
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Leadership Prep Canarsie Charter School (Leadership Prep CS 4)

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	-	56	50
Grade 1	-	-	50
Grade 5	-	84	78
Grade 6	-	-	70
All students	-	140	248

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	-	0%	1%
% Free Lunch Eligible	-	73%	68%
% Student with IEPs	-	16%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	-	1%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	53%	46%
% Temporary Housing	-	6%	4%
% Asian	-	1%	0%
% Black	-	93%	90%
% Hispanic	-	4%	4%
% White	-	0%	1%
% Other	-	3%	5%

Leadership Prep Canarsie Charter School (Leadership Prep CS 4)

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.18

				2	014-15 Target	s			
Chudant Ashious want Matuisa		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	146	2.49	2.00	2.27	2.45	2.66	2.94	3.19	11.11%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	146	24.0%	2.0%	15.0%	24.2%	34.7%	48.3%	2.98	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	136	63.5	46.3	54.5	61.6	66.6	78.5	3.38	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	47	79.0	56.2	64.9	72.4	77.7	90.2	4.10	11.11%
Early Grade Progress			0.46	1.21	1.87	2.32	3.42		0.00%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	146	2.88	1.89	2.31	2.61	2.95	3.38	3.79	11.11%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	146	48.6%	0.0%	17.8%	30.7%	45.3%	63.7%	4.18	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	134	87.0	36.8	49.3	60.1	67.7	85.8	4.99	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	48	90.0	47.9	60.0	70.3	77.5	94.9	4.72	11.11%
Early Grade Progress			0.02	1.34	2.49	3.29	5.19		0.00%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	73	96.3%	74.0%	81.3%	86.6%	92.5%	100.0%	4.51	11.11%
								Weighted Average Score	3.98

		2014-15 Targets										
		2014-15 School	Donulation %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•										
 Self-Contained 	11	7.5%	36.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	7	4.8%	24.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	7	4.8%	45.7%	14.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.89	0.030	0.029
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	11	7.5%	37.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	7	4.8%	24.5%	42.9%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	7	4.8%	46.2%	14.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.51	0.030	0.019
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.2%	4.7%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	45	33.1%	47.2%	57.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.13	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	17.6%	44.6%	50.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.42	0.030	0.018
• SC/ICT/SETSS	24	17.6%	39.3%	58.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.16	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.2%	4.5%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	56	41.8%	57.4%	67.9%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.63	0.030	0.027
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	17.9%	46.6%	70.8%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.68	0.030	0.028
• SC/ICT/SETSS	23	17.2%	38.9%	69.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.73	0.030	0.028
ELL Progress	2	0.8%	2.0%		31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.20
									Over	all Student Achie	woment Score	4.18

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports


Leadership Prep Canarsie Charter School (Leadership Prep CS 4)

84K724

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
igorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1			
Quality Review 1.2			
Quality Review 2.2			
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.16	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.28	
comment and the second		0	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	98%	4.56	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.59	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	93%	3.88	65%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	00.40/	4.40	
EMS	90.4%	4.40	
HS Overall	90.4%	4.40	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	90.4%	4.40	30%
environments			
EMS	0.81	4.99	
HS	0.01	4.55	
Overall	0.81	4.99	5%
	0.01	1133	3,0
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.30	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	96%	4.76	100%
	30,1		
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.76	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	89%	4.08	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.08	
rust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.44	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.44	

^{*} These scores have been rescaled so that schools without Quality Review ratings are measured on a comparable scale to schools with Quality Review ratings.



