
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	150	115	100
Grade 7	-	140	110
Grade 8	-	-	133
All students	150	255	343

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	17%	24%	23%
% Free Lunch Eligible	91%	91%	87%
% Student with IEPs	25%	27%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	13%	9%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	73%	70%
% Temporary Housing	-	20%	20%
% Asian	1%	1%	2%
% Black	33%	30%	28%
% Hispanic	61%	65%	68%
% White	2%	2%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	1%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.59	2.10	2.11
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.78	2.14	2.22

32K562 Evergreen Middle School for Urban Exploration

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.89

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	325	2.11	1.88	2.05	2.14	2.24	2.51	2.67	10.87%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	325	6.8%	0.2%	4.4%	7.5%	11.0%	19.5%	2.77	10.87%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	299	63.0	49.7	54.7	62.1	66.9	75.6	3.19	10.87%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	105	87.0	65.3	70.5	78.2	83.2	92.2	4.42	10.87%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	332	1.95	1.77	1.98	2.11	2.25	2.58	1.86	10.87%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	332	1.5%	0.0%	4.3%	7.5%	11.1%	20.6%	1.35	10.87%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	308	50.5	42.0	49.1	59.5	66.4	78.7	2.13	10.87%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	112	71.0	58.8	64.8	73.4	79.2	89.4	2.72	10.87%
Core Course Pass Rates									
• ELA	326	89.6%	58.8%	70.8%	79.4%	89.0%	100.0%	4.05	2.17%
 Math 	326	92.6%	61.1%	72.3%	80.5%	89.6%	100.0%	4.29	2.17%
• Science	326	93.6%	61.4%	72.6%	80.7%	89.7%	100.0%	4.38	2.17%
Social Studies	326	95.7%	56.5%	69.1%	78.2%	88.4%	100.0%	4.63	2.17%
O Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	126	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	11.1%	16.3%	26.9%	1.00	4.35%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders			62.0%	71.0%	78.0%	85.0%	94.0%		0.00%
								Weighted Average Score	2.71

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	— Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•				-	-					
 Self-Contained 	33	10.2%	52.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	24	7.4%	40.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	16	4.9%	52.7%	6.3%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	3.37	0.030	0.018
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	33	9.9%	52.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O Integrated Co-Teaching	24	7.2%	39.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	16	4.8%	52.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	81	27.1%	60.6%	49.4%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	4.08	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	193	64.5%	84.0%	49.2%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	3.22	0.030	0.017
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	106	35.5%	79.4%	52.8%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	3.68	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	69	23.1%	53.8%	68.1%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	4.49	0.030	0.026
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	89	28.9%	59.6%	30.3%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	2.74	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	202	65.6%	80.8%	40.1%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	2.63	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	105	34.1%	76.6%	44.8%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	3.10	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	70	22.7%	53.8%	50.0%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	3.69	0.030	0.020
ELL Progress	76	22.3%	61.8%	36.8%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%	3.20	0.030	0.017
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.18
									Ove	rall Student Achie	vement Score	2.89

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Evergreen Middle School for Urban Exploration

32K562

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	3.36	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	95%	4.32	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	3.76	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	75.4%	3.60	
HS			
Overall	75.4%	3.60	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.44	3.50	
HS			
Overall	0.44	3.50	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	92%	3.96	100%
	3270	3.30	100/0
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	79%	3.20	100%
2	. 3,0	5.20	13070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
rt			
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.16	100%
THE SCHOOL SULVEY TRUST	4.170	7.10	100/0
	3373	-	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	



_	_			
Framework		C	Caarina	A so so o disc
Framework	FIRMENTS.	. SIITVOV	Scoring	Annendix
I I GIII C VV O I I	LICITICITES	Julycv	JUUITIE	ADDCHUIA

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		,	•	, ,		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	86	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.30	2.20
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	88	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.61	3.44
Course clarity	Students	94	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.78	4.12
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	84	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		88%					3.36
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.79	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	91	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Combined	94				0.68	3.72
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	98	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Quality of professional development	Teachers	82	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.62	3.48
School commitment	Teachers	94	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.84	4.36
Innovation	Teachers	98	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	86.6	95.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.89	4.56
Focus on student learning	Teachers	94	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.80	4.20
Collective responsibility	Teachers	94	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Section Results:		95%					4.32
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	89	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.71	
Safety	Combined	89				0.71	3.84
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	84	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.65	
Classroom behavior	Combined	84				0.65	3.60
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	84.7	95.3	100.0	0.87	4.48
Peer interactions	Students	86	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.71	3.84
Next-level guidance	Students	92	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.66	3.64
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	89	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.54	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	89				0.54	3.16
Personal attention and support	Students	91	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.76	4.04
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	90	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.58	
Peer support for academic work	Students	73	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.67	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	82				0.63	3.52
Section Results:		89%					3.76

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.36	2.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	86	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.78	4.12
Program coherence	Teachers	95	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	98	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.93	4.72
Section Results:		92%					3.96
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.72	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	92				0.61	3.44
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	66	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.49	2.96
Section Results:		79%					3.20
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.51	3.04
	Parents	96	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trustStudent-teacher trust		89	69.2	94.8 82.0	94.8	0.76	4.00
	Students						
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	99	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.98	4.92
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		95%					4.16

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.11	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	6.8%	5.2% or lower	5.3% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or highe		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	1.95	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.76	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	1.5%	6.3% or lower	6.4% to 10.0%	10.1% to 14.9%	15.0% or highe		
Core Course Pass Rates							
ELA	89.6%	79.2% or lower	79.3% to 84.1%	84.2% to 88.0%	88.1% or highe		
Math	92.6%	80.0% or lower	80.1% to 84.6%	84.7% to 88.5%	88.6% or highe		
Science	93.6%	81.6% or lower	81.7% to 85.9%	86.0% to 89.4%	89.5% or highe		
Social Studies	95.7%	77.6% or lower	77.7% to 82.8%	82.9% to 87.1%	87.2% or high		
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%	6.8% or lower	6.9% to 10.7%	10.8% to 14.9%	15.0% or highe		
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		73.9% or lower	74.0% to 78.9%	79.0% to 81.9%	82.0% or highe		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
·	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.83	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or highe		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.96	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
SETSS	2.07	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
ELL	2.00	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or highe		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.74	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or highe		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.83	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.12	2.13 or highe		
SETSS	2.05	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.22	2.23 or highe		
ELL	1.91	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.23	2.24 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or highe		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.84	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or highe		
ELL Progress	36.8%	31.4% or lower	31.5% to 41.2%	41.3% to 49.2%	49.3% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	4-15 2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	75.4%	64.5% or lower	64.6% to 70.4%	70.5% to 75.1%	75.2% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.44	0.20 or lower	0.21 to 0.32	0.33 to 0.41	0.42 or higher		

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.