Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
Collaborative Teachers	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4 4.9

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

P.S. 014 Cornelius Vanderbilt

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015	
Grade 3	111	-	-	
Grade 4	94	106	-	
Grade 5	120	94	101	
All students	325	200	101	

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	11%	11%	9%
% Free Lunch Eligible	86%	86%	87%
% Student with IEPs	28%	37%	40%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	10%	9%	7%
% HRA Eligible	-	71%	80%
% Temporary Housing	-	16%	15%
% Asian	4%	4%	5%
% Black	41%	41%	43%
% Hispanic	46%	48%	44%
% White	8%	8%	8%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

Student Achievement Rating

Early Grade Progress

• MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

31R014 P.S. 014 Cornelius Vanderbilt

Weight Pct

0.00%

11.11%

		2014-15 Targets							
Charlent Ashioasent Matrice		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	94	2.16	1.90	2.10	2.24	2.39	2.67	2.43	

96.7%

81

Student Achievement Score

11.11% Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 94 7.4% 0.0% 8.1% 13.9% 20.5% 32.6% 1.91 11.11% Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 89 74.0 46.1 55.2 63.2 68.7 81.9 4.40 11.11% 31 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 91.0 61.6 70.0 77.2 82.3 94.3 4.73 11.11% Early Grade Progress 0.11 0.89 1.57 2.05 3.18 0.00% **State Test Results - Math** 2.23 1.83 2.93 11.11% **Average Student Proficiency** 96 2.11 2.31 2.54 2.60 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 96 15.6% 0.0% 10.1% 17.3% 25.5% 40.6% 2.76 11.11% 89 34.8 47.7 4.62 11.11% Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 78.0 58.8 66.6 85.0 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 30 90.5 53.4 63.8 72.9 79.2 94.3 4.75 11.11%

0.02

74.3%

2.07

86.7%

1.11

81.5%

2.73

92.6%

4.34

100.0%

Weighted Average Score

4.55

						2	1014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	11	11.7%	57.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	18.1%	91.9%	5.9%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.79	0.030	0.013
o SETSS	8	8.5%	81.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	11	11.5%	57.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	17.7%	90.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• SETSS	8	8.3%	79.8%	25.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.71	0.030	0.028
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	9.0%	19.2%	50.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	54	60.7%	88.5%	64.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.49	0.030	0.026
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	23.6%	59.7%	61.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.28	0.030	0.025
• SC/ICT/SETSS	34	38.2%	98.3%	73.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.83	0.030	0.029
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	9.0%	18.5%	87.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	58	65.2%	89.6%	63.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.45	0.030	0.026
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	23.6%	61.5%	61.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.34	0.030	0.025
• SC/ICT/SETSS	34	38.2%	99.4%	76.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELL Progress	9	8.9%	22.6%	55.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000

CtAG Additional Points

Overall Student Achievement Score

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 014 Cornelius Vanderbilt

31R014

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1			
Quality Review 1.2			
Quality Review 2.2			
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	82%	1.68	
Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.28	
Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	3.00	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	57.0%	1.56	
HS			
Overall	57.0%	1.56	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.32	3.70	
HS			
Overall	0.32	3.70	
Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	010/	2.20	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81%	3.28	
Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	79%	2.20	
Section Rating:	Section Scor	е:	
ust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	90%	3.04	
Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		Survey 70 T OSITIVE	Dottom of hange	City Aug	10p of nange	referre of hunge	30010
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	85	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	83	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.00	1.00
Course clarity	Students	03	84.3	92.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	77	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.25	2.00
Section Results:	reactiers	82%	00.7	65.5	100.0	0.23	1.68
dection results.		0270					1.00
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	83	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	88	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.25	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	86				0.13	1.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	75	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.48	2.92
School commitment	Teachers	79	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.48	2.92
Innovation	Teachers	83	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.44	2.76
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	90	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.55	3.20
Focus on student learning	Teachers	93	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.79	4.16
Collective responsibility	Teachers	83	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.51	3.04
Section Results:	reactions	87%	03.7	04.7	100.0	0.51	3.28
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers		80.0	94.6	100.0		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined						
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers		66.9	85.5	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined						
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers		75.0	88.8	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined						
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers		76.5	91.5	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	78	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.00	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	78				0.00	1.00
Section Results:		89%					3.00

31R014

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	84	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.24	1.96
Teacher influence	Teachers	53	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.38	2.52
Program coherence	Teachers	88	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.69	3.76
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	98	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		81%					3.28
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	92	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	79	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	85				0.25	2.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	72	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.34	2.36
Section Results:		79%					2.20
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	88	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.25	2.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	78	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	96	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.90	4.60
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	97	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.87	4.48
Section Results:		90%					3.04

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.16							
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88							
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	7.4%							
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.23							
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.81							
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	15.6%							
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	96.7%	83.9% or lower	84.0% to 88.0%	88.1% to 91.1%	91.2% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.84							
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.95							
SETSS	2.12							
ELL	1.97							
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92							
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92							
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.81							
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.79							
SETSS	2.32							
ELL	1.79							
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93							
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00							
ELL Progress	55.6%							

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	57.0%							
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.32							