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

Section Sect					City Range			
Rigorous Instruction			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range		Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Common Core shifts in literacy Teachers 100 8.6.4 94.8 100.0 1.00 0.7.9 4.1	Rigorous Instruction		,	J	, 0		•	
Course clarity Students 93 84.3 92.7 100.0 0.57 3.3 3.5 0.18 10.0 0.78 3.4 3.5 0.18 10.0 0.78 3.4 3.5 0.18 10.0 0.78 3.4 3.5 0.18 3.5 0.18 3.5	•	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Collaborative Teachers	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.79	4.16
Section Results: 96%	Course clarity	Students	93	84.3	92.7	100.0	0.57	3.28
Collaborative Teachers	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	93	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.78	4.12
Cultural awareness:	Section Results:		96%					4.16
Cultural awareness: Teachers 93 85.4 95.0 100.0 0.50 Cultural awareness Parents 96 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.75 Cultural awareness Students 92 68.6 87.4 100.0 0.75 Cultural awareness Combined 93 8.2 100.0 0.75 3.4 Cultural awareness Combined 93 84.2 94.6 100.0 0.50 3.3 Inclusive classroom instruction Teachers 92 84.2 94.6 100.0 0.98 4.4 School commitment Teachers 99 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.97 4.4 Innovation Teachers 100 70.3 86.7 100.0 1.00 4.9 Feflective dialogue Teachers 100 87.9 95.9 100.0 1.00 4.9 Feculti structural awareness 100 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.95 4.4	Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness								
Cultural awareness		Teachers	93	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness Students 92 68.6 87.4 100.0 0.75 Cultural awareness Combined 93 8.2 94.6 100.0 0.50 3.4 Inclusive classroom instruction Teachers 92 8.4.2 94.6 100.0 0.98 4.5 Quality of professional development Teachers 99 51.4 77.4 100.0 0.98 4.5 School commitment Teachers 99 59.9 58.3 100.0 0.97 4.4 Inclusive classroom instruction Teachers 100 70.3 86.7 100.0 1.00 4.5 School commitment Teachers 100 87.9 95.9 100.0 1.00 4.5 Focus on student learning Teachers 99 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.97 4.4 Focus on student learning Teachers 100 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.97 4.4 Sectics Section 100 65.7								
Cultural awareness								
Inclusive classroom instruction Teachers 92 84.2 94.6 100.0 0.50 3.6								3.68
Quality of professional development Teachers 99 51.4 77.4 100.0 0.98 4.5 School commitment Teachers 99 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.97 4.4 Innovation Teachers 100 70.3 86.7 100.0 1.00 4.5 Reflective dialogue Teachers 100 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.95 4.8 Peer collaboration Teachers 99 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.95 4.8 Focus on student learning Teachers 99 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.97 4.8 Focus on Students 98% 80.0 100.0 1.00 4.5 Section Results: 98% 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 4.5 Statesty Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 4.2 Classroom behavior: Teachers 100 66.9 85.5 100.0 1.00 4.2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>84.2</td> <td>94.6</td> <td>100.0</td> <td></td> <td>3.00</td>				84.2	94.6	100.0		3.00
School commitment Teachers 99 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.97 4.4 Innovation Teachers 100 70.3 86.7 100.0 1.00 4.5 Reflective dialogue Teachers 100 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.95 4.4 Poer collaboration Teachers 99 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.95 4.4 Focus on student learning Teachers 99 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.97 4.8 Collective responsibility Teachers 100 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.10 4.5 Safety Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 4.0 Safety Students 92 74.5 88.5 100.0 0.70 4.2 Classroom behavior Teachers 100 66.9 85.5 100.0 1.00 4.2 Classroom behavior Teachers 83 67.3								4.92
Innovation	, ,							4.88
• Reflective dialogue Teachers 100 87.9 95.9 100.0 1.00 4.5 • Peer collaboration Teachers 99 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.95 4.8 • Focus on student learning Teachers 99 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.97 4.4 • Collective responsibility Teachers 100 65.7 84.7 100.0 1.00 4.5 Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 4.5 Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 4.5 Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 4.5 Safety Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 1.00 5.0 85.5 100.0 0.70 2.0 4.0 6.0 9.0 85.5 100.0 0.0 6.0 9.0 85.5		Teachers						4.99
• Peer collaboration Teachers 99 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.95 4.8 • Focus on student learning Teachers 99 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.97 4.8 • Collective responsibility Teachers 100 65.7 84.7 100.0 1.00 4.8 Section Results: 98% Section Results: 3.10 1.00 4.8 Solety Section Results: 98% 94.6 100.0 1.00 1.00 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 4.8 4.2 <t< td=""><td>Reflective dialogue</td><td>Teachers</td><td>100</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4.99</td></t<>	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100					4.99
 Focus on student learning Teachers Collective responsibility Teachers 100 65.7 84.7 100.0 1.00 4.8 Section Results: 98% 4.8 Section Results: Supportive Environment Safety: Safety Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 Safety Students 92 74.5 88.5 100.0 0.70 Safety Combined 96 80.9 85.5 100.0 1.00 1.00 Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Eachers 100 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.49 Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Teachers 83 67.3 84.3 100.0 0.49 100 1.00 1.00								4.80
Collective responsibility Teachers 100 65.7 84.7 100.0 1.00 4.5 Section Results: 98% 4.7 100.0 1.00 4.5 Supportive Environment Safety: Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 1.00 2.00	 Focus on student learning 							4.88
