2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.60	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.16	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target			2.96	
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.72		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.00		
Trust	Meeting Target			3.00	
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.28	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 178 - Dr. Selman Waksman

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	75	74	69
Grade 1	86	84	81
Grade 2	76	80	77
Grade 3	72	83	92
Grade 4	69	81	90
Grade 5	68	72	82
All students	446	474	491

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	2%	3%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	58%	58%	68%
% Student with IEPs	22%	26%	27%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	13%	12%
% HRA Eligible	-	43%	42%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	13%
% Asian	1%	2%	2%
% Black	63%	62%	63%
% Hispanic	32%	29%	27%
% White	2%	4%	6%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

11X178 P.S. 178 - Dr. Selman Waksman

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.28									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		244	2.41	2.04	2.26	2.38	2.53	2.79	3.20	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		244	20.9%	5.0%	14.6%	21.0%	28.3%	39.7%	2.98	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		151	65.0	45.1	53.6	60.9	66.1	78.2	3.79	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi	rd	54	78.5	54.9	64.4	72.7	78.4	92.1	4.01	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		84	1.41	0.67	1.25	1.74	2.08	2.94	2.33	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		249	2.60	2.01	2.31	2.51	2.74	3.09	3.39	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		249	28.9%	2.7%	16.4%	26.0%	36.9%	52.5%	3.27	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		151	48.0	40.2	50.5	59.5	65.8	80.8	1.76	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi	rd	52	65.0	53.4	63.1	71.6	77.5	91.5	2.22	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		88	2.14	0.10	1.27	2.30	3.01	4.71	2.84	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		69	95.4%	79.8%	85.7%	89.7%	94.3%	100.0%	4.19	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.09

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	34	13.9%	68.5%	2.9%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.11	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	10	4.1%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	21	8.6%	81.9%	4.8%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	2.48	0.030	0.011
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	35	14.1%	70.1%	5.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.23	0.030	0.017
Integrated Co-Teaching	10	4.0%	20.4%	10.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	21	8.4%	80.8%	4.8%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.73	0.030	0.005
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.0%	4.3%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	53	35.1%	50.1%	60.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.26	0.030	0.025
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	34	22.5%	57.0%	64.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.41	0.030	0.026
SC/ICT/SETSS	42	27.8%	68.5%	52.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.77	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.0%	4.1%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	37	24.5%	33.7%	48.6%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.56	0.030	0.019
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	21	13.9%	36.2%	57.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.16	0.030	0.024
SC/ICT/SETSS	42	27.8%	69.5%	40.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.01	0.030	0.015
ELL Progress	19	3.9%	9.9%	63.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.19
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.28

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

11X178 P.S. 178 - Dr. Selman Waksman

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
11X178
```

P.S. 178 - Dr. Selman Waksman

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	4.04	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	83%	2.92	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Developing	2.00	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	88%	3.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	84.6%	4.04	
HS			
Overall	84.6%	4.04	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.10	1.71	
HS			
Overall	0.10	1.71	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	75%	2.72	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	78%	2.00	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.00	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	88%	3.00	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
11X178
P.S. 178 - Dr. Selman Waksman
```

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	83	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.46	2.84
Section Results:		93%					4.04
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	58	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.14	1.56
School commitment	Teachers	81	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.52	3.08
Innovation	Teachers	78	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.26	2.04
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	91	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.60	3.40
Focus on student learning	Teachers	87	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.58	3.32
• Collective responsibility	Teachers	73	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.20	1.80
Section Results:		83%					2.92
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety.	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.82	
Safety	Students	90	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.82	
Safety	Combined	96	74.5	00.5	100.0	0.82	4.28
Classroom behavior:	combined	50				0.02	4.20
Classroom behavior	Teachers	78	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.33	
Classroom behavior	Students	70	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.55	
Classroom behavior	Combined	78	07.5	04.3	100.0	0.33	2.32
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students	57	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	04.0	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
Press toward academic achievement.	ent Teachers	78	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.10	
Press toward academic achieveme		70	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.10	
 Press toward academic achieveme 		78	05.5	51.5	50.5	0.10	1.40
Personal attention and support	Students	70	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.10	1.40
Peer support for academic work:	Students		77.0	05.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	94	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	91	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students	9 ±	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	92	50.7	, 5.0	51.6	0.62	3.48
Section Results:	combined	88%				0.02	3.08
		0070					0.00

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
11X178
P.S. 178 - Dr. Selman Waksman
```

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	85	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.28	2.12
Teacher influence	Teachers	52	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.36	2.44
Program coherence	Teachers	85	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.63	3.52
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	78	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.43	2.72
Section Results:		75%					2.72
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.58	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	87	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.42	2.68
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	65	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.08	1.32
Section Results:		78%					2.00
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	91	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	88	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.28	2.12
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	82	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.58	3.32
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	90	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.62	3.48
Section Results:		88%					3.00

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.41	2.51 or lower	2.52 to 2.61	2.62 to 2.69	2.70 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.05	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.9%	26.8% or lower	26.9% to 32.3%	32.4% to 36.4%	36.5% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.60	2.65 or lower	2.66 to 2.81	2.82 to 2.93	2.94 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.10	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	28.9%	35.7% or lower	35.8% to 43.0%	43.1% to 48.4%	48.5% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.4%	85.8% or lower	85.9% to 89.4%	89.5% to 92.1%	92.2% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.98	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.07	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.22	2.23 or higher
SETSS	2.00	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.22	2.23 or higher
ELL	2.29	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.50	2.51 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.08	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.15	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.47	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.44	2.45 or higher
SETSS	2.09	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.39	2.40 or higher
ELL	2.22	2.50 or lower	2.51 to 2.69	2.70 to 2.84	2.85 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
	63.2%	58.9% or lower	59.0% to 68.9%	69.0% to 76.4%	76.5% or highe

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	84.6%	75.4% or lower	75.5% to 81.7%	81.8% to 86.4%	86.5% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.10	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.29	0.30 to 0.37	0.38 or higher