# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Exceeding Target				4.72
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target				4.84
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.40
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.76
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target				4.24
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.60
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.53	
		1	2	3 4	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

P.S. 041 Crocheron

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	102	96	80
Grade 1	84	96	85
Grade 2	87	84	96
Grade 3	97	89	87
Grade 4	96	98	88
Grade 5	86	97	97
All students	552	560	533

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	6%	7%
% Free Lunch Eligible	27%	27%	28%
% Student with IEPs	13%	13%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	1%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	16%	13%
% Temporary Housing	-	5%	6%
% Asian	42%	43%	45%
% Black	2%	2%	3%
% Hispanic	17%	18%	19%
% White	38%	34%	31%
% Other	0%	3%	3%



# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.53									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		262	3.10	2.54	2.86	3.02	3.21	3.42	3.42	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		262	56.5%	30.3%	44.9%	53.8%	64.0%	75.4%	3.26	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		174	62.0	52.1	60.1	66.9	71.7	83.1	2.28	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest T</li> </ul>	hird	61	62.0	54.8	63.8	71.6	77.0	90.0	1.80	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		83	2.82	1.34	1.98	2.45	2.78	3.57	4.05	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
<ul> <li>Average Student Proficiency</li> </ul>		265	3.54	2.65	3.06	3.27	3.51	3.78	4.11	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>		265	76.2%	35.6%	52.8%	62.6%	73.8%	86.1%	4.20	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		178	67.0	45.8	55.8	64.4	70.3	84.4	3.44	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest T	hird	63	64.0	50.7	60.2	68.5	74.3	88.1	2.46	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		86	3.19	1.31	2.15	2.70	3.09	4.14	4.10	9.09%
<ul> <li>MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students</li> </ul>		83	99.7%	85.7%	90.9%	93.5%	96.4%	100.0%	4.92	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.46

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	6	2.3%	11.3%	16.7%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	34	13.0%	66.0%	23.5%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	1	0.4%	3.8%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	6	2.3%	11.4%	16.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	34	12.8%	65.3%	29.4%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.86	0.030	0.029
SETSS	2	0.8%	7.7%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	4.6%	9.8%	37.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	11	6.3%	7.0%	27.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	1.1%	2.8%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	15.5%	33.2%	22.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.02	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	11	6.2%	12.7%	54.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	15	8.4%	11.5%	46.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	1.1%	2.9%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	15.2%	33.1%	40.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.03	0.030	0.015
ELL Progress	33	6.2%	15.7%	87.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.07
									Overa	Ill Student Achie	vement Score	3.53

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
26Q041
```

P.S. 041 Crocheron

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.20	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.72	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	98%	4.68	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.84	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	99%	4.84	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	93.6%	3.68	
HS			
Overall	93.6%	3.68	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.15	2.09	
HS			
Overall	0.15	2.09	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	96%	4.76	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.76	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	91%	4.24	100%
The school survey sciong running community ries	51/6	7.27	10070
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	
st	99%	4.60	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust	99%	4.00	
NYC School Survey - Trust	99%	4.00	10070

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy</li> </ul>	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.89	4.56
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in math</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
<ul> <li>Quality of student discussion</li> </ul>	Teachers	93	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		96%					4.20
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	99				0.88	4.52
<ul> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
<ul> <li>Quality of professional development</li> </ul>	Teachers	92	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.83	4.32
School commitment	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Innovation	Teachers	99	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.96	4.84
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
<ul> <li>Focus on student learning</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	68.2	89.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Collective responsibility	Teachers	99	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.98	4.92
Section Results:		98%					4.68
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	98	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.94	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	98				0.94	4.76
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	98	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.91	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	98				0.91	4.64
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.85	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	99				0.93	4.72
Section Results:		99%					4.84

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	96	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.81	4.24
Teacher influence	Teachers	89	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.93	4.72
Program coherence	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		96%					4.76
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.88	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	97	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.80	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	97				0.84	4.36
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	84	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		91%					4.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		99%					4.60

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.10	2.90 or lower	2.91 to 3.01	3.02 to 3.09	3.10 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.53	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.44	2.45 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	56.5%	48.2% or lower	48.3% to 53.7%	53.8% to 57.9%	58.0% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.54	3.20 or lower	3.21 to 3.36	3.37 to 3.47	3.48 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.85	2.45 or lower	2.46 to 2.62	2.63 to 2.74	2.75 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	76.2%	61.1% or lower	61.2% to 68.4%	68.5% to 73.9%	74.0% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.7%	89.2% or lower	89.3% to 91.9%	92.0% to 94.0%	94.1% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.22	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.38	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.36	2.37 or higher		
SETSS		2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
ELL	2.23	2.50 or lower	2.51 to 2.63	2.64 to 2.74	2.75 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.57	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.66	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.69	2.70 or higher		
		2.47 or lower	2.48 to 2.65	2.66 to 2.78	2.79 or higher		
SETSS							
SETSS ELL	2.79	2.90 or lower	2.91 to 3.10	3.11 to 3.25	3.26 or higher		
	2.79 2.09	2.90 or lower 1.99 or lower	2.91 to 3.10 2.00 to 2.07	3.11 to 3.25 2.08 to 2.13	3.26 or higher 2.14 or higher		
ELL					•		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	93.6%	82.6% or lower	82.7% to 87.0%	87.1% to 90.4%	90.5% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.15	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher		