Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
Collaborative Teachers	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / HS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Business, Computer Applications & Entrepreneurship High School

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 9	122	26	-
Grade 10	95	62	9
Grade 11	37	68	32
Grade 12	72	55	53
All students	326	211	94

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	9%	13%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	64%	64%	54%
% Student with IEPs	26%	25%	22%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	14%	16%	13%
% Overage Under-credited	23%	23%	17%
% HRA Eligible	-	-	36%
% Temporary Housing	-	-	17%
% Asian	5%	5%	5%
% Black	84%	85%	78%
% Hispanic	7%	8%	12%
% White	2%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	3%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.45	2.11	2.24
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.46	1.96	1.97

Weighted Average Score

CtAG Additional Points

Overall Student Achievement Score

29Q496

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

			2014-15	Bottom of	2 Approaching	014-15 Targets Meeting	Exceeding	_ Top of		
	Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
(Credit Accumulation									
	Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year			50.6%	63.4%	72.3%	80.8%	94.0%		0.00%
	Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year			25.1%	44.3%	57.7%	70.4%	90.4%		0.00%
	Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year			42.3%	55.1%	64.2%	72.8%	87.0%		0.00%
	Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year			17.0%	35.0%	47.8%	59.7%	80.0%		0.00%
	Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	41	58.5%	41.7%	53.8%	62.2%	70.2%	84.2%	2.56	5.00%
•	Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	15	66.7%	18.2%	34.7%	46.2%	57.0%	76.3%	4.50	5.00%
ı	Regents Performance									
	Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	89	33.9%	15.9%	27.7%	35.5%	42.9%	60.7%	2.79	5.00%
•	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - ELA	38	0.93	0.44	0.63	0.76	0.89	1.12	4.17	5.00%
•	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Math	41	1.53	0.32	0.61	0.81	1.01	1.33	4.99	5.00%
	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Science	29	0.83	0.27	0.63	0.88	1.10	1.53	2.80	5.00%
	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Global History	54	0.61	0.24	0.49	0.67	0.83	1.11	2.67	5.00%
	Weighted Regents Pass Rate - U.S. History	49	0.79	0.30	0.57	0.76	0.94	1.23	3.17	5.00%
(Graduation / Diploma									
	4-Year Graduation Rate	66	57.6%	32.8%	45.3%	59.3%	69.2%	82.4%	2.88	7.50%
0	6-Year Graduation Rate	94	54.3%	48.5%	58.9%	70.5%	78.7%	89.1%	1.56	7.50%
	4-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	66	147.7%	72.9%	109.7%	150.8%	179.9%	220.4%	2.92	7.50%
	6-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	94	138.8%	90.8%	124.4%	161.5%	187.7%	224.0%	2.39	7.50%
(College and Career Readiness									
•	College and Career Preparatory Course Index	66	72.7%	0.0%	8.0%	18.5%	27.0%	42.7%	4.99	6.00%
	4-Year College Readiness Index	66	9.1%	0.0%	3.4%	7.8%	11.4%	21.6%	3.36	6.00%
	6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence	94	24.5%	10.3%	19.8%	31.3%	40.7%	57.6%	2.41	6.00%
	Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	53	26.4%	13.5%	22.9%	35.0%	44.8%	61.3%	2.29	6.00%
	Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months	94	38.3%	25.9%	34.9%	46.8%	56.5%	71.4%	2.29	6.00%

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate												
English Language Learners	7	10.6%	19.0%	114.3%	25.9%	103.0%	163.9%	225.7%	320.1%		0.036	0.000
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS	14	21.2%	53.8%	164.3%	0.0%	110.0%	197.0%	285.2%	420.0%	2.62	0.036	0.015
Lowest Third Citywide	35	53.0%	79.6%	114.3%	0.0%	71.2%	127.5%	184.6%	271.8%	2.77	0.036	0.016
Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	23	34.8%	89.2%	108.7%	0.0%	65.3%	116.9%	169.2%	249.2%	2.84	0.036	0.017
College and Career Readiness												
Students in Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index	35	53.0%	79.6%	62.9%	0.0%	7.3%	13.0%	18.9%	27.8%	4.99	0.036	0.036
Students in Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index	35	53.0%	79.6%	2.9%	0.0%	1.4%	2.5%	3.7%	5.4%	3.33	0.036	0.021
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	39	73.6%	100.0%	23.1%	0.0%	11.4%	20.4%	29.5%	43.4%	3.30	0.036	0.021

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Business, Computer Applications & Entrepreneurship High School

29Q496

Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 75% 1.92 Section Rating: Section Score:		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 NTC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 75% 1.92 Section Rating: Section Score: Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NTC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 57% 1.04 Section Rating: Section Score: Proportive Environment	gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction Section Rating: Section Score: Developing	Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	
Section Rating: Section Score: Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	
Section Rating: Section Score:	Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	
Company	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	75%	1.92	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 57% 1.04 Section Rating: Section Score: Pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 73% 1.68 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 64.4% 4.28 Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Proof Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 79% 3.24 Section Rating: Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 57% 1.04 Section Rating: Section Score: Pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 73% 1.68 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 64.4% 4.28 Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Proof Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 79% 3.24 Section Rating: Section Score:	llahorative Teachers			
Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 73% 1.68 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score:		Develoning	2 00	
Section Rating: Section Score: Caulity Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 73% 1.68 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 64.4% 4.28 Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Secti				
pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 73% 1.68 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 64.4% 4.28 Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score:	Wie School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	3770	1.04	
Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 73% 1.68 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 64.4% 4.28 Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Percentage of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Percentage of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS Section Score: Proprint of the support	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
Quality Review 3.4 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 73% 1.68 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 64.4% 4.28 Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Percentage of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Percentage of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Proprint Score Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Proprint Score Section Score Section Score: Proprint Score Score Score Section Score Sc				
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 73% 1.68 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score:				
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: CONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80	·	· -		
EMS H5 Overall 64.4% 4.28 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score:		73%	1.68	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: FORG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80				
Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: FORGERATING: FORGERATING: Section Score: FORGERATING: FORGERATING: Section Score: FORGERATING: FO				
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EM/S HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score:				
environments EMS HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score:		64.4%	4.28	
EMS HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Sect				
HS Overall 1.00 4.99 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Type 3.24 Section Rating: Section Score:				
Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Sco		4.00	4.00	
Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score:				
Section Rating: Section Score: Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score:	Overall	1.00	4.99	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 48% 1.24 Section Rating: Section Score: Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 79% 3.24 Section Rating: Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 48% 1.24 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 79% 3.24 Section Rating: Section Score:				
Section Rating: Section Score: Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80		•••		
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 79% 3.24 Section Rating: Section Score: IST NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	48%	1.24	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 79% 3.24 Section Rating: Section Score: IST NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 79% 3.24 Section Rating: Section Score: NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80				
Section Rating: Section Score: UST NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80				
ust NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	79%	3.24	
NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
NYC School Survey - Trust 70% 1.80				
	NVC School Survey Trust	700/	4.00	
Section Rating: Section Score:	INTO SCHOOL Survey - ITUST	/U%	1.80	
	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigor	ous Instruction						-	
O C	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	67	76.8	89.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
o Co	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	73	69.2	85.8	100.0	0.12	1.48
C	ourse clarity	Students	84	77.5	86.9	96.3	0.35	2.40
Q	uality of student discussion	Teachers	75	55.8	77.8	99.8	0.44	2.76
Sectio	n Results:		75%					1.92
	oorative Teachers							
Ci	ultural awareness:			0.7	0.4.4	4000	0.00	
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	63	85.4	94.4	100.0	0.00	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	84	82.3	91.9	100.0	0.12	
	Cultural awareness	Students	62	66.6	80.6	94.6	0.00	
0	Cultural awareness	Combined	70				0.04	1.16
	clusive classroom instruction	Teachers	67	82.7	93.1	100.0	0.00	1.00
	uality of professional development	Teachers	49	50.4	75.6	100.0	0.00	1.00
	chool commitment	Teachers	41	53.7	82.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
	novation	Teachers	58	65.9	84.5	100.0	0.00	1.00
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	63	85.1	95.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
	eer collaboration	Teachers	66	75.2	90.6	100.0	0.00	1.00
	ocus on student learning	Teachers	38	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
	ollective responsibility	Teachers	58	58.1	80.7	100.0	0.01	1.04
Sectio	n Results:		57%					1.04
	ortive Environment afety:							
30	Safety	Teachers						
	Safety	Students	72	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.15	
0	Safety	Combined	72	07.5	02.9	30.3	0.15	1.60
_	assroom behavior:	Combined	72				0.15	1.00
Ci	Classroom behavior	Teachers						
			70	CO 2	02.1	05.0	0.20	
	Classroom behavior	Students	79	68.3	82.1	95.9	0.38	2.52
C.	Classroom behavior	Combined	79	00.0	05.3	100.0	0.38	2.52
	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	88	86.6	95.2	100.0	0.25	2.00
	eer interactions	Students	63	58.2	74.4	90.6	0.16	1.64
	ext-level guidance	Students	70	71.4	83.6	95.8	0.00	1.00
Pi	ress toward academic achievement:	Tanak						
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	70	76.0	00.4	05.0	0.07	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students	78	76.3	86.1	95.9	0.07	4.5-
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	78				0.07	1.28
	ersonal attention and support	Students	75	69.0	81.8	94.6	0.23	1.92
Pe	eer support for academic work:	_						
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	66	68.1	85.5	100.0	0.00	
	Peer support for academic work	Students	54	41.0	61.6	82.2	0.30	
0	Peer support for academic work	Combined	60				0.15	1.60
sectio	n Results:		73%					1.68

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

29Q496 Business, Computer Applications & Entrepreneurship High School

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	82	76.5	88.9	100.0	0.25	2.00
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	28	40.6	71.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Program coherence 	Teachers	40	57.0	82.6	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	42	58.2	84.6	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		48%					1.24
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	72	75.2	89.0	100.0	0.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	85	76.7	88.1	99.5	0.37	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	79				0.18	1.72
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	78	41.2	61.0	80.8	0.94	4.76
Section Results:		79%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	92	84.9	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	89	84.5	93.7	100.0	0.28	2.12
 Student-teacher trust 	Students	75	68.5	81.1	93.7	0.24	1.96
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	32	55.0	84.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	64	75.7	90.9	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		70%					1.80

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe		
Credit Accumulation							
10+ Credits in 1st Year - All Students							
10+ Credits in 1st Year - School's Lowest Third							
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - All Students							
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - School's Lowest Third							
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - All Students	58.5%						
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - School's Lowest Third	66.7%						
Regents Performance							
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	33.9%	25.0% or lower	25.1% to 31.9%	32.0% to 39.9%	40.0% or highe		
Average Regents Score - English (non-Common Core)*	69.0						
Average Regents Score - English (Common Core)*							
Average Regents Score - Living Environment*	63.0	59.9 or lower	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 to 66.9	67.0 or higher		
Average Regents Score - Global History*	60.0	56.9 or lower	57.0 to 60.4	60.5 to 64.9	65.0 or higher		
Average Regents Score - US History*	68.0	60.5 or lower	60.6 to 64.9	65.0 to 67.3	67.4 or higher		
Average Regents Score - Algebra I (Common Core)*							
Graduation / Non-Dropout							
4-Year Graduation Rate	57.6%	55.8% or lower	55.9% to 63.0%	63.1% to 69.9%	70.0% or highe		
6-Year Graduation Rate	54.3%	54.4% or lower	54.5% to 60.0%	60.1% to 69.9%	70.0% or highe		
4-Year Non-Dropout Rate	81.8%	77.8% or lower	77.9% to 82.1%	82.2% to 85.1%	85.2% or highe		
6-Year Non-Dropout Rate	58.5%	59.8% or lower	59.9% to 64.8%	64.9% to 69.9%	70.0% or highe		
College and Career Readiness							
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	72.7%	12.8% or lower	12.9% to 19.3%	19.4% to 23.8%	23.9% or highe		
4-Year College Readiness Index	9.1%	6.7% or lower	6.8% to 10.1%	10.2% to 14.9%	15.0% or highe		
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence	24.5%	16.8% or lower	16.9% to 23.7%	23.8% to 28.6%	28.7% or highe		
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	26.4%	35.3% or lower	35.4% to 43.6%	43.7% to 49.5%	49.6% or highe		
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months	38.3%	38.1% or lower	38.2% to 44.2%	44.3% to 48.5%	48.6% or highe		

^{*} Because it is not possible to accurately predict who will take the Regents exams in advance, the table above provides estimated targets for the Regents score metrics. These targets will be adjusted based on the students at the school who actually take the exams.

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Four-Year Graduation Rate							
English Language Learners	57.1%	41.2% or lower	41.3% to 51.9%	52.0% to 59.5%	59.6% or higher		
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS	28.6%	40.6% or lower	40.7% to 52.1%	52.2% to 60.2%	60.3% or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	40.0%	42.5% or lower	42.6% to 53.6%	53.7% to 61.3%	61.4% or higher		
Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	43.5%	35.3% or lower	35.4% to 47.1%	47.2% to 55.4%	55.5% or higher		
College and Career Readiness of Students in Lowest Third Citywide							
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	62.9%	7.2% or lower	7.3% to 10.8%	10.9% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher		
4-Year College Readiness Index	2.9%	1.9% or lower	2.0% to 2.9%	3.0% to 4.9%	5.0% or higher		
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	23.1%	19.4% or lower	19.5% to 28.2%	28.3% to 34.3%	34.4% or higher		

^{**}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	64.4%	49.9% or lower	50.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	1.00	0.96 or lower	0.97 to 0.97	0.98 to 0.97	0.98 or higher		