
P.S. 056 Harry Eichler

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

27Q056

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

| Grade | 2012 - 2013 | 2013 - 2014 | 2014 - 2015 |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Grade 2 | 114 | 106 | 105 |
| Grade 3 | 112 | 99 | 110 |
| Grade 4 | 106 | 105 | 94 |
| Grade 5 | 93 | 103 | 104 |
| All students | 425 | 413 | 413 |

Student Demographics

| | 2012 - 2013 | 2013 - 2014 | 2014 - 2015 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| % English Language Learners | 14% | 12% | 13% |
| % Free Lunch Eligible | 76% | 76% | 77% |
| % Student with IEPs | 16% | 16% | 17% |
| % Student with IEPs (less than 20% time) | 0% | 2% | 5% |
| % HRA Eligible | - | 46% | 43% |
| % Temporary Housing | - | 9% | 10% |
| % Asian | 44% | 42% | 39% |
| % Black | 5% | 7% | 7% |
| % Hispanic | 39% | 40% | 39% |
| % White | 11% | 9% | 11% |
| % Other | 0% | 2% | 4% |

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

27Q056 P.S. 056 Harry Eichler

| Student Achievement Rating | Student Achievement Score |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Meeting Target | 3.24 |

| | | | | 2 | 014-15 Target | s | | | |
|---|-----|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Student Achievement Metrics | n | 2014-15 School Value | Bottom of Target Range | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | Top of Target Range | Metric Score | Weight Pct |
| State Test Results - ELA | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 294 | 2.58 | 2.21 | 2.48 | 2.63 | 2.80 | 3.03 | 2.67 | 9.09% |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 294 | 31.0% | 13.3% | 25.2% | 32.8% | 41.4% | 52.5% | 2.76 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile | 176 | 62.0 | 49.0 | 56.3 | 62.6 | 67.0 | 77.6 | 2.90 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third | 62 | 70.0 | 58.0 | 65.2 | 71.5 | 75.8 | 86.5 | 2.76 | 9.09% |
| Early Grade Progress | 106 | 2.00 | 1.05 | 1.63 | 2.07 | 2.38 | 3.15 | 2.84 | 9.09% |
| State Test Results - Math | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 305 | 2.82 | 2.29 | 2.64 | 2.84 | 3.06 | 3.38 | 2.90 | 9.09% |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 305 | 43.6% | 16.2% | 31.5% | 41.3% | 52.4% | 66.7% | 3.21 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile | 180 | 61.5 | 45.5 | 55.0 | 63.0 | 68.6 | 82.0 | 2.81 | 9.09% |
| Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third | 61 | 77.0 | 53.6 | 62.1 | 69.5 | 74.6 | 87.1 | 4.19 | 9.09% |
| Early Grade Progress | 109 | 2.24 | 0.87 | 1.81 | 2.55 | 3.07 | 4.38 | 2.58 | 9.09% |
| MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students | 90 | 94.3% | 70.1% | 78.7% | 84.7% | 91.5% | 100.0% | 4.33 | 9.09% |
| | | | | | | | | Weighted Average Score | 3.09 |

| | | | | | 2014-15 Targets | | | | | | | |
|---|----|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics | n | 2014-15 School Population % | Population % of Range | 2014-15 School Value | Bottom of Target Range | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | Top of Target Range | Metric Score | Extra Points Possible | Extra Points Earned |
| ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 15 | 5.1% | 25.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.1% | 1.9% | 2.7% | 4.6% | 1.00 | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 34 | 11.6% | 58.9% | 17.6% | 0.0% | 3.7% | 6.5% | 9.3% | 15.8% | 4.99 | 0.030 | 0.030 |
| SETSS | 3 | 1.0% | 9.5% | | 0.0% | 3.5% | 6.2% | 8.9% | 15.0% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 15 | 4.9% | 24.4% | 13.3% | 0.0% | 2.9% | 5.2% | 7.4% | 12.6% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 34 | 11.1% | 56.6% | 20.6% | 0.0% | 7.2% | 12.9% | 18.4% | 31.2% | 4.17 | 0.030 | 0.024 |
| SETSS | 3 | 1.0% | 9.6% | | 0.0% | 6.6% | 11.7% | 16.8% | 28.4% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELL | 29 | 16.5% | 35.3% | 37.9% | 12.7% | 26.0% | 36.3% | 46.4% | 69.9% | 3.16 | 0.030 | 0.016 |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 48 | 27.3% | 38.5% | 45.8% | 28.0% | 38.8% | 47.1% | 55.4% | 74.4% | 2.84 | 0.030 | 0.014 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 15 | 8.5% | 21.5% | 46.7% | 23.6% | 36.2% | 45.9% | 55.6% | 77.8% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SC/ICT/SETSS | 34 | 19.3% | 44.1% | 41.2% | 22.0% | 34.9% | 44.8% | 54.7% | 77.4% | 2.64 | 0.030 | 0.012 |
| Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ELL | 34 | 18.9% | 38.8% | 32.4% | 7.8% | 22.3% | 33.5% | 44.6% | 70.2% | 2.90 | 0.030 | 0.014 |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 45 | 25.0% | 34.3% | 51.1% | 19.1% | 32.5% | 42.8% | 53.1% | 76.7% | 3.81 | 0.030 | 0.021 |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 10 | 5.6% | 14.6% | 30.0% | 14.4% | 29.5% | 41.2% | 52.8% | 79.4% | | 0.030 | 0.000 |
| SC/ICT/SETSS | 33 | 18.3% | 42.1% | 39.4% | 15.4% | 29.5% | 40.4% | 51.3% | 76.2% | 2.91 | 0.030 | 0.014 |
| O ELL Progress | 52 | 12.6% | 32.0% | 40.4% | 31.6% | 44.8% | 55.1% | 65.2% | 88.6% | 1.67 | 0.030 | 0.005 |
| | | | | | | | | | | CtAG Add | ditional Points | 0.15 |
| | | | | | | | | | Overa | all Student Achie | vement Score | 3.24 |

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

27Q056 P.S. 056 Harry Eichler

| Crous Instruction Quality Review 1.1 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 1.2 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 91% 3.36 34% Section Rating; Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Comparison | | Metric Value | Metric Score | Weight Pct |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Quality Review 1.1 | orous Instruction | | | |
| Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 91% 3.36 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Iaborative Teachers Well Developed 4.99 50% Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.96 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.48 Section Score: 4.48 Section Survey - Supportive Environment 95% 4.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance 82.8% 3.00 30% MVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82.8% 3.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 3.20 3.20 EMS 0.27 3.20 5% Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: | | Proficient | 3.40 | 22% |
| NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 91% 3.36 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Yellowed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.96 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.48 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% 4.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 82.8% 3.00 30% Movement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 1 Survey - Supportive Environment 1 Survey - Supportive Environment 1 Survey - Supportive Environment 2 Survey - Supportive Environment 3.2 Survey - Supportive Environment 3.2 Survey - Supportive Environment 3.2 Survey - Supportive Environment 4 Survey - Supportive Environment 5 Survey - Supportive Environment 8 Survey - Supportive Environment 9.5 Survey - Survey | Quality Review 1.2 | Proficient | 3.40 | 22% |
| Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 Ilaborative Teachers | Quality Review 2.2 | Proficient | 3.40 | 22% |
| Comparison Com | NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction | 91% | 3.36 | 34% |
| Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.96 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.48 | Section Rating: Meeting Target | Section Score: | 3.40 | |
| NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.48 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% 4.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS BS Overall 82.8% 3.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 | llaborative Teachers | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 92% 3.96 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.48 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% 4.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 82.8% 3.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Oong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 | Quality Review 4.2 | Well Developed | 4.99 | 50% |
| Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% 4.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 82.8% 3.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 3.96 | |
| Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% 4.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 82.8% 3.00 30% NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 | Section Rating: Exceeding Target | Section Score: | 4.48 | |
| Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% 4.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS | | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% 4.16 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 82.8% 3.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 | • | Proficient | 3.40 | 30% |
| Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 82.8% 3.00 3.00 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.27 3.20 HS Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 4.40 | · | | | |
| Overall 82.8% 3.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.27 3.20 5% HS Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.08 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 | Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance | | | 3370 |
| Overall 82.8% 3.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.27 3.20 HS Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.40 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | | 82.8% | 3.00 | |
| Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Bective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.40 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 | | 92.00/ | 2.00 | 200/ |
| environments EMS HS Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | | 82.8% | 5.00 | 30% |
| EMS HS Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 4.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 | | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | | 0.27 | 3 20 | |
| Overall 0.27 3.20 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.40 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 | | 0.27 | 3.20 | |
| ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | | 0.27 | 3.20 | 5% |
| Pective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Score: 3.08 | Section Rating: Meeting Target | Section Score: | 3.52 | |
| NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.40 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | | | 0.02 | |
| Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.40 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | <u>-</u> | 92% | 4.40 | 100% |
| NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | The School Survey - Effective School Leadership | 3270 | 4.40 | 10070 |
| NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Section Score: 3.08 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | Section Rating: Exceeding Target | Section Score: | 4.40 | |
| NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.08 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | ong Family-Community Ties | | | |
| NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | | 85% | 3.08 | 100% |
| NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | Section Rating: Meeting Target | Section Score: | 3.08 | |
| NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.36 100% | | | | |
| | NYC School Survey - Trust | 97% | 136 | 100% |
| Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 | INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - ITUSE | 3170 | 4.30 | 100/0 |
| | Section Rating: Exceeding Target | Section Score: | 4.36 | |



