
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 020 Port Richmond

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	89	67	59
Grade 1	94	113	91
Grade 2	92	80	95
Grade 3	87	84	78
Grade 4	82	77	90
Grade 5	87	79	74
All students	531	500	487

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	40%	41%	41%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	96%
% Student with IEPs	24%	27%	33%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	6%	10%	14%
% HRA Eligible	-	79%	80%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	10%
% Asian	0%	0%	1%
% Black	18%	15%	14%
% Hispanic	75%	78%	78%
% White	6%	5%	6%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

31R020 P.S. 020 Port Richmond

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.30

				2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA				-					
Average Student Proficiency	234	2.16	1.79	2.06	2.26	2.48	2.79	2.50	9.09%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	234	5.1%	0.0%	8.9%	15.3%	22.5%	34.7%	1.57	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	150	67.0	51.1	58.0	63.8	67.9	77.6	3.78	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	58	76.0	63.8	71.2	77.2	81.5	91.5	2.80	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	76	1.76	0.11	0.94	1.66	2.16	3.36	3.20	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	235	2.32	1.75	2.13	2.40	2.71	3.14	2.70	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	235	17.0%	0.0%	12.3%	21.1%	31.1%	46.6%	2.53	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	151	69.0	40.3	51.0	60.3	66.8	82.2	4.14	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	53	83.0	56.4	65.5	73.3	78.7	91.7	4.33	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	75	1.48	0.02	1.18	2.18	2.88	4.56	2.30	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	71	96.0%	79.1%	85.1%	89.3%	94.1%	100.0%	4.32	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.11

		2014-15 Targets										
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	29	12.4%	61.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	40	17.1%	86.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	1	0.4%	3.8%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	29	12.3%	61.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	39	16.6%	84.7%	5.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.71	0.030	0.005
SETSS	1	0.4%	3.8%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	65	43.3%	92.5%	38.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.22	0.030	0.017
Lowest Third Citywide	80	53.3%	77.4%	46.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.90	0.030	0.014
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	39	26.0%	65.8%	48.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.29	0.030	0.017
SC/ICT/SETSS	44	29.3%	72.8%	52.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.76	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	66	43.7%	89.7%	50.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.21	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide	82	54.3%	74.6%	57.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.18	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	38	25.2%	65.6%	52.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.98	0.030	0.022
• SC/ICT/SETSS	44	29.1%	73.2%	75.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.95	0.030	0.030
ELL Progress	199	40.9%	100.0%	58.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.37	0.030	0.018
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.19
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.30

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

31R020 P.S. 020 Port Richmond

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	86%	2.76	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.44	50%
		-	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	82.1%	3.88	
HS			
Overall	82.1%	3.88	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.06	1.43	
HS			
Overall	0.06	1.43	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	85%	3.76	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	
ección nating, meeting rarget		3 0	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.12	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
NVC School Survey - Trust	90%	2 56	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust	JU70	3.56	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	



P.S. 020 Port Richmond

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			•	, .		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	90	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	92	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.54	3.16
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	77	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.27	2.08
Section Results:		86%					2.76
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	32	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Combined	92	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.56	3.24
Quality of professional development	Teachers	80	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.58	3.32
School commitment	Teachers	86	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.66	3.64
Innovation	Teachers	84	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.48	2.92
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.73	3.92
Focus on student learning	Teachers	88	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.64	3.56
Collective responsibility	Teachers	85	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.56	3.24
Section Results:	reactiets	89%	03.7	04.7	100.0	0.50	3.44
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	94	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.69	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	94				0.69	3.76
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	86	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.58	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	86				0.58	3.32
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	86	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.44	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	86				0.44	2.76
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.81	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	95				0.78	4.12
Section Results:		92%					3.60

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.57	3.28
Teacher influence	Teachers	65	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.57	3.28
 Program coherence 	Teachers	94	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.86	4.44
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	91	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:		85%					3.76
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.77	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.58	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.67	3.68
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.38	2.52
Section Results:		84%					3.12
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	85	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.67	3.68
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	84	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.40	2.60

31R020

P.S. 020 Port Richmond

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.16	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.30	2.31 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	5.1%	8.9% or lower	9.0% to 14.0%	14.1% to 17.9%	18.0% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.32	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.51	2.52 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.91	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	17.0%	15.7% or lower	15.8% to 22.9%	23.0% to 28.4%	28.5% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	96.0%	84.4% or lower	84.5% to 88.4%	88.5% to 91.3%	91.4% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.83	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.97	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
SETSS		1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
ELL	2.09	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.78	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.13	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher			
SETSS		1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher			
ELL	2.29	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.46	2.47 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	58.8%	31.5% or lower	31.6% to 41.5%	41.6% to 49.9%	50.0% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	82.1%	73.3% or lower	73.4% to 80.1%	80.2% to 85.2%	85.3% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.06	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			