2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

| Section | Section Rating | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | ExceedingTarget |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Rigorous Instruction | N/A | | | | |
| Collaborative Teachers | N/A | | | | |
| Supportive Environment | N/A | | | | |
| Effective School Leadership | N/A | | | | |
| Strong Family-Community Ties | N/A | | | | |
| Trust | N/A | | | | |
| Student Achievement | N/A | | | | |
| | | | 2 | | 4 4.99 |

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

| Student Enrollment | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Grade | 2012 - 2013 | 2013 - 2014 | 2014 - 2015 |

| Grade 6 | - | - | 78 |
|--------------|---|---|----|
| All students | - | - | 78 |

Student Demographics

| | 2012 - 2013 | 2013 - 2014 | 2014 - 2015 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| % English Language Learners | - | - | 1% |
| % Free Lunch Eligible | - | - | 60% |
| % Student with IEPs | - | - | 15% |
| % Student with IEPs (less than 20% time) | - | - | 5% |
| % HRA Eligible | - | - | 41% |
| % Temporary Housing | - | - | 12% |
| % Asian | - | - | 0% |
| % Black | - | - | 99% |
| % Hispanic | - | - | 1% |
| % White | - | - | 0% |
| % Other | - | - | 0% |
| | | | |
| Average Incoming ELA Proficiency | - | - | 2.34 |
| Average Incoming Math Proficiency | - | - | 2.49 |



2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

| | | 2014-15 | Bottom of | | | | - Top of | | |
|------|------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| | n | School Value | Target Range | Target | Target | - | Target Range | Metric Score | Weight Pc |
| | | | | - | - | - | | | |
| | 78 | 2.39 | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | 78 | 10.3% | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | 74 | 63.0 | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| ird | 29 | 72.0 | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 77 | 2.27 | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | 77 | 7.8% | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | 73 | 47.0 | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| ird | 29 | 56.0 | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | 78 | 96.2% | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | 78 | 91.0% | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | 78 | 98.7% | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | 78 | 97.4% | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| lers | | | | | | | | | 0.00% |
| | ird ird | 78 78 78 74 74 29 77 77 73 11d 29 77 78 <td>$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>n School Value Target Range 78 2.39 78 10.3% 74 63.0 74 63.0 29 72.0 77 2.27 77 7.8% 73 47.0 29 56.0 78 96.2% 78 91.0% 78 91.0% 78 98.7% 78 97.4%</td> <td>2014-15 n Bottom of School Value Approaching Target Range 78 2.39 78 10.3% 74 63.0 74 63.0 77 2.27 77 7.8% 73 47.0 1ird 29 56.0 78 91.0% 78 98.7% 78 97.4%</td> <td>2014-15 Bottom of Target Range Approaching Target Meeting Target 78 2.39 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 100 29 72.0 10.3% 10.3% 77 7.8% 10.3% 10.3% 10.3% 73 47.0 29 56.0 10.3% 10.3% 1111 78 91.0% 10.3% 10.3% 10.3% 78 98.7% 78 97.4% 10.3% 10.3%</td> <td>n School Value Target Range Target Target Target 78 2.39 78 10.3% 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 1ird 29 72.0 77 7.8% 73 47.0 73 47.0 73 47.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 75 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76<td>2014-15 Bottom of Target Range Approaching Target Meeting Target Exceeding Target Top of Target Range 78 2.39 78 10.3% 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 77 1ird 29 72.0 77 7.8% 73 47.0 73 47.0 1ird 29 56.0 78 96.2% 78 91.0% 78 98.7% 78 97.4% 78 97.4% 78 97.4% 78 10.1%</td><td>2014-15 Bottom of School Value Approaching Target Range Meeting Target Exceeding Target Range Top of Target Range Metric Score 78 2.39 </td></td> | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | n School Value Target Range 78 2.39 78 10.3% 74 63.0 74 63.0 29 72.0 77 2.27 77 7.8% 73 47.0 29 56.0 78 96.2% 78 91.0% 78 91.0% 78 98.7% 78 97.4% | 2014-15 n Bottom of School Value Approaching Target Range 78 2.39 78 10.3% 74 63.0 74 63.0 77 2.27 77 7.8% 73 47.0 1ird 29 56.0 78 91.0% 78 98.7% 78 97.4% | 2014-15 Bottom of Target Range Approaching Target Meeting Target 78 2.39 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 74 63.0 10.3% 10.3% 100 29 72.0 10.3% 10.3% 77 7.8% 10.3% 10.3% 10.3% 73 47.0 29 56.0 10.3% 10.3% 1111 78 91.0% 10.3% 10.3% 10.3% 78 98.7% 78 97.4% 10.3% 10.3% | n School Value Target Range Target Target Target 78 2.39 78 10.3% 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 1ird 29 72.0 77 7.8% 73 47.0 73 47.0 73 47.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 75 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 76 77 76 <td>2014-15 Bottom of Target Range Approaching Target Meeting Target Exceeding Target Top of Target Range 78 2.39 78 10.3% 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 77 1ird 29 72.0 77 7.8% 73 47.0 73 47.0 1ird 29 56.0 78 96.2% 78 91.0% 78 98.7% 78 97.4% 78 97.4% 78 97.4% 78 10.1%</td> <td>2014-15 Bottom of School Value Approaching Target Range Meeting Target Exceeding Target Range Top of Target Range Metric Score 78 2.39 </td> | 2014-15 Bottom of Target Range Approaching Target Meeting Target Exceeding Target Top of Target Range 78 2.39 78 10.3% 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 63.0 74 77 1ird 29 72.0 77 7.8% 73 47.0 73 47.0 1ird 29 56.0 78 96.2% 78 91.0% 78 98.7% 78 97.4% 78 97.4% 78 97.4% 78 10.1% | 2014-15 Bottom of School Value Approaching Target Range Meeting Target Exceeding Target Range Top of Target Range Metric Score 78 2.39 |

