
#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Focus**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 224 Hale A. Woodruff

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

## **School Enrollment and Demographic Data**

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	82	84	66
Grade 1	97	92	82
Grade 2	111	82	87
Grade 3	111	101	69
Grade 4	105	99	96
Grade 5	126	84	68
Grade 6	1	-	-
All students	685	595	520

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	5%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	90%	90%	90%
% Student with IEPs	19%	22%	25%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	10%	12%
% HRA Eligible	-	78%	75%
% Temporary Housing	-	15%	17%
% Asian	1%	2%	3%
% Black	72%	72%	71%
% Hispanic	25%	24%	24%
% White	1%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

### **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

19K224 P.S. 224 Hale A. Woodruff

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.14

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA				-					
Average Student Proficiency	222	2.21	1.96	2.16	2.29	2.43	2.70	2.38	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	222	13.1%	2.0%	10.6%	16.5%	23.2%	34.9%	2.42	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	150	66.5	44.1	52.5	59.7	64.8	76.9	4.14	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	51	78.0	55.9	65.1	73.1	78.6	91.9	3.89	10.00%
Early Grade Progress	65	1.55	0.41	0.99	1.50	1.86	2.77	3.14	10.00%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	223	2.31	1.95	2.21	2.38	2.57	2.93	2.59	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	223	17.9%	1.1%	12.4%	20.4%	29.5%	44.6%	2.69	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	152	61.5	34.0	46.8	57.7	65.4	83.6	3.49	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	55	71.0	50.6	61.4	70.8	77.4	93.1	3.03	10.00%
Early Grade Progress	66	1.26	0.23	1.13	1.91	2.45	3.85	2.17	10.00%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students			73.7%	81.1%	86.4%	92.5%	100.0%		0.00%
								Weighted Average Score	2.99

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014 45 Cabaal	D	2014.45	D-44				- 		Forture Bulliotes	Futus Dalista
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•	J		0 0		J		0 0			
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	31	14.0%	69.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	20	9.0%	45.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
o SETSS	7	3.2%	30.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
<ul><li>Self-Contained</li></ul>	32	14.3%	71.1%	12.5%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.98	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	19	8.5%	43.4%	5.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.74	0.030	0.006
o SETSS	9	4.0%	38.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	12	8.0%	17.1%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	89	59.3%	86.4%	43.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.60	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	54	36.0%	91.1%	42.6%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.66	0.030	0.012
• SC/ICT/SETSS	42	28.0%	69.1%	61.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.32	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	14	9.2%	18.9%	42.9%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	83	54.6%	75.0%	45.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.29	0.030	0.017
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	48	31.6%	82.3%	47.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.58	0.030	0.019
• SC/ICT/SETSS	43	28.3%	70.9%	58.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.27	0.030	0.025
ELL Progress	23	5.0%	12.7%	30.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.15
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.14

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

#### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

**Framework Elements Scoring Appendix** 

19K224

P.S. 224 Hale A. Woodruff

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	25%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	25%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	25%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	86%	2.36	25%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	67%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	3.84	33%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	85%	2.76	25%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	67.9%	2.84	
HS			
Overall	67.9%	2.84	35%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.20	2.55	
HS			
Overall	0.20	2.55	5%
Coults a Bolton Advanton Touris	0	2.00	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
ective School Leadership	040/	2.20	4000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81%	3.28	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.60	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	
St ANG Sahara Surraya Trust	0407	2.44	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	91%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	



P.S. 224 Hale A. Woodruff

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	89	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	91	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	78	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.28	2.12
Section Results:		86%					2.36
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	97				0.75	4.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.91	4.64
Quality of professional development	Teachers	85	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.70	3.80
School commitment	Teachers	93	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Innovation	Teachers	88	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.60	3.40
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	94	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.73	3.92
Focus on student learning	Teachers	96	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Collective responsibility	Teachers	81	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		92%					3.84
Supportive Environment Safety:	Total	04	20.0	0.4.5	400.0	0.57	
Safety	Teachers	91	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.57	
Safety	Students	0.1	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.57	2.20
Safety	Combined	91				0.57	3.28
Classroom behavior:		70	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.40	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	70	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.10	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0	0.10	
Classroom behavior	Combined	70		0.0.0	4000	0.10	1.40
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	Tandon	77	75.0	00.0	400.0	0.07	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	77	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.07	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5	0.07	4.5-
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	77	77.0	00.0	400.0	0.07	1.28
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Tagabas	0.0	7.0 5	04.5	400.0	0.40	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	86	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.40	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	90	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students	00	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.45	2.00
Peer support for academic work	Combined	88				0.45	2.80
Section Results:		85%					2.76

P.S. 224 Hale A. Woodruff

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	87	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.36	2.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	51	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.35	2.40
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	96	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	88	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		81%					3.28
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.60	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	89	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	92				0.43	2.72
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.36	2.44
Section Results:		83%					2.60
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Daranta	92	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
	Parents	90	90.9 82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90				0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	0.5	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.60	2.72
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	86	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.68	3.72
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:		91%					3.44

**Targets for 2015-16** 

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.21	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.27	2.28 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.82	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	13.1%	7.9% or lower	8.0% to 12.4%	12.5% to 15.9%	16.0% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.31	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.42	2.43 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	17.9%	11.7% or lower	11.8% to 18.5%	18.6% to 23.7%	23.8% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		83.8% or lower	83.9% to 87.9%	88.0% to 91.0%	91.1% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.82	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.85	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
SETSS	1.75	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
ELL	1.98	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.15	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.00	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
SETSS	1.83	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
ELL	2.12	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.39	2.40 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	30.4%	40.7% or lower	40.8% to 50.6%	50.7% to 58.2%	58.3% or higher			

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	67.9%	61.3% or lower	61.4% to 68.2%	68.3% to 73.3%	73.4% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.20	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher			