building on a Theory of Change method⁵¹ to help describe cluster and program-level drivers of change and identify measures along the three levels, and to begin to report on these during 2019. These outcome-oriented measures will supplement a current dashboard of more than 400 measures reported monthly on initiative performance.</p>
Strengthen governments’ ability to lead	<p>Lead a public health approach to mental health across sectors and partners.</p> <p>Invest in the skills, structures, and capabilities to do so.</p> <p>Address or expose and advocate on structural barriers and macro-policies.</p> <p>Extend ThriveNYC learning or practices to broader systems change.</p>	<p>NYC Mental Health Council: A body composed of more than 20 agencies across city to advance an “in all policies” approach.⁵²⁻⁵⁵</p> <p>Payment and delivery policy: Learning from ThriveNYC initiatives to drive sustained and scaled change through informing or be taken to scale through health and insurance policy changes such as the New York statewide Medicaid redesign process.</p>

Note. CBO = community-based organization; NYC = New York City; NYPD = New York City Police Department; QI = quality improvement.

formats could provide more “ways in” for people to connect to care, to disperse opportunities for self-care, and to create innovative approaches to extend social connectivity, mobilization, and mutual support.⁸²⁻⁹² They also open up opportunities to capture data about the adequacy, fidelity, and efficacy of treatment; to operate integrated, dispersed, and task-shifted delivery models; and to map real-time and actionable information about needs and gaps in the population.

4. Partner With Communities

“Partner with communities” is intended to describe how a range of community organizations, leaders, members, and social networks are integral owners of

promoting mental health and closing gaps in care. These roles include acting as advocates for change, as credible messengers of information, as leaders in implementing or mobilizing prevention and promotion efforts, as partners in task-shifted solutions that move care pathways outside the four walls of formal clinical settings, as supports to families and others trying to maintain individuals with more serious illness in the community, and as experts and sources of information about gaps, causes, solutions, and outcomes.

Partnering with communities means understanding that social, physical, and economic features of communities are themselves sources of (or threats to) mental health. Social ties are

increasingly appreciated as foundational for mental and overall health. Reaching and treating people with mental illness through empowered community networks appears to mitigate social risks and outcomes such as homelessness and risks for homelessness.⁹³ Such networks can also host promotion and prevention initiatives. And social and economic policy becomes mental health policy when put to work to bolster community institutions and promote social trust and collective efficacy⁹⁴; support parents and families; drive equity, economic opportunity, and housing and income stability⁹⁵⁻¹⁰¹; or undo structural racism¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁵ and other sources of collective trauma.^{62,106}

The built environments of cities (e.g., urban design, planning and development, public and green space access and quality) as well as the social environment of cities (e.g., prosocial opportunities, civic trust and participation, cultural resources, and collective action) also appear to promote (and benefit from) population mental health,¹⁰⁷⁻¹¹⁸ and link mental health, collective efficacy, and vibrant neighborhoods.¹¹⁹⁻¹²³

5. Use Data Better

“Use data better” understands that these directions for a public health strategy require better information. It is difficult to collaborate with community-based organizations and networks; set and meet goals to close gaps or be responsive to those most endangered when they fall

through gaps; focus action on key groups, risk factors, or specific neighborhoods; or capture the potential social and other overall health benefits without more comprehensive, precise, real-time maps of needs, outcomes, and resources. Underused tools, such as crowd-sourced methods, pooling of provider data systems, geomapping, and big data strategies deserve more attention. And the underdeveloped use of cost-benefit information to drive decisions and accountability for investments in mental health services and policies bears scrutiny.^{124,125}

Using data better also means recognizing the value of real-time testing and learning methods by hands-on implementers and advocates by spreading ground-level adoption of tools to drive community-derived evidence, including quality improvement learning, change management practices, and knowledge exchange. Providers and delivery systems, community groups, and local organizations should be supported to generate evidence and be smart implementers and hypothesis testers.¹²⁶

6. Enable Government to Lead

A comprehensive strategy such as ThriveNYC needs government to lead. Payers, providers, training institutions, and others are all necessary partners but cannot themselves lead this change. But to lead, government also needs to change. It needs new skills, investment in data sharing and analysis, engaged cross-sector leadership and goal-setting, and familiarity and fluency in these issues by leaders.

The global “health in all policies” movement in public

health is increasingly used to frame the future of public mental health.¹²⁷ It also reinforces the potential for cities as underexplored but uniquely positioned leaders for change. Compared with state and federal levels of governance, cities and local jurisdictions tend to more commonly work across sectors and agencies, represent and be more connected to and knowledgeable about their communities and neighborhoods, address expectations to deliver on improvements to quality of life, and are overall less vulnerable to abrupt partisan swings in policy.

TRANSLATING KEY PRINCIPLES AND EVIDENCE TO ACTION

ThriveNYC has completed its initial implementation phase—setting up a group of 54 initiatives. Table 1 describes a sample of them. These efforts illustrate, provoke, and test the six key principles and directions for change. These initiatives were devised not only to fill tangible needs but also to be a wedge for ongoing progression along those key directions, as more than finite programs, but also as disruptive platforms. They position a comprehensive new strategically coherent ensemble of tools, evidence, and new realities on the ground to assist and persuade change by others (e.g., what Medicaid should reimburse or large provider systems adopt). And perhaps the greatest potential for these initiatives to be the opening for further change is to leverage their collective impact potential through place-based, neighborhood-driven partnerships and leadership.

As ThriveNYC moves from start-up to focusing aims and

measuring impact, it also invites opportunities to research, evaluate, and critically assess the assumptions, methods, and tools needed to succeed. To that end, DOHMH established a unique collaboration with the City University of New York School of Public Health to host an Investigators-Hub (I-Hub) to facilitate and coordinate the wider use of these initiatives for research by investigators nationally (<https://thrivenyc.cityofnewyork.us/investigatorshub>). The I-Hub is an open invitation to all those interested in building up this evidence base.

While cities and localities vary widely in their authority and resources, they are uniquely positioned to organize others around principle-driven action. Several of the NYC efforts have relied on this convening and aim-setting credibility, at little or no cost. These have already yielded transformative potential, such as a 30-hospital learning collaborative to universalize screening and care for maternal depression.

Our six-principle framework is the foundation for the Cities Thrive Coalition, established in November 2016. This group of approximately 200 US cities and counties is a prime example of rapidly growing interest in such city-based, principle-driven action. The International City and Urban Regional Collaborative has also adopted the ThriveNYC principles as its framework for networking and supporting city leadership globally to address mental health.¹²⁸

We deserve a robust, coherent, public health strategy for mental health, driven by shared principles and unlocking the potential for local action, that lives up to the full scope of the challenge. ThriveNYC offers such a path and the collective opportunity to take it. **AJPH**

CONTRIBUTORS

G. Belkin wrote the initial article draft. Both authors shared in the conceptualization and development of the aims and content of the article, and its edits and revisions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge Molly Schaeffer, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, for her assistance in the preparation of the article.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 [erratum in *Lancet*. 2013;381(9867):628]. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2163–2196.
- Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 [erratum in *Lancet*. 2013;381(9867):628]. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2197–2223.
- Case A, Deaton A. Rising morbidity and mortality in midlife among White non-Hispanic Americans in the 21st century. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015; 112(49):15078–15083.
- Trust for Americas Health, The Well-Being Trust. Pain in the nation: the drug, alcohol, and suicide crises and the need for a national resilience strategy. 2017. Available at: <http://wellbeingtrust.org/-/media/files/well-being-trust/tfah2017painnationrpt12.pdf?la=en>. Accessed December 24, 2017.
- Kochanek KD, Murphy SL, Xu JQ, Arias E. Mortality in the United States, 2016. NCHS Data Brief 293. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; 2017.
- President’s New Freedom Commission on Mental Health. Achieving the promise: transforming mental health care in America: final report. SMA-03-3832. Rockville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services; 2003.
- Report to the president from the President’s Commission on Mental Health. Washington, DC: President’s Commission on Mental Health; 1978.
- McCray C, Buery R, Bassett MT. *ThriveNYC: a mental health roadmap for all*. New York, NY: The New York City Mayor’s Office; 2015.
- Jorm AF, Kitchener BA, O’Kearney R, Dear KB. Mental health first aid training of the public in a rural area: a cluster randomized trial. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2004; 4(1):33.

10. Svensson B, Hansson L. Effectiveness of mental health first aid training in Sweden. A randomized controlled trial with a six-month and two-year follow-up. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(6):e100911.
11. Jorm AF, Kitchener BA, Sawyer MG, Scales H, Cvetkovski S. Mental health first aid training for high school teachers: a cluster randomized trial. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2010;10(1):51.
12. Day A, Francisco A. Social and emotional wellbeing in Indigenous Australians: identifying promising interventions. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2013;37(4):350–355.
13. Hadlaczky G, Hökby S, Mkrтчian A, Carli V, Wasserman D. Mental Health First Aid is an effective public health intervention for improving knowledge, attitudes, and behaviour: a meta-analysis. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2014;26(4):467–475.
14. Gronholm PC, Henderson C, Deb T, Thornicroft G. Interventions to reduce discrimination and stigma: the state of the art. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2017; 52(3):249–258.
15. Cheng J, Benassi P, Oliveira CD, Zaheer J, Collins M, Kurdyak P. Impact of a mass media mental health campaign on psychiatric emergency department visits. *Can J Public Health*. 2016;107(3): e303–e311.
16. Frank RG, Pindyck T, Donahue SA, et al. Impact of a media campaign for disaster mental health counseling in post-September 11 New York. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2006;57(9):1304–1308.
17. Compton MT, Demir Neubert BN, Broussard B, McGriff JA, Morgan R, Oliva JR. Use of force preferences and perceived effectiveness of actions among crisis intervention team (CIT) police officers and non-CIT officers in an escalating psychiatric crisis involving a subject with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 2011; 37(4):737–745.
18. Compton MT, Bakeman R, Broussard B, et al. The police-based crisis intervention team (CIT) model: II. Effects on level of force and resolution, referral, and arrest. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2014;65(4):523–529.
19. Morabito MS, Kerr AN, Watson A, Draine J, Ottati V, Angell B. Crisis intervention teams and people with mental illness. *Crime Delinq*. 2010;58(1):57–77.
20. Compton MT, Bakeman R, Broussard B, et al. The police-based crisis intervention team (CIT) model: I. Effects on officers' knowledge, attitudes, and skills. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2014;65(4):517–522.
21. Bahora M, Hanafi S, Chien VH, Compton MT. Preliminary evidence of effects of crisis intervention team training on self-efficacy and social distance. *Adm Policy Ment Health*. 2007;35(3):159–167.
22. Belfield C, Bowden AB, Klapp A, Levin H, Shand R, Zander S. The economic value of social and emotional learning. *J Benefit Cost Anal*. 2015;6(3): 508–544.
23. Durlak JA, Weissberg RP, Dymnicki AB, Taylor RD, Schellinger KB. The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: a meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Dev*. 2011;82(1):405–432.
24. Heckman JJ, Kautz T. Hard evidence on soft skills. *Labour Econ*. 2012;19(4): 451–464.
25. Eiraldi R, Power TJ, Schwartz BS, et al. Examining effectiveness of group cognitive-behavioral therapy for externalizing and internalizing disorders in urban schools. *Behav Modif*. 2016;40(4): 611–639.
26. Berninger VW, Rosenfield SA. *Implementing Evidence-Based Academic Interventions in School Settings*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2009.
27. Eiraldi R, McCurdy B, Khanna M, et al. A cluster randomized trial to evaluate external support for the implementation of positive behavioral interventions and supports by school personnel. *Implement Sci*. 2014;9(1):12.
28. Dalgin RS, Maline S, Driscoll P. Sustaining recovery through the night: impact of a peer-run warm line. *Psychiatr Rehabil J*. 2011;35(1):65–68.
29. Fisher D. Warm lines: an alternative to hospitalization. National Empowerment Center. Available at: http://www.power2u.org/articles/selfhelp/warm_lines.html. Accessed January 10, 2018.
30. Levin A. Peer-run services can complement standard care. *Psychiatric News*. December 16, 2005. Available at: <https://psychnews.psychiatryonline.org/doi/full/10.1176/pn.40.24.0005>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
31. Walker G, Bryant W. Peer support in adult mental health services: a metasynthesis of qualitative findings. *Psychiatr Rehabil J*. 2013;36(1):28–34.
32. Horowitz JA, Cousins A. Postpartum depression treatment rates for at-risk women. *Nurs Res*. 2006;55(2 suppl): S23–S27.
33. Kozhimannil KB. Racial and ethnic disparities in postpartum depression care among low-income women. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2011;62(6):619–625.
34. National Institute for Health Care Management Foundation. Identifying and treating maternal depression: strategies and considerations for health plans. 2010. Available at: https://www.nihcm.org/pdf/FINAL_MaternalDepression6-7.pdf. Accessed January 10, 2018.
35. Beardslee WR, Gladstone TR, O'Connor EE. Transmission and prevention of mood disorders among children of affectively ill parents: a review. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2011; 50(11):1098–1109.
36. Chaudron LH, Szilagyi PG, Tang W, et al. Accuracy of depression screening tools for identifying postpartum depression among urban mothers. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125(3):e609–e617.
37. Crawford-Faucher A. Psychosocial and psychological interventions for preventing postpartum depression. *Am Fam Physician*. 2014;89(11):871.
38. Dennis C-L, Ravitz P, Grigoriadis S, et al. The effect of telephone-based interpersonal psychotherapy for the treatment of postpartum depression: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2012;13(1):38.
39. Wennerstrom A, Vannoy SD, Allen CE, et al. Community-based participatory development of a community health worker mental health outreach role to extend collaborative care in post-Katrina New Orleans. *Ethn Dis*. 2011;21(3 suppl 1):S1–45–51.
40. Connections to Care. (C2C): Evaluating an initiative integrating mental health supports into social service settings. RAND Corporation. 2017. Available at: https://www.rand.org/pubs/corporate_pubs/CP857-2017-01.html. Accessed March 15, 2019.
41. NHS Education for Scotland. Early Years Collaborative. Available at: <https://www.nes.scot.nhs.uk/education-and-training/by-theme-initiative/child-health/programme-information/early-years-collaborative.aspx>. Accessed March 16, 2019.
42. Support to community transformation efforts. Boston, MA: Institute for Healthcare Improvement; 2015.
43. Cook DA, Levinson AJ, Garside S, Dupras DM, Erwin PJ, Montori VM. Internet-based learning in the health professions. *JAMA*. 2008;300(10): 1181–1196.
44. Richardson LP, Ludman E, McCauley E, et al. Collaborative care for adolescents with depression in primary care. *JAMA*. 2014;312(8):809–816.
45. Reilly S, Planner C, Gask L, et al. Collaborative care approaches for people with severe mental illness. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;(11):CD009531.
46. Roy-Byrne P, Craske MG, Sullivan G, et al. Delivery of evidence-based treatment for multiple anxiety disorders in primary care: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2010;303(19):1921–1928.
47. Jonas DE, Garbutt JC, Amick HR, et al. Behavioral counseling after screening for alcohol misuse in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;157(9):645–654.
48. Katon WJ, Lin EHB, Von Korff M, et al. Collaborative care for patients with depression and chronic illnesses. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(27):2611–2620.
49. Provincial System Support Program. About CAMH. Available at: http://www.camh.ca/en/hospital/about_camh/provincial_systems_support_program/Pages/default.aspx. Accessed January 10, 2018.
50. Sveriges Kommuner och Landsting. Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions. 2016. Available at: <https://skl.se/tjanster/englishpages.411.html>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
51. de Silva M, Lee L, Ryan G. Using Theory of Change in the development, implementation and evaluation of complex health interventions: a practical guide. Mental Health Innovation Network. Available at: http://www.mhinnovation.net/sites/default/files/downloads/resource/MHIN%20ToC%20guidelines_May_2015_0.pdf. Accessed March 19, 2019.
52. Rudolph L, Caplan J, Ben-Moshe K, Dillon L. *Health in All Policies: A Guide for State and Local Governments*. Washington, DC, and Oakland, CA: American Public Health Association and Public Health Institute; 2013.
53. Leppo K, Ollila E, Peña S, Wismar M, Cook S. Health in all policies: seizing opportunities, implementing policies. Helsinki, Finland: Ministry of Social Affairs and Health; 2013.
54. Joint Action Mental Health and Wellbeing. Mental health in all policies. Available at: <http://www.mentalhealthandwellbeing.eu/mental-health-in-all-policies>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
55. McQueen DV, Wismar M, Lin V, Jones CM, Davies M. Intersectoral governance for health in all policies. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2012.
56. Srihari VH, Tek C, Kucukgoncu S, et al. First-episode services for psychotic disorders in the US public sector: a pragmatic randomized controlled trial. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2015;66(7):705–712.
57. Kane JM, Robinson DG, Schooler NR, et al. Comprehensive versus usual community care for first-episode psychosis: 2-year outcomes from the NIMH RAISE early treatment program. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2016;173(4):362–372.
58. Marshall M, Rathbone J. Early intervention for psychosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011;(6):CD004718.
59. Van der Voort TY, Meijel BV, Goossens PJ, Renes J, Beekman AT, Kupka RW. Collaborative care for patients with bipolar disorder: a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2011; 11(1).
60. Vesga-López O, Blanco C, Keyes K, Olšon M, Grant BF, Hasin DS. Psychiatric disorders in pregnant and postpartum women in the United States. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2008;65(7):805–815.

61. Shonkoff JP, Boyce WT, Mcewen BS. Neuroscience, molecular biology, and the childhood roots of health disparities: building a new framework for health promotion and disease prevention. *JAMA*. 2009;301(21):2252–2259.
62. Sharkey P. The acute effect of local homicides on children's cognitive performance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107(26):11733–11738.
63. Kangoviu S. The problem with American health care is the care. *Stat*. November 7, 2017. Available at: <https://www.statnews.com/2017/11/07/health-care-america-disease>. Accessed November 7, 2017.
64. Krishnaratne S, Hensen B, Cordes J, Enstone J, Hargreaves JR. Interventions to strengthen the HIV prevention cascade: a systematic review of reviews. *Lancet HIV*. 2016;3(7):e307–e317.
65. Belkin GS, Unützer J, Kessler RC, et al. Scaling up for the “bottom billion”: “5x5” implementation of community mental health care in low-income regions. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2011;62(12):1494–1502.
66. Bower P, Gilbody S, Richards D, Fletcher J, Sutton A. Collaborative care for depression in primary care. Making sense of a complex intervention: systematic review and meta-regression. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2006;189(6):484–493.
67. Thota AB, Sipe TA, Byard GJ, et al. Collaborative care to improve the management of depressive disorders: a community guide systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Prev Med*. 2012;42(5):525–538.
68. Chorpita BF, Daleiden EL, Weisz JR. Identifying and selecting the common elements of evidence based interventions: a distillation and matching model. *Ment Health Serv Res*. 2005;7(1):5–20.
69. Weisz JR. Testing standard and modular designs for psychotherapy treating depression, anxiety, and conduct problems in youth. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012;69(3):274–282.
70. Singla DR, Kohrt BA, Murray LK, Anand A, Chorpita B, Patel V. Psychological treatments for the world: lessons from low- and middle-income countries. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2017;13(1):149–181.
71. World Health Organization. Task shifting global recommendations and guidelines. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2008.
72. Patel V, Weiss HA, Chowdhary N, et al. Effectiveness of an intervention led by lay health counsellors for depressive and anxiety disorders in primary care in Goa, India (MANAS): a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9758):2086–2095.
73. Hamdani SU, Akhtar P, Zill-E-Huma, et al. WHO Parents Skills Training (PST) programme for children with developmental disorders and delays delivered by family volunteers in rural Pakistan: study protocol for effectiveness implementation hybrid cluster randomized controlled trial. *Glob Ment Health (Camb)*. 2017;4:e11.
74. Ackermann RT, Finch EA, Brizendine E, Zhou H, Marrero DG. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program into the community. *Am J Prev Med*. 2008;35(4):357–363.
75. Patel V, Weobong B, Weiss HA, et al. The Healthy Activity Program (HAP), a lay counsellor-delivered brief psychological treatment for severe depression, in primary care in India: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2017;389(10065):176–185.
76. Victor RG, Ravenell JE, Freeman A, et al. Effectiveness of a barber-based intervention for improving hypertension control in Black men. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171(4):342–350.
77. Kakuma R, Minas H, Ginneken NV, et al. Human resources for mental health care: current situation and strategies for action. *Lancet*. 2011;378(9803):1654–1663.
78. Huang K-Y, Nakigudde J, Rhule D, et al. Transportability of an evidence-based early childhood intervention in a low-income African country: results of a cluster randomized controlled study. *Prev Sci*. 2017;18(8):964–975.
79. Chibanda D, Weiss HA, Verhey R, et al. Effect of a primary care-based psychological intervention on symptoms of common mental disorders in Zimbabwe. *JAMA*. 2016;316(24):2618–2626.
80. Shidhaye R, Murhar V, Gangale S, et al. The effect of VISHRAM, a grass-roots community-based mental health programme, on the treatment gap for depression in rural communities in India: a population-based study. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4(2):128–135.
81. Shortell SM. Bridging the divide between health and health care. *JAMA*. 2013;309(11):1121–1122.
82. Leigh S, Flatt S. App-based psychological interventions: friend or foe? *Evid Based Ment Health*. 2015;18(4):97–99.
83. Morris RR, Schueller SM, Picard RW. Efficacy of a web-based, crowd-sourced peer-to-peer cognitive reappraisal platform for depression: randomized controlled trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2015;17(3):e72.
84. Kessler D, Lewis G, Kaur S, et al. Therapist-delivered internet psychotherapy for depression in primary care: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9690):628–634.
85. Hedman E. Therapist guided internet delivered cognitive behavioural therapy. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g1977.
86. Donker T, Griffiths KM, Cuijpers P, Christensen H. Psychoeducation for depression, anxiety and psychological distress: a meta-analysis. *BMC Med*. 2009;7(1):79.
87. Cuijpers P, Donker T, Straten AV, Li J, Andersson G. Is guided self-help as effective as face-to-face psychotherapy for depression and anxiety disorders? A systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative outcome studies. *Psychol Med*. 2010;40(12):1943–1957.
88. Berrouiguet S, Baca-García E, Brandt S, Walter M, Courter P. Fundamentals for future mobile-health (mHealth): a systematic review of mobile phone and web-based text messaging in mental health. *J Med Internet Res*. 2016;18(6):e135.
89. Naslund JA, Marsch LA, Mchugo GJ, Bartels SJ. Emerging mHealth and eHealth interventions for serious mental illness: a review of the literature. *J Ment Health*. 2015;24(5):321–332.
90. Hamine S, Gerth-Guyette E, Faulx D, Green BB, Ginsburg AS. Impact of mHealth chronic disease management on treatment adherence and patient outcomes: a systematic review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2015;17(2):e52.
91. Price M, Yuen EK, Goetter EM, et al. mHealth: a mechanism to deliver more accessible, more effective mental health care. *Clin Psychol Psychother*. 2014;21(5):427–436.
92. Sucala M, Cuijpers P, Muench F, et al. Anxiety: there is an app for that. A systematic review of anxiety apps. *Depress Anxiety*. 2017;34(6):518–525.
93. Wells KB, Jones L, Chung B, et al. Community-partnered cluster-randomized comparative effectiveness trial of community engagement and planning or resources for services to address depression disparities. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013;28(10):1268–1278.
94. Sampson RJ, Wilson WJ. *Great American City*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 2013.
95. Scherer M, Fisher E, Chantarat T, et al. City voices: New Yorkers on health. Mental health: context matters. New York Academy of Medicine. 2014. Available at: https://www.nyam.org/media/filer_public/e9/53/e9535406-f76-40ee-a94e-b5baefe89c53/cityvoicesmentalhlthfinal7-16.pdf. Accessed March 16, 2019.
96. Paul KI, Moser K. Unemployment impairs mental health: meta-analyses. *J Vocat Behav*. 2009;74(3):264–282.
97. Chatterji P, Markowitz S. Family leave after childbirth and the mental health of new mothers. *J Ment Health Policy Econ*. 2012;15(2):61–76.
98. Baicker K, Taubman SL, Allen HL, et al. The Oregon Experiment—effects of Medicaid on clinical outcomes. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368(18):1713–1722.
99. Aloise-Young PA, Chavez EL. Not all school dropouts are the same: ethnic differences in the relation between reason for leaving school and adolescent substance use. *Psychol Sch*. 2002;39(5):539–547.
100. Esch P, Bocquet V, Pull C, et al. The downward spiral of mental disorders and educational attainment: a systematic review on early school leaving. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014;14(1):237.
101. Kling J, National Bureau of Economic Research. A summary overview of Moving to Opportunity: a random assignment housing mobility study in five US cities. The National Bureau of Economic Research. 2008. Available at: <http://www.nber.org/mtopublic/MTO%20Overview%20Summary.pdf>. Accessed October 16, 2017.
102. Caughy MO, Ocampo PJ, Muntaner C. Experiences of racism among African American parents and the mental health of their preschool-aged children. *Am J Public Health*. 2004;94(12):2118–2124.
103. Compton MT, Shim RS. *The Social Determinants of Mental Health*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2015.
104. Assari S, Moazen-Zadeh E, Caldwell CH, Zimmerman MA. Racial discrimination during adolescence predicts mental health deterioration in adulthood: gender differences among Blacks. *Front Public Health*. 2017;5:104.
105. Pascoe EA, Richman LS. Perceived discrimination and health: a meta-analytic review. *Psychol Bull*. 2009;135(4):531–554.
106. McLaughlin KA, Green JG, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC. Childhood adversities and first onset of psychiatric disorders in a national sample of US adolescents. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012;69(11):1151–1160.
107. Bratman GN, Hamilton JP, Hahn KS, Daily GC, Gross JJ. Nature experience reduces rumination and subgenual prefrontal cortex activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112(28):8567–8572.
108. Graham C, Pinto S, Ruiz J. Stress, worry, and social support: inequality in America's cities. Brookings Institution. 2015. Available at: <https://www.brookings.edu/research/stress-worry-and-social-support-inequality-in-americas-cities>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
109. Graham C. The high costs of being poor in America: stress, pain, and worry. Brookings Institution. 2015. Available at: <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/social-mobility-memos/2015/02/19/the-high-costs-of-being-poor-in-america-stress-pain-and-worry>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
110. Davey-Rothwell MA, German D, Latkin CA. Residential transience and depression: does the relationship exist for men and women? *J Urban Health*. 2008;85(5):707–716.

111. Ludwig J, Duncan GJ, Gennetian LA, et al. Long-term neighborhood effects on low-income families: evidence from Moving to Opportunity. *Am Econ Rev*. 2013;103(3):226–231.
112. Ludwig J, Sanbonmatsu L, Gennetian LA, et al. Neighborhoods, obesity, and diabetes—a randomized social experiment. *New Engl J Med*. 2011;365(16):1509–1519.
113. Chetty R, Hendren N, Katz LF. The effects of exposure to better neighborhoods on children: new evidence from the Moving to Opportunity experiment. *Am Econ Rev*. 2016;106(4):855–902.
114. Irvine KN, Warber SL, Devine-Wright P, Gaston KJ. Understanding urban green space as a health resource: a qualitative comparison of visit motivation and derived effects among park users in Sheffield, UK. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2013;10(1):417–442.
115. Sturm R, Cohen D. Proximity to urban parks and mental health. *J Ment Health Policy Econ*. 2014;17(1):19–24.
116. Chung B, Jones L, Jones A, et al. Community arts events to enhance collective efficacy and community engagement to address depression in an African American community. *Am J Public Health*. 2009;99(2):237–244.
117. Ahem J, Galea S. Collective efficacy and major depression in urban neighborhoods. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2011;173(12):1453–1462.
118. Odgers CL, Moffitt TE, Tach LM, et al. The protective effects of neighborhood collective efficacy on British children growing up in deprivation: a developmental analysis. *Dev Psychol*. 2009;45(4):942–957.
119. Roe J, Aspinall P, Thompson CW. Understanding relationships between health, ethnicity, place and the role of urban green space in deprived urban communities. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2016;13(7):E681.
120. Montgomery C. *Happy City: Transforming Our Lives Through Urban Design*. London, England: Penguin Books; 2015.
121. Centre for Urban Design and Mental Health. Available at: <https://www.urbandesignmentalhealth.com>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
122. Kousoulis AA, Goldie I. Mapping mental health priorities in London with real-world data. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4(10):e24.
123. Tebes JK, Matlin SL, Hunter B, Thompson AB, Prince DM, Mohatt N. Porch Light Program final evaluation report. 2015. Available at: http://dbhids.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Community_Mural-Arts_Porch-Light-Evaluation.pdf. Accessed January 10, 2018.
124. Lee S, Aos S, Pennucci A. What works and what does not? Benefit-cost findings from WSIPP. 15–02–4101. Olympia, WA: Washington State Institute for Public Policy; 2015.
125. Knapp M, McDaid D, Parsonage M. *Mental Health Promotion and Prevention: The Economic Case*. London, England: Department of Health; 2011.
126. Patel V, Belkin GS, Chockalingam A, Cooper J, Saxena S, Unützer J. Grand challenges: integrating mental health services into priority health care platforms. *PLoS Med*. 2013;10(5):e1001448.
127. The Joint Action for Mental Health and Well-being. Joint action on mental health and wellbeing situation analysis and policy recommendations in mental health in all policies. 2015. Available at: <http://www.mentalhealthandwellbeing.eu/assets/docs/publications/MHiAP%20Final.pdf>. Accessed January 10, 2018.
128. International City and Urban Regional Collaborative. About I-CIRCLE. Available at: <http://www.iimhl.com/icircle-about-us>. Accessed January 10, 2018.