# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Meeting Target			3.68	
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Meeting Target			3	.88
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.08	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target				3.92
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.08	
Trust	Meeting Target			3.	84
Student Achievement	Meeting Target				3.95
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 057 Crescent

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data


# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	81	95	86
Grade 1	78	81	87
Grade 2	81	76	78
Grade 3	88	95	73
Grade 4	89	85	101
Grade 5	87	90	82
All students	522	558	543

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	13%	10%	13%
% Free Lunch Eligible	86%	86%	86%
% Student with IEPs	22%	25%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	8%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	80%	78%
% Temporary Housing	-	26%	26%
% Asian	1%	1%	0%
% Black	25%	29%	26%
% Hispanic	73%	69%	70%
% White	1%	1%	0%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

12X057 P.S. 057 Crescent



# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.95									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		241	2.28	1.92	2.11	2.23	2.37	2.65	3.36	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		241	16.2%	0.5%	8.3%	14.0%	20.3%	32.3%	3.35	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		166	67.5	45.7	54.7	62.5	67.9	80.9	3.93	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi</li> </ul>	ird	57	83.0	62.1	70.0	76.7	81.4	92.4	4.15	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		65	1.92	0.30	0.94	1.50	1.89	2.87	4.03	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		246	2.33	1.89	2.15	2.33	2.54	2.91	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		246	19.1%	0.0%	10.8%	18.6%	27.4%	42.6%	3.06	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		169	73.0	36.5	48.4	58.8	66.1	83.4	4.40	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi</li> </ul>	ird	59	80.0	55.9	65.4	73.5	79.2	92.7	4.06	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		68	2.35	0.02	1.06	1.96	2.59	4.14	3.62	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		77	91.9%	73.9%	81.2%	86.4%	92.3%	99.8%	3.93	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.72

						2	014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics		2014-15 School	•	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	24	40.00/	40.00/	<b>a a a a</b>	0.00/	4 40/	4.00/	0 70/	4.60/	4.00	0.000	0.000
• Self-Contained	24	10.0%	49.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	12.0%	60.9%	3.4%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.92	0.030	0.007
O SETSS	10	4.1%	39.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	24	9.8%	48.8%	4.2%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.57	0.030	0.012
Integrated Co-Teaching	30	12.2%	62.2%	13.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.07	0.030	0.016
O SETSS	10	4.1%	39.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	26	15.7%	33.5%	65.4%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.81	0.030	0.029
Lowest Third Citywide	83	50.0%	72.5%	55.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.00	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	44	26.5%	67.1%	54.5%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.89	0.030	0.022
SC/ICT/SETSS	47	28.3%	69.9%	44.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.99	0.030	0.015
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	29	17.2%	35.3%	51.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.28	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	103	60.9%	83.7%	56.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.14	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	48	28.4%	74.0%	54.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.05	0.030	0.023
• SC/ICT/SETSS	47	27.8%	69.5%	63.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.50	0.030	0.026
O ELL Progress	63	12.5%	31.7%	44.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.97	0.030	0.007
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.23
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.95

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 12X057 P.S. 057 Crescent

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
12X057
```

P.S. 057 Crescent

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.20	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	95%	4.32	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	58.4%	1.80	
HS			
Overall	58.4%	1.80	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.11	1.79	
HS			
Overall	0.11	1.79	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	88%	3.92	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.08	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	3.84	100%
		• • •	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
12X057
P.S. 057 Crescent
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy</li> </ul>	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.93	4.72
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in math</li> </ul>	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.76	4.04
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	91	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:		95%					4.20
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.97	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	97				0.86	4.44
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.94	4.76
Quality of professional development	Teachers	90	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.79	4.16
School commitment	Teachers	93	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.82	4.28
Innovation	Teachers	94	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.79	4.16
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
Focus on student learning	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
Collective responsibility	Teachers	94	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:		95%					4.32
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	90	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.50	
Safety	Students	30	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.00	
Safety	Combined	90	7 110	00.0	20010	0.50	3.00
Classroom behavior:	Combined	30				0.00	5100
Classroom behavior	Teachers	96	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.88	
Classroom behavior	Students	30	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.00	
Classroom behavior	Combined	96	0.10	0.110	20010	0.88	4.52
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.78	4.12
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0	00	
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	97	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.89	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	97				0.89	4.56
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.85	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
		06					
<ul> <li>Peer support for academic work</li> </ul>	Combined	96				0.80	4.20

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

12X057 P.S. 057 Crescent

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.53	3.12
Teacher influence	Teachers	69	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.63	3.52
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	96	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Section Results:		88%					3.92
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.87	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.68	3.72
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.36	2.44
Section Results:		84%					3.08
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		94%					3.84

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.28	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.29	2.30 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.2%	7.9% or lower	8.0% to 12.4%	12.5% to 15.9%	16.0% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.33	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.42	2.43 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.79	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	19.1%	11.6% or lower	11.7% to 18.3%	18.4% to 23.4%	23.5% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	91.9%	83.8% or lower	83.9% to 87.9%	88.0% to 91.0%	91.1% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	6 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.73	1.71 or lower	1.72 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.00	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
SETSS	2.06	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
ELL	2.11	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.89	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.17	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
SETSS	1.99	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
ELL	2.21	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
ELL Progress	44.4%	41.9% or lower	42.0% to 51.9%	52.0% to 59.5%	59.6% or highe			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	58.4%	60.4% or lower	60.5% to 67.2%	67.3% to 72.4%	72.5% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.11	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher		