#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4 4.9

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 019 Roberto Clemente

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015	
Grade 3	64	-	-	
Grade 4	63	56	-	
Grade 5	69	57	58	
All students	196	113	58	

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	28%	24%	24%
% Free Lunch Eligible	92%	92%	93%
% Student with IEPs	23%	36%	43%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	6%	11%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	73%	72%
% Temporary Housing	-	13%	14%
% Asian	1%	1%	2%
% Black	4%	5%	3%
% Hispanic	93%	90%	95%
% White	2%	4%	0%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

14K019 P.S. 019 Roberto Clemente

**CtAG Additional Points** 

**Overall Student Achievement Score** 

## **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achieveme	ent Rating	Student Achievement Score

				2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	55	2.02	1.76	2.04	2.25	2.48	2.81	1.93	11.11%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	55	1.8%	0.0%	8.7%	15.0%	22.1%	34.3%	1.21	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	53	63.0	45.7	55.0	63.1	68.7	82.2	2.99	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	19	85.0	60.1	68.9	76.5	81.9	94.6	4.24	11.11%
Early Grade Progress			0.11	0.93	1.65	2.15	3.33		0.00%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	58	2.20	1.65	2.06	2.36	2.70	3.15	2.47	11.11%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	58	13.8%	0.0%	11.1%	19.1%	28.2%	43.5%	2.34	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	54	66.0	36.0	48.7	59.7	67.4	85.6	3.82	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	18	69.5	52.5	63.4	72.8	79.4	95.2	2.65	11.11%
Early Grade Progress			0.02	1.16	2.16	2.85	4.52		0.00%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	54	86.6%	77.2%	83.6%	88.2%	93.5%	100.0%	2.65	11.11%
								Weighted Average Sco	re

						2	014 1F Taugat	-				
					_		014-15 Target					
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	"	Population //	Of Kalige	School value	raiget halige	laiget	iaiget	raiget	raiget hange	Wetric Score	POSSIDIE	Earrieu
Self-Contained	6	10.9%	53.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	8	14.5%	73.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	9	16.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	6	10.3%	51.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	8	13.8%	70.4%	12.5%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.93	0.030	0.015
SETSS	9	15.5%	100.0%	11.1%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	2.88	0.030	0.014
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL ELL	10	18.9%	40.4%	40.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.37	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	34	64.2%	93.7%	55.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.03	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	17	32.1%	81.3%	58.8%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.14	0.030	0.024
• SC/ICT/SETSS	23	43.4%	100.0%	60.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.27	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	11	20.4%	41.9%	45.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.04	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	36	66.7%	91.6%	50.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.70	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	19	35.2%	91.7%	47.4%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.53	0.030	0.019
• SC/ICT/SETSS	23	42.6%	100.0%	60.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.39	0.030	0.025
ELL Progress	13	22.4%	56.9%	46.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.14	0.030	0.009
			30.373		02.07.		33.2,3	00.2,3	23.2,2		0.000	0.000

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 019 Roberto Clemente

14K019

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1			
Quality Review 1.2			
Quality Review 2.2			
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	2.80	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	4.04	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
nnortivo Environment			
pportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	87%	2.00	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	0770	2.00	
EMS	74.6%	3.44	
HS	74.070	5.44	
Overall	74.6%	3.44	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	7 11070	3	
environments			
EMS	0.00	1.00	
HS	0.00	1.00	
Overall	0.00	1.00	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	84%	3.80	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
rong Family Community Ties			
ong Family-Community Ties	060/	2.44	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.44	
Section Rating:	Section Sco	re:	
ust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.48	
Section Rating:	Section Sco		



			City Panga				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		Survey % Positive	bottom of Kange	City Avg	Top of Kalige	Percent of Kange	Score
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Teachers	83	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.00	1.00
		83				0.00	1.00
Course clarity	Students	00	84.3	92.7	100.0	0.61	2.44
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	88	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		89%					2.80
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	98	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.90	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
<ul> <li>Cultural awareness</li> </ul>	Combined	99				0.95	4.80
<ul> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Quality of professional development	Teachers	75	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.48	2.92
School commitment	Teachers	79	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.46	2.84
Innovation	Teachers	88	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.61	3.44
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
Focus on student learning	Teachers	94	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.82	4.28
Collective responsibility	Teachers	89	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		91%	00.7	<b>U</b>	200.0	0.00	4.04
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers		80.0	94.6	100.0		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined						
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers		66.9	85.5	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined						
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	82	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.00	1.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers		75.0	88.8	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined						
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers		76.5	91.5	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	91	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Section Results:		87%					2.00

14K019

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.68	3.72
Teacher influence	Teachers	51	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.35	2.40
Program coherence	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	91	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		84%					3.80
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.77	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	96				0.76	4.04
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.45	2.80
Section Results:		86%					3.44
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	99	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.94	4.76
Parent-principal trust	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.87	4.48
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	86	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.68	3.72
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		96%					4.48

**Targets for 2015-16** 

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

<b>Student Achievement Metrics</b>	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.02							
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.74							
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	1.8%							
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.20							
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.72							
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	13.8%							
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	86.6%	83.7% or lower	83.8% to 87.8%	87.9% to 91.0%	91.1% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.69							
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.93							
SETSS	1.88							
ELL	1.73							
Lowest Third Citywide	1.86							
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.86							
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.67							
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.14							
SETSS	2.12							
ELL	1.89							
Lowest Third Citywide	1.89							
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93							
ELL Progress	46.2%							

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	74.6%							
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.00							