
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 212 Lady Deborah Moody

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	130	115	119
Grade 1	103	134	123
Grade 2	112	111	115
Grade 3	86	103	96
Grade 4	78	83	97
Grade 5	97	71	81
All students	676	678	694

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	21%	21%	18%
% Free Lunch Eligible	80%	80%	80%
% Student with IEPs	21%	21%	25%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	7%	7%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	67%	66%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	8%
% Asian	28%	30%	32%
% Black	18%	18%	16%
% Hispanic	30%	31%	32%
% White	23%	21%	20%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

P.S. 212 Lady Deborah Moody

21K212

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.86

				2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	266	2.63	2.16	2.41	2.55	2.71	2.95	3.50	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	266	33.1%	11.0%	22.3%	29.5%	37.7%	48.8%	3.44	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	164	74.5	51.1	57.9	63.7	67.7	77.3	4.71	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	57	80.0	58.7	66.4	73.1	77.8	89.0	4.20	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	94	2.04	0.95	1.57	2.06	2.41	3.25	2.96	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	267	2.70	2.22	2.56	2.77	3.00	3.32	2.67	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	267	34.8%	12.9%	28.3%	38.5%	50.1%	65.1%	2.64	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	165	71.0	45.3	55.1	63.4	69.1	82.9	4.14	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	60	77.0	55.2	63.9	71.3	76.5	88.9	4.04	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	94	2.06	0.75	1.78	2.64	3.23	4.68	2.33	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	64	95.9%	77.0%	83.5%	88.1%	93.4%	100.0%	4.38	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.55

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•	-					-				
Self-Contained	36	13.5%	66.5%	2.8%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.05	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	10.9%	55.3%	13.8%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.69	0.030	0.028
• SETSS	8	3.0%	28.6%	12.5%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.59	0.030	0.027
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	35	13.1%	65.2%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.00	0.030	0.008
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	10.9%	55.6%	17.2%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.78	0.030	0.021
o SETSS	8	3.0%	28.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
51.0 D												
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile	42	25.60/	E 4 70/	-4.0 0/	42.70/	26.00/	26.20/	45.40/	50.00/	4.00	0.000	0.025
• ELL	42	25.6%	54.7%	54.8%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.36	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	51	31.1%	44.2%	60.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.28	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	17	10.4%	26.3%	47.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.12	0.030	0.016
• SC/ICT/SETSS	44	26.8%	65.6%	59.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.19	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	42	25.5%	52.4%	52.4%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.30	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	60	36.4%	50.0%	55.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.08	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	12.7%	33.1%	57.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.16	0.030	0.024
SC/ICT/SETSS	45	27.3%	68.0%	60.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.35	0.030	0.025
ELL Progress	108	17.2%	43.7%	55.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.05	0.030	0.015
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.31
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.86

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 212 Lady Deborah Moody

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.36	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.08	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.52	50%
NTC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	03/0	3.32	30%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
ipportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	96%	4.24	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	72.5%	1.40	
HS			
Overall	72.5%	1.40	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.08	1.57	
HS	0.00	2.07	
Overall	0.08	1.57	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	78%	3.08	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	79%	2.28	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.28	
Section Rating. Approaching raiget	Section Score.	2.20	
rust		0	
NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	3.48	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	



P.S. 212 Lady Deborah Moody

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	91	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	85	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.53	3.12
Section Results:		91%					3.36
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	90	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	30	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Combined	92	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.63	3.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	81	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.75	3.44
School commitment	Teachers	89	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.71	3.84
Innovation	Teachers	82	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.39	2.56
	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration 	Teachers			93.9		0.73	
	Teachers	94	77.6	92.2 89.0	100.0		3.92 4.16
Focus on student learning Collective responsibility.		93	68.2		100.0	0.79	
Collective responsibility Section Results:	Teachers	77 89%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.32	2.28 3.52
Section results.		0370					3.32
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.94	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	99				0.94	4.76
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	93	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.78	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	93	07.10	00	200.0	0.78	4.12
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students	100	68.2	84.8	100.0	1.00	55
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	00	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement:	21330110						
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	93	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.72	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	33	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.72	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	93	03.3	31.3	30.3	0.72	3.88
Personal attention and support	Students	23	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.72	5.00
Peer support for academic work:	Judents		77.0	03.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	94	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.74	
Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.74	
	Students	74		73.8	97.2	0.50	
Peer support for academic work		93	50.4	/3.0	37.4	0.62	3.48
Peer support for academic work	Combined					0.02	
Section Results:		96%					4.24

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	87	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.37	2.48
Teacher influence	Teachers	50	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.33	2.32
Program coherence	Teachers	86	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.65	3.60
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	89	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:	. 5005.5	78%	<u> </u>	07.0		<u> </u>	3.08
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	88	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	66	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.13	1.52
Section Results:		79%					2.28
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	92	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	94	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.86	4.44
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	90	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		92%					3.48

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.63	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.43	2.44 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.08	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	33.1%	13.8% or lower	13.9% to 19.3%	19.4% to 23.5%	23.6% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.70	2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.62	2.63 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.05	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	34.8%	20.5% or lower	20.6% to 27.8%	27.9% to 33.3%	33.4% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.9%	86.4% or lower	86.5% to 89.9%	90.0% to 92.5%	92.6% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.87	1.71 or lower	1.72 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.27	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
SETSS	2.43	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
ELL	2.64	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.89	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.42	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.38	2.39 or higher			
SETSS	2.47	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
ELL	2.75	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.53	2.54 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	55.6%	42.8% or lower	42.9% to 52.8%	52.9% to 60.3%	60.4% or highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	72.5%	70.0% or lower	70.1% to 76.8%	76.9% to 82.0%	82.1% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.08	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			