| | | | | | | : | 2014-15 Targets | 5 | _ | | | |
|---|----|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics | n | 2014-15 School Population % | Population % of Range | 2014-15 School Value | Bottom of Target Range | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | – Top of Target Range | Metric Score | Extra Points Possible | Extra Points Earned |
| ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 4 | 5.1% | 26.4% | | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 4 | 5.1% | 28.0% | | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SETSS | 5 | 6.4% | 68.8% | 0.0% | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 4 | 5.2% | 27.5% | | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 4 | 5.2% | 28.7% | | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SETSS | 4 | 5.2% | 56.5% | | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELL | 3 | 4.1% | 9.2% | | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 30 | 40.5% | 50.8% | 50.0% | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 23 | 31.1% | 69.6% | 52.2% | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SC/ICT/SETSS | 13 | 17.6% | 37.1% | 30.8% | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELL | 3 | 4.1% | 8.5% | | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 23 | 31.5% | 38.4% | 21.7% | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 15 | 20.5% | 46.1% | 26.7% | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SC/ICT/SETSS | 12 | 16.4% | 34.5% | 8.3% | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| ELL Progress | 1 | 1.3% | 3.6% | | | | | | | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | CtAG Ad | ditional Points | |
| | | | | | | | | | Over | all Student Achie | evement Score | |

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

18K763 Brooklyn Science and Engineering Academy

Weighted Average Score

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

18K763

| | Metric Value | Metric Score | Weight Pct |
|--|----------------|--------------|------------|
| orous Instruction | | | 0 |
| Quality Review 1.1 | Well Developed | 4.99 | |
| Quality Review 1.2 | Proficient | 3.40 | |
| Quality Review 2.2 | Well Developed | 4.99 | |
| NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction | 98% | 4.72 | |
| | 5676 | 4.72 | |
| Section Rating: | Section Score: | | |
| aborative Teachers | | | |
| Quality Review 4.2 | Proficient | 3.40 | |
| NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers | 100% | 4.96 | |
| NTC School Sulvey - Collaborative Teachers | 100% | 4.90 | |
| Section Rating: | Section Score: | : | |
| portive Environment | | | |
| Quality Review 3.4 | Well Developed | 4.99 | |
| NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment | 95% | 4.60 | |
| Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance | 5576 | | |
| EMS | 89.9% | | |
| HS | 55.570 | | |
| Overall | 89.9% | | |
| Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive | 00.070 | | |
| environments | | | |
| EMS | 0.08 | | |
| HS | 0.00 | | |
| ns Overall | 0.08 | | |
| Overall | 0.08 | | |
| Section Rating: | Section Score: | | |
| | | | |
| ctive School Leadership | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership | 98% | 4.76 | |
| Section Rating: | Section Score: | : | |
| ong Family-Community Ties | | | |
| | 010/ | 2 61 | |
| NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties | 81% | 3.64 | |
| Section Rating: | Section Score: | | |
| | | | |
| st | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Trust | 97% | 4.52 | |
| | | | |
| Section Rating: | Section Score: | | |

