## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Exceeding Target				4.12
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target				4.28
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target				3.96
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.72	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target				4.00
Trust	Meeting Target			3.52	
Student Achievement	Exceeding Target				4.03
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	135	101	129
Grade 1	137	150	126
Grade 2	95	137	149
Grade 3	114	95	140
Grade 4	88	111	99
Grade 5	89	85	106
All students	712	733	803

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	2%	2%
% Free Lunch Eligible	34%	34%	47%
% Student with IEPs	10%	11%	13%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	3%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	35%	31%
% Temporary Housing	-	10%	8%
% Asian	5%	5%	4%
% Black	74%	74%	71%
% Hispanic	10%	9%	11%
% White	10%	10%	11%
% Other	0%	3%	4%

13K011 P.S. 011 Purvis J. Behan



# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Exceeding Target	4.03									
					2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		338	2.92	2.03	2.36	2.60	2.87	3.21	4.15	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>		338	52.7%	3.0%	19.8%	32.0%	45.8%	63.2%	4.40	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		192	69.0	44.8	53.5	61.0	66.3	78.8	4.22	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		67	75.0	51.1	61.2	69.8	75.8	89.9	3.87	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		134	2.82	0.55	1.43	2.21	2.75	4.06	4.05	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		337	3.15	1.94	2.43	2.79	3.19	3.70	3.90	9.09%
• Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		337	59.6%	0.0%	22.9%	39.4%	58.2%	82.3%	4.06	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		193	56.0	34.1	48.3	60.3	68.7	89.1	2.64	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		66	69.0	43.6	57.1	68.2	75.9	94.7	3.10	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		134	3.79	0.02	1.59	2.96	3.91	6.27	3.87	9.09%
<ul> <li>MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students</li> </ul>		79	95.5%	69.2%	78.2%	84.3%	91.3%	100.0%	4.48	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.89

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	17	5.0%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	13	3.8%	19.3%	7.7%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	13	3.8%	36.2%	15.4%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	17	5.0%	24.9%	5.9%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	13	3.9%	19.9%	15.4%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	13	3.9%	37.5%	23.1%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.54	0.030	0.027
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	5	2.6%	5.6%	100.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	44	22.9%	31.9%	54.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.89	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	26	13.5%	34.2%	57.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.09	0.030	0.023
• SC/ICT/SETSS	30	15.6%	33.5%	60.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.23	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	7	3.6%	7.4%	57.1%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	35	18.1%	24.9%	48.6%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	18	9.3%	24.2%	55.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	30	15.5%	34.0%	33.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.35	0.030	0.010
ELL Progress	16	2.1%	5.3%	75.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.14
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	4.03

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 13K011 P.S. 011 Purvis J. Behan

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
13K011
```

P.S. 011 Purvis J. Behan

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	92%	3.44	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.52	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
portive Environment			
• Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.12	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	83.6%	3.00	
HS			
Overall	83.6%	3.00	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.17	2.27	
HS			
Overall	0.17	2.27	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
ctive School Leadership		<b>-</b>	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	85%	3.72	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	90%	4.00	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	90%	3.52	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
		JUL	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
13K011
P.S. 011 Purvis J. Behan
```

				City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy</li> </ul>	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	92	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.59	3.36	
Section Results:		92%					3.44	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	94	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.56		
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students	50	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.65	3.60	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.58	3.32	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	71	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.41	2.64	
School commitment	Teachers	71	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.44	2.76	
Innovation	Teachers	88	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.60	3.40	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.68	3.72	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	89	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.66	3.64	
-	Teachers	95	65.7		100.0	0.85	4.40	
Collective responsibility Section Results:	reachers	89%	05.7	84.7	100.0	0.85	4.40 <b>3.52</b>	
Section Results.		0570					3.32	
Supportive Environment								
Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00		
Safety	Students	100	74.5	88.5	100.0	100		
Safety	Combined	100	7 110	0010	20010	1.00	4.99	
Classroom behavior:	combined	100				1.00	1.55	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	90	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.69		
Classroom behavior	Students	50	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.05		
Classroom behavior	Combined	90	07.5	04.5	100.0	0.69	3.76	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer interactions	Students	50	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	04.0	100.0			
Press toward academic achievement:	Students							
Press toward academic achievement.	Teachers	91	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.63		
Press toward academic achievement	Students	91	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.05		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	91	03.5	91.9	50.5	0.63	3.52	
		91	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.05	5.52	
Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Students		//.0	09.0	100.0			
	Toochara	05	76 5	01 5	100.0	0.70		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	95	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.79		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.83		
Peer support for academic work	Students	07	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.01	4.24	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.81	4.24	
Section Results:		95%					4.12	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
13K011
P.S. 011 Purvis J. Behan
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.71	3.84
Teacher influence	Teachers	69	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.63	3.52
Program coherence	Teachers	83	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.58	3.32
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	92	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.79	4.16
Section Results:		85%					3.72
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.90	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.56	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.73	3.92
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	84	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		90%					4.00
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	98	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.76	4.04
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.58	3.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	76	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.46	2.84
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.71	3.84
Section Results:		90%					3.52

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.92	2.63 or lower	2.64 to 2.73	2.74 to 2.81	2.82 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.17	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.27	2.28 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	52.7%	34.2% or lower	34.3% to 39.7%	39.8% to 43.9%	44.0% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.15	2.84 or lower	2.85 to 2.99	3.00 to 3.11	3.12 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.32	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	59.6%	44.3% or lower	44.4% to 51.6%	51.7% to 57.1%	57.2% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.5%	87.5% or lower	87.6% to 90.6%	90.7% to 93.0%	93.1% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.75	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.98	1.99 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.27	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.20	2.21 or higher
SETSS	2.30	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
ELL	2.72	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.60	2.61 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.86	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.51	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.54	2.55 or higher
SETSS	2.49	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.49	2.50 or higher
ELL	3.22	2.64 or lower	2.65 to 2.84	2.85 to 2.99	3.00 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.15	2.16 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.20	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.12	2.13 or higher
ELL Progress	75.0%	50.1% or lower	50.2% to 60.1%	60.2% to 67.6%	67.7% or higher

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2014-15 2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	83.6%	77.0% or lower	77.1% to 82.9%	83.0% to 87.3%	87.4% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.17	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.33	0.34 or higher			