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

| | | | City Range | | | | |
|---|----------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | Survey % Positive | Bottom of Range | City Range City Avg | Top of Range | Percent of Range | Score |
| Rigorous Instruction | | Jul vey /0 Positive | bottom of Kange | City Avg | Top of hange | reitelit of Kalige | 30016 |
| Common Core shifts in literacy | Teachers | 93 | 86.4 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 0.50 | 3.00 |
| Common Core shifts in math | Teachers | 95 | 83.3 | 93.1 | 100.0 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Course clarity | Students | 33 | 84.3 | 92.7 | 100.0 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Quality of student discussion | Teachers | 85 | 68.7 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 0.51 | 3.04 |
| Section Results: | reachers | 91% | 08.7 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 0.51 | |
| Section Results: | | 91% | | | | | 3.36 |
| Collaborative Teachers | | | | | | | |
| Cultural awareness: | | | | | | | |
| Cultural awareness | Teachers | 96 | 85.4 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 0.75 | |
| Cultural awareness | Parents | 96 | 90.5 | 94.9 | 99.3 | 0.75 | |
| Cultural awareness | Students | 30 | 68.6 | 87.4 | 100.0 | 0.75 | |
| Cultural awareness | Combined | 96 | 00.0 | 07.4 | 100.0 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Inclusive classroom instruction | Teachers | 96 | 84.2 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Quality of professional development | Teachers | 74 | 51.4 | 77.4 | 100.0 | 0.75 | 2.88 |
| School commitment | Teachers | 87 | 51.4 59.9 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 0.68 | 3.72 |
| | | | | 86.7 | | 0.64 | |
| Innovation | Teachers | 89 | 70.3 | | 100.0 | | 3.56 |
| Reflective dialogue | Teachers | 98 | 87.9 | 95.9 | 100.0 | 0.84 | 4.36 |
| Peer collaboration | Teachers | 98 | 77.6 | 92.2 | 100.0 | 0.92 | 4.68 |
| Focus on student learning | Teachers | 97 | 68.2 | 89.0 | 100.0 | 0.91 | 4.64 |
| Collective responsibility Section Results: | Teachers | 91 92% | 65.7 | 84.7 | 100.0 | 0.74 | 3.96 3.96 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Supportive Environment | | | | | | | |
| Safety: | | | | | | | |
| Safety | Teachers | 99 | 80.0 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 0.95 | |
| Safety | Students | | 74.5 | 88.5 | 100.0 | | |
| Safety | Combined | 99 | | | | 0.95 | 4.80 |
| Classroom behavior: | | | | | | | |
| Classroom behavior | Teachers | 91 | 66.9 | 85.5 | 100.0 | 0.72 | |
| Classroom behavior | Students | | 67.3 | 84.3 | 100.0 | | |
| Classroom behavior | Combined | 91 | | | | 0.72 | 3.88 |
| Social-emotional measure | Teachers | 97 | 89.0 | 96.6 | 100.0 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Peer interactions | Students | | 68.2 | 84.8 | 100.0 | | |
| Next-level guidance | Students | | | | | | |
| Press toward academic achievement: | | | | | | | |
| Press toward academic achievement | Teachers | 94 | 75.0 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 0.76 | |
| Press toward academic achievement | Students | | 85.3 | 91.9 | 98.5 | | |
| Press toward academic achievement | Combined | 94 | | | | 0.76 | 4.04 |
| Personal attention and support | Students | | 77.8 | 89.6 | 100.0 | | |
| Peer support for academic work: | | | | | | | |
| Peer support for academic work | Teachers | 94 | 76.5 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 0.75 | |
| Peer support for academic work | Parents | 95 | 88.4 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 0.75 | |
| Peer support for academic work | Students | 33 | 50.4 | 73.8 | 97.2 | 0.75 | |
| Peer support for academic work | Combined | 95 | 50.1 | , 5.5 | J 7 . E | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Section Results: | Combined | 95% | | | | 0.75 | 4.00 4.16 |

27Q056 P.S. 056 Harry Eichler

| | | | City Range | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-------|
| | | Survey % Positive | Bottom of Range | City Avg | Top of Range | Percent of Range | Score |
| Effective School Leadership | | | | | | | |
| Inclusive principal leadership | Parents | 96 | 79.3 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 0.79 | 4.16 |
| Teacher influence | Teachers | 81 | 28.8 | 60.8 | 92.8 | 0.81 | 4.24 |
| Program coherence | Teachers | 93 | 60.0 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 0.82 | 4.28 |
| Principal instructional leadership | Teachers | 99 | 61.6 | 87.0 | 100.0 | 0.96 | 4.84 |
| Section Results: | | 92% | | | | | 4.40 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Strong Family Community Ties | | | | | | | |
| Teacher outreach to parents: | | | | | | | |
| Teacher outreach to parents | Teachers | 94 | 84.5 | 94.5 | 100.0 | 0.64 | |
| Teacher outreach to parents | Parents | 93 | 86.0 | 92.6 | 99.2 | 0.56 | |
| Teacher outreach to parents | Combined | 94 | | | | 0.60 | 3.40 |
| Parent involvement in the schools | Parents | 75 | 62.4 | 76.6 | 90.8 | 0.44 | 2.76 |
| Section Results: | | 85% | | | | | 3.08 |
| Trust | | | | | | | |
| Parent-teacher trust | Parents | 97 | 90.9 | 95.3 | 99.7 | 0.75 | 4.00 |
| Parent-principal trust | Parents | 98 | 82.7 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 0.86 | 4.44 |
| Student-teacher trust | Students | | 64.6 | 85.2 | 100.0 | | |
| Teacher-principal trust | Teachers | 94 | 56.4 | 85.0 | 100.0 | 0.87 | 4.48 |
| Teacher-teacher trust | Teachers | 97 | 74.1 | 90.5 | 100.0 | 0.88 | 4.52 |
| Section Results: | | 97% | | | | | 4.36 |
| | | | | | | | |

27Q056

Targets for 2015-16

P.S. 056 Harry Eichler

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

| Student Achievement Metrics | 2014-15 | | 2015-16 Targets | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | | |
| State Test Results - ELA* | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 2.58 | 2.47 or lower | 2.48 to 2.58 | 2.59 to 2.66 | 2.67 or higher | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third | 2.07 | 1.97 or lower | 1.98 to 2.10 | 2.11 to 2.19 | 2.20 or higher | | | |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 31.0% | 25.0% or lower | 25.1% to 30.5% | 30.6% to 34.7% | 34.8% or higher | | | |
| State Test Results - Math* | | | | | | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency | 2.82 | 2.68 or lower | 2.69 to 2.84 | 2.85 to 2.95 | 2.96 or higher | | | |
| Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third | 2.20 | 2.06 or lower | 2.07 to 2.23 | 2.24 to 2.35 | 2.36 or higher | | | |
| Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 | 43.6% | 36.4% or lower | 36.5% to 43.7% | 43.8% to 49.2% | 49.3% or higher | | | |
| MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students | 94.3% | 87.7% or lower | 87.8% to 90.8% | 90.9% to 93.1% | 93.2% or higher | | | |
| Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics* | 2014-15 | | 2015-16 | Targets | | | | |
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | | |
| ELA - Average Proficiency Rating | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 1.77 | 1.69 or lower | 1.70 to 1.79 | 1.80 to 1.89 | 1.90 or higher | | | |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 2.20 | 1.98 or lower | 1.99 to 2.09 | 2.10 to 2.17 | 2.18 or higher | | | |
| SETSS | | 1.98 or lower | 1.99 to 2.11 | 2.12 to 2.20 | 2.21 or higher | | | |
| ELL | 2.34 | 2.06 or lower | 2.07 to 2.20 | 2.21 to 2.31 | 2.32 or higher | | | |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 1.99 | 1.93 or lower | 1.94 to 2.00 | 2.01 to 2.05 | 2.06 or higher | | | |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 1.97 | 1.91 or lower | 1.92 to 1.98 | 1.99 to 2.03 | 2.04 or higher | | | |
| Math - Average Proficiency Rating | | | | | | | | |
| Self-Contained | 2.00 | 1.92 or lower | 1.93 to 2.06 | 2.07 to 2.16 | 2.17 or higher | | | |
| Integrated Co-Teaching | 2.26 | 2.21 or lower | 2.22 to 2.38 | 2.39 to 2.51 | 2.52 or higher | | | |
| SETSS | | 2.18 or lower | 2.19 to 2.36 | 2.37 to 2.49 | 2.50 or higher | | | |
| ELL | 2.49 | 2.28 or lower | 2.29 to 2.48 | 2.49 to 2.62 | 2.63 or higher | | | |
| Lowest Third Citywide | 2.05 | 1.98 or lower | 1.99 to 2.06 | 2.07 to 2.12 | 2.13 or higher | | | |
| Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide | 1.90 | 1.91 or lower | 1.92 to 2.00 | 2.01 to 2.07 | 2.08 or higher | | | |
| ELL Progress | 40.4% | 40.0% or lower | 40.1% to 50.0% | 50.1% to 57.5% | 57.6% or higher | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

| Supportive Environment Metrics | 2014-15 | 2015-16 Targets | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | School Value | Not Meeting Target | Approaching Target | Meeting Target | Exceeding Target | | | |
| Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance | 82.8% | 75.2% or lower | 75.3% to 81.5% | 81.6% to 86.3% | 86.4% or higher | | | |
| Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments | 0.27 | 0.14 or lower | 0.15 to 0.23 | 0.24 to 0.29 | 0.30 or higher | | | |