2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target			2.96	
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.00
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target			2.92	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3	3.84
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.48		
Trust	Meeting Target				3.88
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2	.85	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	152	116	112
Grade 1	179	138	111
Grade 2	128	159	132
Grade 3	137	118	163
Grade 4	150	115	113
Grade 5	167	142	123
All students	966	842	807

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	4%	6%
% Free Lunch Eligible	83%	83%	83%
% Student with IEPs	16%	19%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	7%	6%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	68%	70%
% Temporary Housing	-	19%	23%
% Asian	2%	2%	3%
% Black	29%	27%	26%
% Hispanic	68%	70%	69%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

08X138 P.S. 138 Samuel Randall

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.85									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		382	2.32	1.97	2.20	2.34	2.51	2.78	2.86	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		382	20.7%	2.2%	11.9%	18.8%	26.5%	38.5%	3.25	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		209	53.0	45.8	53.6	60.4	65.2	76.5	1.92	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lower	st Third	75	67.0	58.5	66.8	74.0	79.1	91.1	2.03	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		160	1.92	0.35	1.02	1.60	2.01	3.01	3.78	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		386	2.39	1.95	2.25	2.45	2.68	3.04	2.70	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		386	21.5%	1.1%	14.3%	23.7%	34.4%	50.0%	2.77	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		208	54.0	36.5	48.4	58.8	66.0	83.2	2.54	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lower	st Third	76	62.5	52.8	62.7	71.3	77.3	91.7	1.98	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		160	2.14	0.05	1.16	2.13	2.81	4.44	3.01	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	5	129	89.2%	70.5%	79.0%	84.9%	91.6%	100.0%	3.64	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.77

						2	014-15 Targets	6	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	38	9.9%	48.8%	2.6%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	3.88	0.030	0.022
Integrated Co-Teaching	26	6.8%	34.5%	3.8%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.04	0.030	0.008
SETSS	8	2.1%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
o Self-Contained	39	10.1%	50.2%	2.6%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.90	0.030	0.007
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	26	6.7%	34.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	8	2.1%	20.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	20	9.6%	20.5%	40.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
 Lowest Third Citywide 	81	38.8%	55.7%	38.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.95	0.030	0.007
O Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	41	19.6%	49.6%	34.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.83	0.030	0.006
SC/ICT/SETSS	38	18.2%	41.0%	36.8%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.19	0.030	0.009
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	20	9.6%	19.7%	40.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
 Lowest Third Citywide 	92	44.2%	60.7%	30.4%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.84	0.030	0.006
• Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	41	19.7%	51.3%	29.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.99	0.030	0.007
SC/ICT/SETSS	37	17.8%	40.6%	29.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.02	0.030	0.008
ELL Progress	39	5.2%	13.2%	59.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.08
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.85

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

08X138 P.S. 138 Samuel Randall

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
08X138
```

P.S. 138 Samuel Randall

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	3.88	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
aborative Teachers			
	Proficient	3.40	50%
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	97%	4.56	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
Durality Bayiow 2.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	52/6	5.72	5570
EMS	57.7%	1.56	
HS	37.770	1.50	
Overall	57.7%	1.56	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.19	2.45	
HS			
Overall	0.19	2.45	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
ctive School Leadership			4004
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	86%	3.84	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	81%	2.48	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.48	
st			
s t NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	3.88	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
08X138
```

P.S. 138 Samuel Randall

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	90	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		94%					3.88
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.85	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	51	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Combined	96	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.67	3.68
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.96	4.84
Quality of professional development	Teachers	90	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.80	4.20
 School commitment 	Teachers	94	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.85	4.40
Innovation	Teachers	97	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.90	4.60
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	99	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.98	4.92
Collective responsibility	Teachers	96	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.89	4.56
Section Results:		97%		-			4.56
Safety:		07	00.0	04.6	400.0	0.05	
Safety	Teachers	97	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.85	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0	0.05	
• Safety	Combined	97				0.85	4.40
Classroom behavior:					400.0	0.44	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	81	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.41	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		• • •
Classroom behavior	Combined	81				0.41	2.64
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
	Taachara	0.0		00.0	100.0	0.52	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	88	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.52	
Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined	00	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.53	2.00
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88	0 דד	89.6	100.0	0.52	3.08
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	09.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Topphare	0.4	76 5	01 5	100.0	0.76	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	94	76.5 88.4	91.5	100.0	0.76	
Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Parents Students	92	50.4	94.8 73.8	100.0 97.2	0.50	
	Combined	93	50.4	/ J.Ö	51.2	0.63	3.52
Peer support for academic work	Combined					0.05	
Section Results:		92%					3.72

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
08X138
P.S. 138 Samuel Randall
```

				City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Effective Cohool Londowskin								
Effective School Leadership								
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	87	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.37	2.48	
Teacher influence	Teachers	59	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.47	2.88	
 Program coherence 	Teachers	99	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.98	4.92	
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Section Results:		86%					3.84	
Strong Family Community Ties								
Teacher outreach to parents:								
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.86		
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	88	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25		
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.55	3.20	
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	68	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.19	1.76	
Section Results:		81%					2.48	
Trust								
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00	
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0			
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	99	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.98	4.92	
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.91	4.64	
Section Results:		95%					3.88	

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.32	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.45	2.46 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.7%	15.4% or lower	15.5% to 20.9%	21.0% to 25.0%	25.1% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.39	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.46	2.47 to 2.57	2.58 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.85	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	21.5%	18.2% or lower	18.3% to 25.5%	25.6% to 31.0%	31.1% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	89.2%	85.0% or lower	85.1% to 88.8%	88.9% to 91.7%	91.8% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.75	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.92	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
SETSS	1.90	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
ELL	2.03	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.91	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.80	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
SETSS	1.98	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
ELL	2.08	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
ELL Progress	59.0%	40.2% or lower	40.3% to 50.2%	50.3% to 57.7%	57.8% or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	57.7%	57.0% or lower	57.1% to 63.8%	63.9% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.19	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher		