2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target			2.96	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.16	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.72		
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.36	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.32		
Trust	Meeting Target			3.00	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.04		
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	77	108	80
Grade 7	67	75	92
Grade 8	69	67	76
All students	213	250	248

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	5%	6%
% Free Lunch Eligible	78%	78%	76%
% Student with IEPs	28%	30%	27%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	15%	12%	11%
% HRA Eligible	-	65%	59%
% Temporary Housing	-	16%	18%
% Asian	0%	0%	2%
% Black	72%	71%	63%
% Hispanic	25%	26%	29%
% White	0%	0%	1%
% Other	0%	2%	6%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.58	2.28	2.22
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.82	2.34	2.32



2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating Approaching Target	Student Achievement Score 2.04									
Approaching raiget	2.04									
					2	014-15 Targets	;	_		
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		235	2.15	1.91	2.10	2.20	2.31	2.57	2.50	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		235	6.4%	0.8%	6.0%	9.8%	14.0%	22.2%	2.11	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		213	52.0	49.6	54.7	62.1	67.1	75.8	1.47	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		78	70.5	65.3	70.5	77.8	82.7	91.4	2.00	9.80%
State Test Results - Math										
 Average Student Proficiency 		234	1.97	1.80	2.03	2.17	2.32	2.64	1.74	9.80%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		234	2.6%	0.0%	5.7%	9.9%	14.6%	23.9%	1.46	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		212	44.0	39.9	47.4	58.4	65.7	78.7	1.55	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		78	54.5	58.1	64.3	73.2	79.2	89.8	1.00	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates										
ELA		235	93.6%	55.9%	69.0%	78.1%	88.3%	100.0%	4.45	1.96%
Math		235	94.5%	55.5%	68.8%	78.0%	88.3%	100.0%	4.53	1.96%
Science		235	91.9%	57.4%	70.1%	78.9%	88.8%	100.0%	4.28	1.96%
Social Studies		235	91.9%	54.3%	67.8%	77.3%	87.9%	100.0%	4.33	1.96%
 Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit 		74	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.6%	17.1%	27.7%	1.00	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		62	74.0%	62.0%	70.0%	77.0%	84.0%	93.0%	2.57	9.80%
									Weighted Average Score	1.99

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	32	13.6%	70.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	20	8.5%	46.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	6	2.6%	28.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	32	13.7%	72.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	19	8.1%	44.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	6	2.6%	28.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	16	7.5%	16.8%	25.0%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
• Lowest Third Citywide	110	51.6%	66.2%	38.2%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	1.85	0.030	0.006
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	63	29.6%	66.2%	36.5%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	1.82	0.030	0.006
• SC/ICT/SETSS	53	24.9%	59.3%	62.3%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	4.14	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	17	8.0%	16.5%	23.5%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
• Lowest Third Citywide	132	62.3%	76.7%	23.5%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	70	33.0%	74.2%	28.6%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	1.45	0.030	0.003
SC/ICT/SETSS	52	24.5%	59.4%	42.3%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	2.92	0.030	0.014
ELL Progress	13	5.3%	14.7%	53.8%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.05
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	2.04

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
11X287
```

The Forward School

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	86%	3.00	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	84%	2.88	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	78%	2.28	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	61.2%	2.56	
HS			
Overall	61.2%	2.56	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.31	2.82	
HS			
Overall	0.31	2.82	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
ective School Leadership	.		4000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	84%	3.36	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
ng Family Community Tion			
ong Family-Community Ties	720/	2.22	1000/
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	73%	2.32	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.32	
	Section Score:	2.32	
st			100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target st NYC School Survey - Trust	Section Score: 88%	2.32 3.00	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	89	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.48	2.92
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	93	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.79	4.16
Course clarity	Students	86	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.27	2.08
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	74	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		86%					3.00
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	82	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	90	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	83	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.44	
Cultural awareness	Combined	85				0.31	2.24
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	85	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.25	2.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	75	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.45	2.80
School commitment	Teachers	85	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.64	3.56
Innovation	Teachers	79	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.39	2.56
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	90	86.6	95.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	96	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.83	4.32
Focus on student learning	Teachers	88	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.63	3.52
Collective responsibility	Teachers	69	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.26	2.04
Section Results:		84%					2.88
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	73	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.18	
o Safety	Combined	73	07.5	02.5	50.5	0.18	1.72
Classroom behavior:	combined	75				0.10	1.72
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	68	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.15	
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	68	03.4	15.2	55.0	0.15	1.60
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	97	84.7	95.3	100.0	0.79	4.16
Peer interactions	Students	75	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.30	2.20
Next-level guidance	Students	87	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.44	2.76
Press toward academic achievement:	Students	07	70.5	00.5	55.7	0.77	2.70
Press toward academic achievement	t Teachers						
Press toward academic achievemen		86	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.34	
Press toward academic achievemen		86	00.0	00.2	55.0	0.34	2.36
Personal attention and support	Students	82	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.35	2.40
Peer support for academic work:	Statity	02	/ 7.1	05.5	50.5	0.55	2.70
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	68	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.00	
Peer support for academic work	Students	49	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.04	
• Peer support for academic work	Combined	59	-0.0	00.0	00.2	0.02	1.08
Section Results:	combilieu	78%				0.02	2.28
Jection Results.		10/0					2.20

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	87	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.30	2.20
Teacher influence	Teachers	65	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.46	2.84
 Program coherence 	Teachers	93	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.82	4.28
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	92	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
Section Results:		84%					3.36
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	92	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.61	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	84	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.15	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	88				0.38	2.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	58	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.28	2.12
Section Results:		73%					2.32
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	91	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	89	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00
 Student-teacher trust 	Students	73	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.14	1.56
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	94	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.83	4.32
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	95	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section Results:		88%					3.00

2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.15	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	6.4%	9.0% or lower	9.1% to 12.7%	12.8% to 15.7%	15.8% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	1.97	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.28	2.29 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.73	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	2.6%	7.0% or lower	7.1% to 11.1%	11.2% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates					-			
ELA	93.6%	76.5% or lower	76.6% to 82.0%	82.1% to 86.5%	86.6% or higher			
Math	94.5%	77.1% or lower	77.2% to 82.4%	82.5% to 86.7%	86.8% or higher			
Science	91.9%	79.8% or lower	79.9% to 84.5%	84.6% to 88.4%	88.5% or higher			
Social Studies	91.9%	75.1% or lower	75.2% to 80.9%	81.0% to 85.7%	85.8% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%	7.8% or lower	7.9% to 12.3%	12.4% to 16.0%	16.1% or higher			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	74.0%	74.9% or lower	75.0% to 78.9%	79.0% to 82.9%	83.0% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	2015-16 Targets				
5	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.85	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.90	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
SETSS	1.96	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
ELL	1.97	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.95	1.96 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.71	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.88	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
SETSS	1.87	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
ELL	1.89	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.78	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.78	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
		1.80 or lower 32.0% or lower	1.81 to 1.89 32.1% to 41.8%	1.90 to 1.99 41.9% to 49.8%	2.00 or higher 49.9% or higher			

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	61.2%	63.3% or lower	63.4% to 69.2%	69.3% to 74.0%	74.1% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.31	0.24 or lower	0.25 to 0.38	0.39 to 0.50	0.51 or higher			

* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.