2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target				3.92
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.52
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.28
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.08
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.24	
Trust	Meeting Target				3.96
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.13	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	95	81	94
Grade 1	91	91	77
Grade 2	89	86	89
Grade 3	92	89	91
Grade 4	85	88	87
Grade 5	85	83	81
All students	537	518	519

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	17%	20%	27%
% Free Lunch Eligible	68%	68%	67%
% Student with IEPs	19%	21%	20%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	1%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	38%	44%
% Temporary Housing	-	2%	3%
% Asian	13%	17%	25%
% Black	1%	2%	2%
% Hispanic	22%	23%	23%
% White	63%	58%	50%
% Other	0%	1%	0%



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.13									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		252	2.80	2.31	2.57	2.69	2.82	3.03	3.85	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		252	45.6%	18.7%	30.2%	36.5%	43.7%	53.1%	4.20	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		156	64.5	52.7	59.4	64.8	68.6	77.9	2.94	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		56	65.0	58.7	66.2	72.7	77.3	88.2	1.84	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		88	2.61	1.13	1.72	2.17	2.48	3.25	4.17	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		255	2.97	2.38	2.74	2.93	3.15	3.45	3.18	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		255	51.4%	21.1%	36.8%	46.4%	57.5%	71.1%	3.45	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		159	41.0	47.3	57.2	65.3	71.0	84.5	1.00	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		58	46.5	54.6	63.3	70.8	76.0	88.6	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		89	2.71	1.12	2.05	2.76	3.25	4.49	2.93	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		77	94.7%	79.1%	85.1%	89.3%	94.1%	100.0%	4.10	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.97

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	1.6%	7.9%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	39	15.5%	78.7%	17.9%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	8	3.2%	30.5%	12.5%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.59	0.030	0.027
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	1.6%	8.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	38	14.9%	76.0%	26.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.62	0.030	0.027
SETSS	8	3.1%	29.8%	12.5%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.16	0.030	0.016
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	36	23.1%	49.4%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.71	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	35	22.4%	31.1%	37.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.84	0.030	0.006
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	12	7.7%	19.5%	25.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	21.8%	51.3%	35.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.04	0.030	0.008
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	39	24.5%	50.3%	20.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	1.88	0.030	0.007
Lowest Third Citywide	26	16.4%	22.5%	30.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	7	4.4%	11.5%	0.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	21.4%	51.0%	26.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.79	0.030	0.006
ELL Progress	138	26.6%	67.5%	61.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.64	0.030	0.020
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.16
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.13

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
20K127
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	3.92	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	4.00	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.52	
nortivo Environment			
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.48	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	3776	4.40	5570
EMS	86.9%	3.40	
HS	00.370	5.40	
Overall	86.9%	3.40	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	00.370	5.40	5070
environments			
EMS	0.38	4.12	
HS	0.00	1.14	
Overall	0.38	4.12	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	90%	4.08	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.08	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.24	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	3.96	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
0 0 0			

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction						-		
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.56	3.24	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	96	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.88	4.52	
Section Results:		95%					3.92	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	96				0.75	4.00	
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	79	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.56	3.24	
School commitment	Teachers	95	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.87	4.48	
Innovation	Teachers	91	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.68	3.72	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.79	4.16	
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	94	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.81	4.24	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	92	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.78	4.12	
Section Results:		92%					4.00	
Supportive Environment Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00		
Safety	Students	100	74.5	88.5	100.0	1.00		
Safety	Combined	100	74.5	00.5	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Classroom behavior:	comonica	100				1.00	4.55	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	95	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.85		
Classroom behavior	Students	55	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.05		
Classroom behavior	Combined	95	07.5	04.5	100.0	0.85	4.40	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.95	4.40	
Peer interactions	Students	55	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.55	4.80	
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	04.0	100.0			
Press toward academic achievement:	Students							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	95	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.79		
Press toward academic achievement	Students	55	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.75		
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	95	05.5	51.5	50.5	0.79	4.16	
Personal attention and support	Students	35	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.75	4.10	
Peer support for academic work:	Students		77.8	89.0	100.0			
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.81		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	91.5	100.0	0.75		
Peer support for academic work	Students	55	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.75		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95	50.4	/ 3.0	57.4	0.78	4.12	
Section Results:	combined	97%				0.70	4.12	
Jection Results.		5170					7.40	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.66	3.64
Teacher influence	Teachers	77	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.75	4.00
Program coherence	Teachers	94	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	94	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.83	4.32
Section Results:		90%					4.08
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.81	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.78	4.12
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	72	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.34	2.36
Section Results:		84%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.79	4.16
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	89	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		94%					3.96

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	ets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.80	2.58 or lower	2.59 to 2.69	2.70 to 2.77	2.78 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.17	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	45.6%	31.6% or lower	31.7% to 37.1%	37.2% to 41.3%	41.4% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.97	2.86 or lower	2.87 to 3.01	3.02 to 3.13	3.14 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.14	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.35	2.36 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	51.4%	45.3% or lower	45.4% to 52.6%	52.7% to 58.1%	58.2% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.7%	87.9% or lower	88.0% to 90.9%	91.0% to 93.3%	93.4% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.23	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.25	2.26 or higher		
SETSS	2.15	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
ELL	2.44	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.46	2.47 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.45	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.48	2.49 to 2.61	2.62 or higher		
SETSS	2.03	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.46	2.47 or higher		
ELL	2.63	2.54 or lower	2.55 to 2.74	2.75 to 2.89	2.90 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.13	2.14 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
ELL Progress	61.6%	48.3% or lower	48.4% to 58.3%	58.4% to 65.8%	65.9% or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2014-15 2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	86.9%	78.5% or lower	78.6% to 84.0%	84.1% to 88.1%	88.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.38	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.16	0.17 to 0.20	0.21 or higher			