
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

P.S. 333 - The Museum School

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	75	82	83
Grade 1	77	76	79
Grade 2	73	60	62
Grade 3	112	79	64
Grade 4	47	76	71
Grade 5	58	41	55
All students	459	432	432

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	27%	27%	26%
% Free Lunch Eligible	82%	82%	82%
% Student with IEPs	18%	27%	28%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	13%	13%
% HRA Eligible	-	79%	76%
% Temporary Housing	-	44%	43%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	26%	24%	21%
% Hispanic	70%	72%	76%
% White	2%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix
P.S. 333 - The Museum School

08X333

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.71

				2	014-15 Target:	•			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA				-					-
Average Student Proficiency	171	2.22	1.90	2.08	2.20	2.33	2.61	3.15	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	171	10.5%	0.8%	7.9%	12.8%	18.4%	30.3%	2.53	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	94	76.0	48.7	55.9	62.3	66.7	77.3	4.88	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	35	83.0	62.4	70.2	76.7	81.3	92.1	4.16	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	58	2.22	0.25	0.92	1.50	1.91	2.91	4.31	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	177	2.21	1.92	2.15	2.31	2.49	2.85	2.38	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	177	10.7%	0.5%	10.6%	17.7%	25.9%	40.8%	2.01	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	99	66.0	39.5	50.1	59.3	65.7	81.0	4.02	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	40	74.0	56.5	65.7	73.6	79.1	92.1	3.07	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	61	2.26	0.18	1.18	2.05	2.66	4.15	3.34	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	33	90.7%	72.8%	80.5%	85.9%	92.2%	100.0%	3.76	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.42

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	34	19.9%	98.0%	5.9%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	3.5%	17.8%	16.7%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	16	9.4%	89.5%	18.8%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	35	19.8%	98.5%	8.6%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.23	0.030	0.024
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	3.4%	17.3%	16.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	16	9.0%	86.5%	6.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.95	0.030	0.007
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	20	21.3%	45.5%	45.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.86	0.030	0.022
Lowest Third Citywide	52	55.3%	80.4%	61.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.32	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	26	27.7%	70.1%	57.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.09	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	30	31.9%	80.2%	70.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.67	0.030	0.028
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	25	25.3%	52.0%	56.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.45	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	69	69.7%	95.7%	43.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.07	0.030	0.016
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	34.3%	89.3%	35.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.50	0.030	0.011
SC/ICT/SETSS	31	31.3%	79.5%	51.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.01	0.030	0.023
ELL Progress	104	25.2%	64.0%	65.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.01	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.29
									Overa	Il Student Achie	vement Score	3.71

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 333 - The Museum School

08X333

Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.40 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.20 Sportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 1.12 HS Overall 53.8% 1.12 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Proficient 3.40 2.2% Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 2.2% MYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 89% 3.20 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Jaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.40 50% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% POPORTIVE Environment 91% 3.36 35% PYOR School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% PYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% PYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% PYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% PYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% PYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 4.12 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less rest	orous Instruction			
Developing 2.00 22%	Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Sc	Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72	Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Comparison of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments Section States Section State	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	3.20	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.40 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.20	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.40 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.20	laborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.40 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.20 Developing 2.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 53.8% 1.12 BS Overall 53.8% 1.12 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 BMS 0.40 Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 DONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 78% 2.08 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08	Ouality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
Opertive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall O	·	· ·		
Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 53.8% 1.12 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 5% Overall 0.38 4.10 5% Overall 0.38 4.10 5% Overall 0.38 4.10 5% Overall 0.38 5.20 5.20 Overall 0.38 5.20 5.20 Overall 0.38 5.20 5.20 Overall 0.20 5% Overall 0.38 5.20 5.20 Overall 0.20 5.20 5.20 Overall 0.20 5.20 5.20 5.20 5.20 5.20 5.20 5.20	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.20	
Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 53.8% 1.12 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 5% Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32	pportive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 53.8% 1.12 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08		Developing	2.00	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 53.8% 1.12 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.38 4.12 MOVER CSCHOOL Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 78% 2.08 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08	·			
Overall 53.8% 1.12 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 5% HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 62% 1.52 100% Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 78% 2.08 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%	Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			33,0
Overall 53.8% 1.12 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.38 4.12 5% Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 62% 1.52 100% Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 78% 2.08 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08		00.070		
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS EMS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Ding Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 1.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08		53.8%	1.12	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32 Exercitive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Score: 2.08	Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
Overall 0.38 4.12 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.32 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 62% 1.52 100% Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 78% 2.08 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08		0.38	4.12	
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Section Score: 2.08		0.38	4.12	5%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 62% 1.52 100% Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 78% 2.08 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 St NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.32	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 62% 1.52 100% Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.52 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 78% 2.08 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 St NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%	octivo School Loodorchin			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 78% 2.08 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%	<u>-</u>	62%	1.52	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 St NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%	Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1 52	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 78% 2.08 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 St NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%	Section nating. Not weeting ranger	Section Score.	1.32	
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.08 Ist NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	78%	2.08	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.08	
NYC School Survey - Trust 85% 2.76 100%				
	NVC School Survey - Trust	QE%	2 76	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.76	INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - HUST	0370	2.70	100%
	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.76	



P.S. 333 - The Museum School

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			•	, ,		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	92	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.54	3.16
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	80	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.36	2.44
Section Results:		89%					3.20
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	0 =	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.00	
Cultural awareness	Combined	92	00.0	0711	100.0	0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	90	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	57	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.11	1.44
School commitment	Teachers	65	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.13	1.52
Innovation	Teachers	81	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.35	2.40
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.88	4.52
Peer collaboration	Teachers	82	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.19	1.76
Focus on student learning	Teachers	72	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.13	1.52
Collective responsibility	Teachers	79	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.40	2.60
Section Results:	reachers	80%	00.7	0	100.0	01.10	2.40
Supportive Environment Safety:	- 1	0.0	20.0	0.4.6	400.0	0.50	
Safety	Teachers	90	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.50	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	90				0.50	3.00
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	85	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.54	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	85				0.54	3.16
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	93	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.73	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	93		00.0	4000	0.73	3.92
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:				0.1 =	4000	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	84	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.33	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	91	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students	22	50.4	73.8	97.2	2.42	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	88				0.42	2.68
Section Results:		91%					3.36

P.S. 333 - The Museum School

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	86	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.32	2.28
Teacher influence	Teachers	41	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.19	1.76
 Program coherence 	Teachers	60	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	61	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		62%					1.52
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	85	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	88	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	86				0.25	2.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	70	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.28	2.12
Section Results:		78%					2.08
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	92	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	89	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.38	2.52
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	68	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.27	2.08
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	90	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.62	3.48
Section Results:		85%					2.76

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.22	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.06	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.5%	5.8% or lower	5.9% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.21	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.99	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.7%	8.4% or lower	8.5% to 13.4%	13.5% to 17.1%	17.2% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	90.7%	83.1% or lower	83.2% to 87.4%	87.5% to 90.6%	90.7% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.04	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.80	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.11	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
SETSS	2.28	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
ELL	2.11	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.08	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.11	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.24	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
SETSS	2.06	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
ELL	2.20	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	65.4%	33.8% or lower	33.9% to 43.8%	43.9% to 51.3%	51.4% or highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	53.8%	60.8% or lower	60.9% to 67.6%	67.7% to 72.8%	72.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.38	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher			