2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target				3.92
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.24
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.04
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.44	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.24	
Trust	Meeting Target			3.56	
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.26	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	120	111	92
Grade 1	80	118	109
Grade 2	112	76	113
Grade 3	94	109	76
Grade 4	94	92	113
Grade 5	92	88	88
All students	643	664	658

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	20%	23%	25%
% Free Lunch Eligible	78%	78%	78%
% Student with IEPs	21%	24%	25%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	12%	11%
% HRA Eligible	-	69%	66%
% Temporary Housing	-	7%	8%
% Asian	2%	2%	1%
% Black	18%	18%	15%
% Hispanic	74%	74%	77%
% White	7%	7%	7%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

14K380

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.26									
					2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		257	2.59	1.85	2.13	2.33	2.56	2.88	4.09	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		257	28.4%	0.0%	10.9%	18.7%	27.5%	40.3%	4.07	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		186	54.0	47.8	55.3	61.9	66.4	77.4	1.83	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	l	67	54.0	59.2	67.5	74.8	79.9	91.9	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		67	2.34	0.11	1.00	1.77	2.31	3.58	4.02	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		257	2.80	1.79	2.18	2.46	2.78	3.20	4.05	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		257	41.2%	0.0%	14.0%	24.0%	35.5%	51.6%	4.35	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		185	53.0	37.3	48.7	58.6	65.6	82.1	2.43	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	l	66	60.5	55.5	64.3	71.8	77.1	89.7	1.57	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		67	2.71	0.02	1.23	2.29	3.02	4.77	3.58	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		79	93.7%	71.0%	79.3%	85.1%	91.7%	100.0%	4.24	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.20

					2014-15 Targets							
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
closing the Achievement dup (clAd) methes	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	17	6.6%	32.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.8%	4.1%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	18	7.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	17	6.6%	32.8%	5.9%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.32	0.030	0.017
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.8%	4.1%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	18	7.0%	67.3%	11.1%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	2.88	0.030	0.014
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
o ELL	38	20.4%	43.6%	23.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	1.83	0.030	0.006
Lowest Third Citywide	33	17.7%	24.1%	48.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	18	9.7%	24.6%	38.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	25	13.4%	27.2%	28.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.47	0.030	0.004
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
D ELL	38	20.5%	42.1%	15.8%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	1.55	0.030	0.004
Lowest Third Citywide	32	17.3%	23.8%	31.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	7.6%	19.8%	21.4%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	25	13.5%	28.2%	28.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.89	0.030	0.007
ELL Progress	116	20.8%	52.8%	47.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.25	0.030	0.009
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.06
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.26

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
14K380
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	2.88	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.48	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	4.00	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	80.6%	3.60	
HS			
Overall	80.6%	3.60	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.01	1.07	
HS			
Overall	0.01	1.07	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.24	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
		5.27	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	91%	3.56	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
		2.20	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
14K380
```

Survey % PositiveBottom of RangeCity AvgTop of RangePerRigorous InstructionCommon Core shifts in literacyTeachers9286.494.8100.0100.0Common Core shifts in mathTeachers9283.393.1100.0100.0100.0Course clarityStudents84.392.7100.0100.0100.0100.0Quality of student discussionTeachers8168.785.3100.0100.0Section Results:88%100.0100.0100.0100.0100.0	0.50 0.50 0.40 0.40	Score 3.00 3.00 2.60 2.88
Rigorous InstructionCommon Core shifts in literacyTeachers9286.494.8100.0Common Core shifts in mathTeachers9283.393.1100.0Course clarityStudents84.392.7100.0Quality of student discussionTeachers8168.785.3100.0	0.50 0.50 0.40	3.00 2.60
Common Core shifts in literacyTeachers9286.494.8100.0Common Core shifts in mathTeachers9283.393.1100.0Course clarityStudents84.392.7100.0Quality of student discussionTeachers8168.785.3100.0	0.50 0.40	3.00 2.60
Course clarity Students 84.3 92.7 100.0 Quality of student discussion Teachers 81 68.7 85.3 100.0	0.40	2.60
Quality of student discussionTeachers8168.785.3100.0		
· ·		
•	0.54	2.88
	0.54	
Collaborative Teachers	0.54	
Cultural awareness:	0.54	
Cultural awarenessTeachers9385.495.0100.0	010 1	
Cultural awarenessParents9690.594.999.3	0.75	
Cultural awarenessStudents68.687.4100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness Combined 95	0.65	3.60
 Inclusive classroom instruction Teachers 95 84.2 94.6 100.0 	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional developmentTeachers7651.477.4100.0	0.50	3.00
School commitment Teachers 87 59.9 85.3 100.0	0.66	3.64
Innovation Teachers 85 70.3 86.7 100.0	0.48	2.92
Reflective dialogueTeachers9487.995.9100.0	0.50	3.00
 Peer collaboration Teachers 94 77.6 92.2 100.0 	0.75	4.00
 Focus on student learning Teachers 93 68.2 89.0 100.0 	0.75	4.08
Collective responsibilityTeachers8465.784.7100.0	0.53	3.12
Section Results: 89%	0.55	3.48
Supportive Environment Safety:		
Safety Teachers 97 80.0 94.6 100.0	0.85	
Safety Students 74.5 88.5 100.0		
• Safety Combined 97	0.85	4.40
Classroom behavior:		
Classroom behavior Teachers 89 66.9 85.5 100.0	0.68	
Classroom behavior Students 67.3 84.3 100.0		
Classroom behavior Combined 89	0.68	3.72
Social-emotional measure Teachers 96 89.0 96.6 100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactionsStudents68.284.8100.0		
Next-level guidance Students		
Press toward academic achievement:		
Press toward academic achievementTeachers9175.088.8100.0	0.63	
Press toward academic achievementStudents85.391.998.5		
Press toward academic achievement Combined 91	0.63	3.52
Personal attention and supportStudents77.889.6100.0		
Peer support for academic work:		
Peer support for academic work Teachers 96 76.5 91.5 100.0	0.83	
Peer support for academic workParents9988.494.8100.0	0.90	
Peer support for academic workStudents50.473.897.2		
Peer support for academic work Combined 97	0.86	4.44
Section Results: 94%		4.00

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
14K380
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	56	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.42	2.68
 Program coherence 	Teachers	90	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.76	4.04
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	82	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.54	3.16
Section Results:		81%					3.44
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.79	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	96				0.77	4.08
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	72	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.35	2.40
Section Results:		84%					3.24
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	86	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.68	3.72
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	84	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.38	2.52
Section Results:		91%					3.56

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.59	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.50	2.51 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.07	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	28.4%	17.1% or lower	17.2% to 22.6%	22.7% to 26.8%	26.9% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.80	2.46 or lower	2.47 to 2.61	2.62 to 2.73	2.74 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.19	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	41.2%	26.4% or lower	26.5% to 33.7%	33.8% to 39.2%	39.3% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.7%	86.8% or lower	86.9% to 90.1%	90.2% to 92.7%	92.8% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.87	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
SETSS	2.01	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
ELL	2.41	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.97	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.88	1.89 to 1.98	1.99 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.40	2.41 or higher		
SETSS	2.20	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
ELL	2.54	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.56	2.57 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	80.6%	74.4% or lower	74.5% to 80.9%	81.0% to 85.8%	85.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.01	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher			