2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target		2.72		
Collaborative Teachers	Approaching Target			2.96	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2	.88	
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.40
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target				4.32
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.12
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.59	
		1	2	3 4	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	55	44	47
Grade 1	45	56	48
Grade 2	42	42	55
Grade 3	75	41	47
Grade 4	48	62	39
Grade 5	38	40	64
All students	321	303	326

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	8%	7%
% Free Lunch Eligible	83%	83%	87%
% Student with IEPs	19%	29%	32%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	8%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	75%	75%
% Temporary Housing	-	26%	30%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	66%	63%	61%
% Hispanic	30%	31%	33%
% White	4%	5%	4%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.59									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		141	2.21	1.93	2.10	2.21	2.34	2.61	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		141	16.3%	1.1%	8.3%	13.4%	19.1%	31.0%	3.51	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		85	62.0	46.1	55.1	62.8	68.2	81.2	2.90	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi	rd	30	68.0	60.5	69.1	76.7	82.0	94.5	1.87	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		46	2.14	0.27	0.87	1.41	1.79	2.73	4.37	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		145	2.27	1.91	2.13	2.28	2.45	2.81	2.93	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		145	14.5%	0.8%	9.6%	15.8%	22.9%	37.8%	2.79	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		90	66.5	33.7	46.7	57.9	65.7	84.2	4.04	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi	rd	32	92.0	53.1	63.4	72.4	78.7	93.6	4.89	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		47	2.43	0.02	0.97	1.80	2.38	3.84	4.03	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		34	87.7%	73.9%	81.2%	86.4%	92.3%	99.8%	3.22	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.41

						2	014-15 Targets	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			of Kange	School value	Taiget Nange	laiget	laiget	Idiget	ranget hange	Wether Score	10331016	Lanieu
 Self-Contained 	12	8.5%	41.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	18	12.8%	65.0%	5.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.68	0.030	0.013
o setss	11	7.8%	74.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	13	9.0%	44.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	18	12.4%	63.3%	16.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.69	0.030	0.020
O SETSS	11	7.6%	73.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	10	11.8%	25.2%	30.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.39	0.030	0.010
Lowest Third Citywide	47	55.3%	80.4%	42.6%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.46	0.030	0.011
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	28.2%	71.4%	41.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.57	0.030	0.012
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	31.8%	79.9%	40.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.59	0.030	0.012
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	13	14.4%	29.6%	61.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.66	0.030	0.028
Lowest Third Citywide	63	70.0%	96.2%	57.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.17	0.030	0.024
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	28	31.1%	81.0%	60.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.30	0.030	0.025
SC/ICT/SETSS	29	32.2%	82.1%	72.4%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.85	0.030	0.029
ELL Progress	22	7.4%	18.8%	50.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.18
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.59

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
05M197
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.12	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	3.88	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.52	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	53.5%	1.36	
HS			
Overall	53.5%	1.36	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.43	4.32	
HS	_		
Overall	0.43	4.32	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	92%	4.40	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	92%	4.32	100%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
t			
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.12	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Contine Conve	4 12	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
05M197
```

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.85	4.40	
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	99	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.94	4.76	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20	
Section Results:		94%					4.12	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.51		
Cultural awareness	Parents	98	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.88		
Cultural awareness	Students	50	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.00		
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.69	3.76	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	92	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.50	3.00	
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	90	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.79	4.16	
 School commitment 	Teachers	93	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.83	4.32	
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.57	3.28	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36	
 Peer collaboration 	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.87	4.48	
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	97	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.90	4.60	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	81	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.46	2.84	
Section Results:	redefiers	92%	0017	0117	20010	0110	3.88	
Supportive Environment Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.80		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
Safety	Combined	96				0.80	4.20	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	90	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.70		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	90				0.70	3.80	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	94	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:		0.5	== 0	00.0	400.0	0.40		
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	85	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.40		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5	0.10		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	85			400.0	0.40	2.60	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:	Teeel	0.4	70 5	04 5	100.0	0.75		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	94	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.75		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75		
Peer support for academic work	Students	05	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.75	4.00	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.75	4.00	
Section Results:		92%					3.52	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
05M197
```

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.76	4.04
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	79	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.79	4.16
 Program coherence 	Teachers	95	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	99	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.97	4.88
Section Results:		92%					4.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.87	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.77	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	97				0.82	4.28
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	86	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.83	4.32
Section Results:		92%					4.32
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	98	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.66	3.64
Section Results:		95%					4.12

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.21	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.82	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.3%	7.7% or lower	7.8% to 12.1%	12.2% to 15.5%	15.6% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.27	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.36	2.37 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.94	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.5%	9.7% or lower	9.8% to 15.4%	15.5% to 19.7%	19.8% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	87.7%	83.2% or lower	83.3% to 87.5%	87.6% to 90.7%	90.8% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.75	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.98	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
SETSS	1.78	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
ELL	2.05	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.96	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.19	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
SETSS	1.99	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.15	2.16 or higher
ELL	2.02	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and hispanie males in Lowest find city mae					

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	53.5%	57.3% or lower	57.4% to 64.2%	64.3% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.43	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.23	0.24 or higher		