
#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 188 Kingsbury

# **School Enrollment and Demographic Data**

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	98	116	118
Grade 1	87	124	128
Grade 2	92	91	127
Grade 3	90	95	96
Grade 4	86	90	101
Grade 5	83	91	86
All students	572	643	692

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	4%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	16%	16%	16%
% Student with IEPs	9%	10%	10%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	3%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	9%	8%
% Temporary Housing	-	0%	1%
% Asian	54%	57%	58%
% Black	4%	2%	2%
% Hispanic	9%	7%	7%
% White	34%	32%	30%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

## **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

26Q188 P.S. 188 Kingsbury

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.01

				2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	269	3.46	2.54	2.87	3.06	3.26	3.48	4.91	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	269	75.1%	31.1%	46.0%	55.4%	66.2%	78.4%	4.73	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	172	68.0	52.6	60.5	67.5	72.3	83.7	3.10	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	64	65.5	55.8	64.7	72.4	77.8	90.6	2.10	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	92	3.82	1.40	2.03	2.49	2.81	3.57	4.99	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	275	3.84	2.69	3.10	3.32	3.56	3.83	4.99	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	275	87.3%	37.4%	54.8%	64.7%	76.0%	88.2%	4.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	174	64.0	45.2	55.7	64.6	70.9	85.7	2.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	63	61.0	49.8	59.9	68.7	74.9	89.5	2.13	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	93	3.61	1.40	2.24	2.77	3.14	4.16	4.46	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	84	99.6%	86.5%	91.8%	94.1%	96.7%	100.0%	4.88	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	4.01

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014 45 Cabaal	D	2014.45	D-44				- 		Forture Bullioter	Fotos Dalista
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4					. 0 0	. 0	. 0	. 0	. 0 0			
Self-Contained	12	4.5%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	9	3.3%	16.8%	11.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	2	0.7%	6.7%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	4.4%	21.9%	8.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	10	3.6%	18.4%	30.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	2	0.7%	6.7%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	12	7.0%	15.0%	50.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	9	5.2%	5.4%	55.6%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	19	11.0%	20.3%	26.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	13	7.5%	15.4%	23.1%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	7	4.0%	5.5%	71.4%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1	0.6%	1.6%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	20	11.5%	22.5%	30.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	20	3.0%	7.6%	95.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.00
									Overa	Il Student Achie	vement Score	4.01

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

26Q188

P.S. 188 Kingsbury

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	2.92	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
llaborative Teachers			
	Wall Davidaged	4.00	F00/
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	4.20	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	98%	4.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	97.3%	4.52	
HS			
Overall	97.3%	4.52	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.43	4.32	
HS			
Overall	0.43	4.32	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.68	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.16	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	91%	4.40	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
NVC School Survey Trust	94%	4.04	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust	<del>J4</del> 70	4.04	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			



P.S. 188 Kingsbury

	City Range							
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	88	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	90	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.68	3.72	
Section Results:		89%					2.92	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.93		
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	97				0.84	4.36	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	72	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.42	2.68	
School commitment	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Innovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.68	3.72	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	96	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.82	4.28	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	98	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.95	4.80	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	90	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.71	3.84	
Section Results:	reactions	93%	03.7	04.7	100.0	0.71	4.20	
Supportive Environment Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.95		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
• Safety	Combined	99				0.95	4.80	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	94	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.81		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	94				0.81	4.24	
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	97	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.88		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
<ul> <li>Press toward academic achievement</li> </ul>	Combined	97				0.88	4.52	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.87		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.85		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
<ul> <li>Peer support for academic work</li> </ul>	Combined	98				0.86	4.44	
Section Results:		98%					4.60	

P.S. 188 Kingsbury

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.65	3.60
Teacher influence	Teachers	80	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.80	4.20
Program coherence	Teachers	97	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	92	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.79	4.16
Section Results:		91%					4.16
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	97				0.88	4.52
<ul> <li>Parent involvement in the schools</li> </ul>	Parents	85	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.81	4.24
Section Results:		91%					4.40
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.60	3.40
		33				0.00	3.40
Student-teacher trust	Students	00	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.76	4.04
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	89	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.91	4.64
Section Results:		94%					4.04

26Q188

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 188 Kingsbury

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.46	3.13 or lower	3.14 to 3.23	3.24 to 3.31	3.32 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.81	2.47 or lower	2.48 to 2.60	2.61 to 2.69	2.70 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	75.1%	60.5% or lower	60.6% to 66.0%	66.1% to 70.2%	70.3% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.84	3.37 or lower	3.38 to 3.52	3.53 to 3.64	3.65 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	3.14	2.71 or lower	2.72 to 2.88	2.89 to 3.01	3.02 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	87.3%	70.2% or lower	70.3% to 77.3%	77.4% to 82.7%	82.8% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.6%	89.5% or lower	89.6% to 92.2%	92.3% to 94.2%	94.3% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.20	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.28	2.39 or lower	2.40 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.58	2.59 or higher		
SETSS		2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
ELL	2.91	2.57 or lower	2.58 to 2.71	2.72 to 2.81	2.82 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.32	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.90	2.67 or lower	2.68 to 2.84	2.85 to 2.97	2.98 or higher		
SETSS		2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.55	2.56 to 2.68	2.69 or higher		
ELL	3.34	2.85 or lower	2.86 to 3.05	3.06 to 3.19	3.20 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.29	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	95.0%	60.7% or lower	60.8% to 70.3%	70.4% to 77.6%	77.7% or highe		

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	97.3%	83.1% or lower	83.2% to 87.4%	87.5% to 90.6%	90.7% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.43	0.30 or lower	0.31 to 0.40	0.41 to 0.49	0.50 or higher			