Supportive Environment Safety Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00								4.99
Supportive Environment Safety Teachers 100 80.0 94.6 100.0 1.00 1.00 5afety Students 92 74.5 88.5 100.0 0.70 0.85 4.4 1.00	·		98%					4.56
Safety Students 92 74.5 88.5 100.0 0.70 Safety Combined 96	Safety:							
Safety Combined 96								
Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Teachers 100 66.9 85.5 100.0 1.00 Classroom behavior Students 83 67.3 84.3 100.0 0.49 Classroom behavior Combined 92 0.74 3.5 Social-emotional measure Teachers 98 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.80 4.2 Peer interactions Students 84 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.51 3.6 Next-level guidance Students 8 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.51 3.6 Press toward academic achievement: Teachers 100 75.0 88.8 100.0 1.00 Press toward academic achievement Students 91 85.3 91.9 98.5 0.50 Peer stoward academic achievement Combined 95 0.75 0.75 4.0 Peer support for academic work: 91 77.8 89.6 100.0 0.61 3.4 Pe	•			/4.5	88.5	100.0		
Classroom behavior Teachers 100 66.9 85.5 100.0 1.00	,	Combined	96				0.85	4.40
Classroom behavior Students 83 67.3 84.3 100.0 0.49 Classroom behavior Combined 92								
Classroom behavior Combined 92 0.74 3.5 Social-emotional measure Teachers 98 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.80 4.2 Peer interactions Students 84 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.51 3.0 Next-level guidance Students Versity								
• Social-emotional measure Teachers 98 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.80 4.2 Peer interactions Students 84 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.51 3.0 Next-level guidance Students Fress toward academic achievement: Veress toward academic achievement: Veress toward academic achievement Teachers 100 75.0 88.8 100.0 1.00 1.00 Press toward academic achievement Students 91 85.3 91.9 98.5 0.50 98.5 0.50 98.5 0.50 4.0 9.0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>67.3</td><td>84.3</td><td>100.0</td><td></td><td></td></t<>				67.3	84.3	100.0		
Peer interactions Students 84 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.51 3.0 Next-level guidance Students Fress toward academic achievement: Fress toward academic achievement: Students 100 75.0 88.8 100.0 1.00					0.0.0	4000		3.96
Next-level guidance Students Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Teachers 100 75.0 88.8 100.0 1.00 Press toward academic achievement Students 91 85.3 91.9 98.5 0.50 Press toward academic achievement Combined 95 0.75 4.0 Personal attention and support Students 91 77.8 89.6 100.0 0.61 3.4 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 100 76.5 91.5 100.0 1.00 Peer support for academic work Parents 98 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.83 Peer support for academic work Students 77 50.4 73.8 97.2 0.58								4.20
Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Teachers 100 75.0 88.8 100.0 1.00 Press toward academic achievement Students 91 85.3 91.9 98.5 0.50 Press toward academic achievement Combined 95 Personal attention and support Students 91 77.8 89.6 100.0 0.61 3.4 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 100 76.5 91.5 100.0 1.00 Peer support for academic work Parents 98 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.83 Peer support for academic work Students 77 50.4 73.8 97.2 0.58			84	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.51	3.04
Press toward academic achievement Teachers 100 75.0 88.8 100.0 1.00 Press toward academic achievement Students 91 85.3 91.9 98.5 0.50 Press toward academic achievement Combined 95 Personal attention and support Students 91 77.8 89.6 100.0 0.61 3.4 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 100 76.5 91.5 100.0 1.00 Peer support for academic work Parents 98 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.83 Peer support for academic work Students 77 50.4 73.8 97.2 0.58	-							
Press toward academic achievement Students 91 85.3 91.9 98.5 0.50 Press toward academic achievement Combined 95 0.75 4.0 Personal attention and support Students 91 77.8 89.6 100.0 0.61 3.4 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 100 76.5 91.5 100.0 1.00 Peer support for academic work Parents 98 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.83 Peer support for academic work Students 77 50.4 73.8 97.2 0.58			100	75.0	00.0	100.0	1.00	
 Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Students 91 77.8 89.6 100.0 0.61 3.4 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work Parents 98 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.83 Peer support for academic work Students 77 50.4 73.8 97.2 0.58 								
Personal attention and support Students 91 77.8 89.6 100.0 0.61 3.4 Peer support for academic work Teachers 100 76.5 91.5 100.0 1.00 Peer support for academic work Parents 98 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.83 Peer support for academic work Students 77 50.4 73.8 97.2 0.58				85.3	91.9	98.5		4.00
Peer support for academic work:Peer support for academic workTeachers10076.591.5100.01.00Peer support for academic workParents9888.494.8100.00.83Peer support for academic workStudents7750.473.897.20.58				77.0	90.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work Teachers 100 76.5 91.5 100.0 1.00 Peer support for academic work Parents 98 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.83 Peer support for academic work Students 77 50.4 73.8 97.2 0.58		Students	91	//.8	89.0	100.0	0.01	3.44
Peer support for academic work Parents 98 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.83 Peer support for academic work Students 77 50.4 73.8 97.2 0.58	• •	Topologia	100	76.5	01 5	100.0	1.00	
Peer support for academic work Students 77 50.4 73.8 97.2 0.58								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
reel support for adductific work — Compiled 92 — 11XD 4.7				50.4	/3.8	97.2		4.20
		Combined					0.80	4.20 3.88

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

84K724 Leadership Prep Canarsie Charter School (Leadership Prep CS 4)

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership	-						
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	97	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.87	4.48
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	88	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.93	4.72
 Program coherence 	Teachers	98	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.96	4.84
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		96%					4.76
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	98	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.87	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	99				0.94	4.76
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	79	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		89%					4.08
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	98	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.85	4.40
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Student-teacher trust	Students	87	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.63	3.52
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		96%					4.44

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.49	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.52	2.53 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.00	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.17	2.18 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	24.0%	15.5% or lower	15.6% to 21.0%	21.1% to 25.1%	25.2% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.88	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.51	2.52 to 2.63	2.64 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.24	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	48.6%	18.6% or lower	18.7% to 25.9%	26.0% to 31.3%	31.4% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	96.3%	84.7% or lower	84.8% to 88.6%	88.7% to 91.5%	91.6% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.79	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.89	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.17	2.18 or higher
SETSS	2.45	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
ELL		2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.98	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.68	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 or higher
SETSS	2.23	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.31	2.32 or higher
ELL		2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.63	2.64 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.23	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.13	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
ELL Progress		48.1% or lower	48.2% to 58.1%	58.2% to 65.6%	65.7% or higher

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	90.4%	76.3% or lower	76.4% to 82.4%	82.5% to 86.9%	87.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.81	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.33	0.34 or higher			