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
18K763
```

| | | | | City Range | | | | |
|--|----------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|------------------|-------|--|
| | | Survey % Positive | Bottom of Range | City Avg | Top of Range | Percent of Range | Score | |
| Rigorous Instruction | | | | | | | | |
| Common Core shifts in literacy | Teachers | 100 | 79.4 | 91.4 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Common Core shifts in math | Teachers | 92 | 68.9 | 87.1 | 100.0 | 0.73 | 3.92 | |
| Course clarity | Students | 98 | 81.3 | 89.7 | 98.1 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Quality of student discussion | Teachers | 100 | 53.2 | 78.4 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Section Results: | | 98% | | | | | 4.72 | |
| Collaborative Teachers | | | | | | | | |
| Cultural awareness: | | | | | | | | |
| Cultural awareness | Teachers | 100 | 84.5 | 94.1 | 100.0 | 1.00 | | |
| Cultural awareness | Parents | 95 | 87.1 | 93.3 | 99.5 | 0.75 | | |
| Cultural awareness | Students | 96 | 70.6 | 84.2 | 97.8 | 0.92 | | |
| Cultural awareness | Combined | 97 | | | | 0.89 | 4.56 | |
| Inclusive classroom instruction | Teachers | 100 | 81.7 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Quality of professional development | Teachers | 100 | 54.0 | 77.4 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| School commitment | Teachers | 100 | 59.7 | 84.3 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Innovation | Teachers | 100 | 65.8 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Reflective dialogue | Teachers | 100 | 86.6 | 95.8 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Peer collaboration | Teachers | 100 | 76.7 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Focus on student learning | Teachers | 100 | 68.4 | 88.4 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Collective responsibility | Teachers | 100 | 57.5 | 82.3 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Section Results: | | 100% | | | | | 4.96 | |
| Supportive Environment Safety: | | | | | | | | |
| Safety | Teachers | | | | | | | |
| Safety | Students | 92 | 67.5 | 82.9 | 98.3 | 0.80 | | |
| • Safety | Combined | 92 | | | | 0.80 | 4.20 | |
| Classroom behavior: | | | | | | | | |
| Classroom behavior | Teachers | | | | | | | |
| Classroom behavior | Students | 94 | 63.4 | 79.2 | 95.0 | 0.95 | | |
| Classroom behavior | Combined | 94 | | | | 0.95 | 4.80 | |
| Social-emotional measure | Teachers | 100 | 84.7 | 95.3 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Peer interactions | Students | 96 | 67.5 | 80.7 | 93.9 | 1.00 | 4.99 | |
| Next-level guidance | Students | 93 | 76.9 | 88.3 | 99.7 | 0.72 | 3.88 | |
| Press toward academic achievement: | | | | | | | | |
| Press toward academic achievement | Teachers | | | | | | | |
| Press toward academic achievement | Students | 95 | 80.6 | 88.2 | 95.8 | 0.94 | | |
| Press toward academic achievement | Combined | 95 | | | | 0.94 | 4.76 | |
| Personal attention and support | Students | 95 | 74.1 | 85.5 | 96.9 | 0.90 | 4.60 | |
| Peer support for academic work: | | | | | | | | |
| Peer support for academic work | Teachers | <i>a</i> – | | 0.0 | | 0 = 0 | | |
| Peer support for academic work | Parents | 95 | 76.8 | 88.6 | 100.0 | 0.78 | | |
| Peer support for academic work | Students | 87 | 48.0 | 66.6 | 85.2 | 1.00 | | |
| Peer support for academic work | Combined | 91 | | | | 0.89 | 4.56 | |
| Section Results: | | 95% | | | | | 4.60 | |

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

18K763

| | | | City Range | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Survey % Positive | Bottom of Range | City Avg | Top of Range | Percent of Range | Score |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Parents | 96 | 82.1 | 90.7 | 99.3 | 0.81 | 4.24 |
| Teachers | 97 | 34.5 | 67.1 | 99.7 | 0.96 | 4.84 |
| Teachers | 100 | 60.8 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 |
| Teachers | 100 | 67.2 | 88.0 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 |
| | 98% | | | | | 4.76 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Teachers | 100 | 79.9 | 92.5 | 100.0 | 1.00 | |
| Parents | 94 | 81.6 | 90.6 | 99.6 | 0.71 | |
| Combined | 97 | | | | 0.85 | 4.40 |
| Parents | 65 | 47.1 | 66.3 | 85.5 | 0.46 | 2.84 |
| | 81% | | | | | 3.64 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Parents | 96 | 88.9 | 94.3 | 99.7 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Parents | 97 | 88.6 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Students | 93 | 69.2 | 82.0 | 94.8 | 0.92 | 4.68 |
| Teachers | 100 | 63.2 | 87.4 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 |
| Teachers | 100 | 74.2 | 90.6 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 4.99 |
| | 97% | | | | | 4.52 |
| | Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Parents Combined Parents Parents Parents Students | Parents96Teachers97Teachers100Teachers100Teachers10098%Teachers98%Teachers90Parents94Combined97Parents6581%Parents96Parents97Students93Teachers100Teachers100Teachers100Teachers100 | Parents 96 82.1 Teachers 97 34.5 Teachers 100 60.8 Teachers 100 67.2 98% 98% 98% Teachers 100 7 98% 98% Teachers 94 81% 97 81.6 Combined 97 97 81% 93 69.2 Parents 93 93 69.2 1 Teachers 100 63.2 Teachers 100 74.2 | Survey % Positive Bottom of Range City Avg Parents 96 82.1 90.7 Teachers 97 34.5 67.1 Teachers 100 60.8 85.2 Teachers 100 67.2 88.0 98% 98% 98% 98% Teachers 100 79.9 92.5 Parents 94 81.6 90.6 Combined 97 92.5 93 66.3 Parents 94 81.6 90.6 94.3 Parents 95 47.1 66.3 94.3 Parents 96 88.9 94.3 Parents 97 88.6 94.8 Students 93 69.2 82.0 Teachers 100 63.2 87.4 Teachers 100 74.2 90.6 | Survey % Positive Bottom of Range City Avg Top of Range Parents 96 82.1 90.7 99.3 Teachers 97 34.5 67.1 99.7 Teachers 100 60.8 85.2 100.0 Teachers 100 67.2 88.0 100.0 98% 94.3 99.6 99.6 Combined 97 92.5 100.0 Parents 94 81.6 90.6 99.6 Combined 97 97 94.3 85.5 81% 100.0 99.7 92.5 100.0 Parents 96 88.9 94.3 85.5 81% 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Parents 96 88.9 94.3 99.7 Parents 97 88.6 94.8 100.0 Students 93 69.2 82.0 94.8 Teachers 100 63.2 87.4 100 | Survey % Positive Bottom of Range City Avg Top of Range Percent of Range Parents 96 82.1 90.7 99.3 0.81 Teachers 97 34.5 67.1 99.7 0.96 Teachers 100 60.8 85.2 100.0 1.00 Teachers 100 67.2 8.0 100.0 1.00 Parents 94 81.6 99.6 0.71 0.85 Parents 94 81.6 99.6 0.71 0.85 Parents 94 88.6 94.3 85.5 0.46 81% v Parents 95 47.1 66.3 85.5 0.46 81% v Parents 97 88.6 94.8 100.0 0.75 Parents 97 88.6 94.8 100.0 0.75 Students 93 69.2 82.0 94.8 0.92 Teachers < |

2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

Brooklyn Science and Engineering Academy

These tables show the values needed in 2015 16 for the school to ashing a rating of Eucoding Target. Masting Target, Approaching Target, or Net Masting Target on each matrix

| Student Achievement Metrics | 2014-15 | | 2015-16 | Targets | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target |
| State Test Results - ELA* | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 2.39 | 2.39 or lower | 2.40 to 2.46 | 2.47 to 2.52 | 2.53 or higher |
| Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third | 2.03 | 1.97 or lower | 1.98 to 2.06 | 2.07 to 2.13 | 2.14 or higher |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 10.3% | 17.6% or lower | 17.7% to 21.3% | 21.4% to 24.3% | 24.4% or higher |
| State Test Results - Math* | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 2.27 | 2.38 or lower | 2.39 to 2.50 | 2.51 to 2.61 | 2.62 or higher |
| Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third | 1.95 | 1.95 or lower | 1.96 to 2.07 | 2.08 to 2.16 | 2.17 or higher |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 7.8% | 18.6% or lower | 18.7% to 24.4% | 24.5% to 29.1% | 29.2% or higher |
| Core Course Pass Rates | | | | | |
| ELA | 96.2% | 78.0% or lower | 78.1% to 83.2% | 83.3% to 87.4% | 87.5% or higher |
| Math | 91.0% | 81.1% or lower | 81.2% to 85.5% | 85.6% to 89.1% | 89.2% or higher |
| Science | 98.7% | 81.9% or lower | 82.0% to 86.1% | 86.2% to 89.6% | 89.7% or higher |
| Social Studies | 97.4% | 75.7% or lower | 75.8% to 81.4% | 81.5% to 86.0% | 86.1% or higher |

9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders

| Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics* | 2014-15 | 2015-16 Targets | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 2 | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | |
| LA - Average Proficiency Rating | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | | 1.74 or lower | 1.75 to 1.80 | 1.81 to 1.89 | 1.90 or higher | | |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | | 2.03 or lower | 2.04 to 2.11 | 2.12 to 2.17 | 2.18 or higher | | |
| SETSS | 2.08 | 2.05 or lower | 2.06 to 2.16 | 2.17 to 2.25 | 2.26 or higher | | |
| ELL | | 2.08 or lower | 2.09 to 2.19 | 2.20 to 2.29 | 2.30 or higher | | |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 2.04 | 1.92 or lower | 1.93 to 1.97 | 1.98 to 2.01 | 2.02 or higher | | |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 2.06 | 1.87 or lower | 1.88 to 1.92 | 1.93 to 1.99 | 2.00 or higher | | |
| Nath - Average Proficiency Rating | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | | 1.70 or lower | 1.71 to 1.79 | 1.80 to 1.89 | 1.90 or higher | | |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | | 1.96 or lower | 1.97 to 2.08 | 2.09 to 2.18 | 2.19 or higher | | |
| SETSS | | 1.97 or lower | 1.98 to 2.13 | 2.14 to 2.25 | 2.26 or higher | | |
| ELL | | 2.15 or lower | 2.16 to 2.31 | 2.32 to 2.44 | 2.45 or higher | | |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 1.89 | 1.87 or lower | 1.88 to 1.94 | 1.95 to 2.00 | 2.01 or higher | | |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 1.89 | 1.84 or lower | 1.85 to 1.90 | 1.91 to 1.99 | 2.00 or higher | | |
| LL Progress | | 44.0% or lower | 44.1% to 53.8% | 53.9% to 61.8% | 61.9% or higher | | |

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

| Supportive Environment Metrics | 2014-15 | 2015-16 Targets | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | |
| Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance | 89.9% | 74.9% or lower | 75.0% to 80.8% | 80.9% to 85.6% | 85.7% or higher | | |
| Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments | 0.08 | 0.17 or lower | 0.18 to 0.27 | 0.28 to 0.36 | 0.37 or higher | | |

* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

18K763

| u | e | I | y | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |