
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	78	79	72
Grade 1	89	90	93
Grade 2	56	80	78
Grade 3	73	62	82
Grade 4	85	68	66
Grade 5	62	85	66
All students	497	536	525

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	11%	10%	10%
% Free Lunch Eligible	96%	96%	94%
% Student with IEPs	24%	26%	27%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	9%	11%
% HRA Eligible	-	77%	74%
% Temporary Housing	-	19%	21%
% Asian	0%	1%	0%
% Black	26%	26%	26%
% Hispanic	72%	73%	72%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

07X043 P.S. 043 Jonas Bronck

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.01

					2	014-15 Target	s			
	Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
•	Student Achievement Wethics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
9	state Test Results - ELA									
	Average Student Proficiency	205	2.29	1.94	2.11	2.21	2.33	2.61	3.67	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	205	14.6%	1.0%	8.1%	13.1%	18.8%	30.6%	3.26	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	118	75.0	45.0	54.2	62.2	67.8	81.1	4.54	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	45	83.0	62.1	69.9	76.5	81.2	92.1	4.17	9.09%
•	Early Grade Progress	80	1.97	0.35	0.92	1.42	1.77	2.68	4.22	9.09%
9	state Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	206	2.46	1.90	2.14	2.29	2.47	2.84	3.94	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	206	22.7%	0.4%	9.9%	16.8%	24.5%	39.5%	3.71	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	117	64.0	37.2	48.7	58.7	65.7	82.3	3.76	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	39	75.0	56.4	65.5	73.4	78.8	91.7	3.30	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	80	2.39	0.02	0.97	1.81	2.39	3.86	3.97	9.09%
ſ	MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	81	89.4%	73.8%	81.2%	86.5%	92.5%	100.0%	3.48	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.83

						2	2014-15 Targets	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	33	16.1%	79.3%	3.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.16	0.030	0.024
Integrated Co-Teaching	9	4.4%	22.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	15	7.3%	69.5%	6.7%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.19	0.030	0.016
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	33	16.0%	79.6%	3.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.04	0.030	0.008
Integrated Co-Teaching	9	4.4%	22.4%	33.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	15	7.3%	70.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	6.8%	14.5%	75.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	60	50.8%	73.7%	58.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.15	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	37	31.4%	79.5%	56.8%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.05	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	32	27.1%	66.5%	50.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.53	0.030	0.019
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	6.8%	14.0%	50.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	55	47.0%	64.6%	54.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.06	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	32	27.4%	71.4%	53.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.01	0.030	0.023
• SC/ICT/SETSS	31	26.5%	65.7%	51.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.01	0.030	0.023
ELL Progress	43	9.5%	24.1%	62.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.18
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	4.01

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

07X043

P.S. 043 Jonas Bronck

Coulity Review 1.1		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Developing 2.00 22% 22	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2	Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 89% 3.04 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Comparison of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments Section Stating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Stating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Stating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	3.04	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 400 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 400 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40%	laborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Deportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 67.0% 2.96 HS Overall 67.0% 2.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.10 1.71 HS Overall 0.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.40 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40	Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
Coportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient Quality Review 3.4 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall Out 1.71 HS Overall Out 1.71 HS Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40	·			
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 67.0% 2.96 SUPPORT	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 67.0% 2.96 HS Overall 67.0% 2.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.10 1.71 5% Overall 0.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Score: 3.40 Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Score:	nortive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 67.0% 2.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.10 1.71 EMS Overall 0.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40		Proficient	3.40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 67.0% 2.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.10 1.71 HS Overall 0.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40	·			
EMS HS Overall 67.0% 2.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40		JU/0	J.44	33/0
Overall 67.0% 2.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.10 1.71 HS Overall 0.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40	-	67.0%	2.96	
Overall 67.0% 2.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.10 1.71 HS Overall 0.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40		0.1070		
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS EMS Overall O.10 1.71 HS Overall O.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40		67.0%	2.96	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section Rating: Meeting Target NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40	environments			
Overall 0.10 1.71 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.40 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target 96% 4.24 100%	EMS	0.10	1.71	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Section School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40	HS			
Pective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Score: 3.40	Overall	0.10	1.71	5%
Pective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Score: 3.40	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.80 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.40 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.40 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	-	8/1%	3 80	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.40 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	TATE SCHOOL SULVEY - Effective School Leadership	U 1 /0	5.00	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.40 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 St NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.40 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	ong Family Community Ties			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Ist NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%		0.007	2.40	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.40	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.24 100%				
		96%	4 24	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24	Title School Survey Trust	50/0	7.24	100/0
	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		Survey 70 r Ositive	Dottom of Kange	City Avg	Top of Range	reitent of Range	30016
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	92	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	91	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students	31	84.3	92.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	85	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.53	3.12
Section Results:	reactiers	89%	00.7	63.3	100.0	0.33	3.04
ection results.		8370					3.04
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	99				0.88	4.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.96	4.84
Quality of professional development	Teachers	89	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.78	4.12
 School commitment 	Teachers	97	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.93	4.72
Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.72	3.88
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	97	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Collective responsibility	Teachers	88	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.65	3.60
Section Results:		95%					4.44
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.82	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	96				0.82	4.28
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	83	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.49	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	83				0.49	2.96
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	84	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.34	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	84				0.34	2.36
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	89				0.40	2.60
Section Results:		90%					3.44

07X043 P.S. 043 Jonas Bronck

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.61	3.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	50	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.33	2.32
Program coherence	Teachers	97	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		84%					3.80
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.87	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.58	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.72	3.88
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.47	2.88
Section Results:		86%					3.40
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.62	3.48
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	97	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.92	4.68
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.93	4.72
Section Results:		96%					4.24

07X043

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 043 Jonas Bronck

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.29	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.99	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.6%	9.1% or lower	9.2% to 14.4%	14.5% to 18.4%	18.5% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.46	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.49	2.50 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.94	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	22.7%	14.0% or lower	14.1% to 21.3%	21.4% to 26.8%	26.9% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	89.4%	84.6% or lower	84.7% to 88.5%	88.6% to 91.4%	91.5% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.86	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.03	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
SETSS	2.06	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
ELL	2.07	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.88	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.39	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.23	2.24 or higher			
SETSS	2.16	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.23	2.24 or higher			
ELL	2.17	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.14	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
ELL Progress	62.8%	44.1% or lower	44.2% to 54.1%	54.2% to 61.6%	61.7% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	67.0%	65.1% or lower	65.2% to 71.9%	72.0% to 77.1%	77.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.10	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher			