Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
Collaborative Teachers	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / HS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 9	18	3	-
Grade 10	65	21	-
Grade 11	58	17	-
Grade 12	64	51	12
All students	205	92	12

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	9%	0%
% Free Lunch Eligible	66%	66%	67%
% Student with IEPs	20%	24%	25%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	6%	4%	0%
% Overage Under-credited	12%	9%	25%
% HRA Eligible	-	-	33%
% Temporary Housing	-	-	0%
% Asian	1%	1%	8%
% Black	87%	86%	75%
% Hispanic	9%	10%	17%
% White	1%	2%	0%
% Other	0%	1%	0%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.55	2.20	2.71
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.71	2.22	2.61

CtAG Additional Points

Overall Student Achievement Score

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15		Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Credit Accumulation	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year			62.1%	72.8%	79.9%	86.5%	97.2%		0.00%
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+			02.170	72.070	73.370	00.570	31.270		
Credits in 1st Year			35.4%	52.5%	64.2%	75.3%	93.0%		0.00%
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year			54.8%	67.3%	75.6%	83.4%	96.0%		0.00%
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+									
Credits in 2nd Year			28.2%	47.1%	60.0%	72.1%	91.6%		0.00%
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year			52.2%	64.6%	72.7%	80.3%	93.0%		0.00%
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+			26.40/	44.00/	F7 20/	60.00/	00.40/		0.000/
Credits in 3rd Year			26.4%	44.8%	57.2%	68.9%	88.1%		0.00%
Regents Performance									
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	11		26.9%	44.2%	54.9%	65.0%	82.5%		0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - ELA			0.58	0.79	0.94	1.07	1.29		0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Math	3		0.43	0.77	1.01	1.24	1.59		0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Science			0.45	0.83	1.07	1.30	1.70		0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Global History			0.34	0.62	0.81	0.99	1.27		0.00%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - U.S. History	2		0.47	0.74	0.93	1.10	1.38		0.00%
Graduation / Diploma									
4-Year Graduation Rate	18	66.7%	50.6%	63.3%	75.5%	84.1%	95.0%	2.28	12.50%
6-Year Graduation Rate	90	74.4%	60.6%	71.0%	81.7%	89.3%	98.5%	2.32	12.50%
4-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	18	244.4%	111.1%	152.0%	193.7%	223.2%	260.4%	4.57	12.50%
6-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	90	175.6%	118.0%	155.9%	195.0%	222.8%	257.5%	2.50	12.50%
College and Career Readiness									
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	18	11.1%	0.0%	13.4%	31.0%	45.3%	63.1%	1.83	10.00%
4-Year College Readiness Index	18	16.7%	0.0%	8.4%	19.3%	28.3%	39.4%	2.76	10.00%
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence	90	38.9%	21.1%	34.1%	48.7%	60.5%	76.5%	2.33	10.00%
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	60	45.0%	25.7%	38.6%	53.5%	65.7%	81.4%	2.43	10.00%
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months	90	45.6%	38.1%	49.5%	62.8%	73.6%	87.4%	1.66	10.00%
								Weighted Average Score	

	_												
							2	014-15 Target	S	_			
(Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
١	Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate												
	English Language Learners	3	16.7%	30.0%		25.9%	103.0%	163.9%	225.7%	320.1%		0.036	0.000
	Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS	4	22.2%	56.3%		0.0%	110.0%	197.0%	285.2%	420.0%		0.036	0.000
•	Lowest Third Citywide	8	44.4%	66.7%	250.0%	0.0%	71.2%	127.5%	184.6%	271.8%	4.75	0.036	0.034
	Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	4	22.2%	56.9%		0.0%	65.3%	116.9%	169.2%	249.2%		0.036	0.000
(College and Career Readiness												
	Students in Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career Preparatory Course Index	8	44.4%	66.7%	12.5%	0.0%	7.3%	13.0%	18.9%	27.8%	2.91	0.036	0.017
0	Students in Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness Index	8	44.4%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	2.5%	3.7%	5.4%	1.00	0.036	0.000
	Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	26	43.3%	71.2%	26.9%	0.0%	11.4%	20.4%	29.5%	43.4%	3.71	0.036	0.024

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

International Arts Business School

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	100%	4.99	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	4.04	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	100%	4.99	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS			
Overall			
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS			
Overall			
	Section Score	e:	
Overall Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
Overall Section Rating: ective School Leadership			
Section Rating: ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.64	
Overall Section Rating: Fective School Leadership		3.64	
Section Rating: ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.64	
Section Rating: Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating:	82%	3.64	
Section Rating: ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: rong Family-Community Ties	82% Section Score	3.64 e: 2.36	
Section Rating: Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82% Section Score	3.64 e: 2.36	
Section Rating: Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating:	82% Section Score	3.64 e: 2.36	



	City Ran		City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	76.8	89.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	69.2	85.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		77.5	86.9	96.3		
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	100	55.8	77.8	99.8	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		100%					4.99
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	94.4	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents		82.3	91.9	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Students		66.6	80.6	94.6		
Cultural awareness	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	82.7	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Quality of professional development	Teachers	83	50.4	75.6	100.0	0.66	3.64
School commitment	Teachers	67	53.7	82.3	100.0	0.29	2.16
Innovation	Teachers	90	65.9	84.5	100.0	0.71	3.84
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	85.1	95.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	75.2	90.6	100.0	0.67	3.68
Focus on student learning	Teachers	82	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.55	3.20
 Collective responsibility 	Teachers	100	58.1	80.7	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		90%					4.04
Supportive Environment Safety:	- 1						
Safety	Teachers		67.5	02.0	00.3		
Safety	Students		67.5	82.9	98.3		
Safety	Combined						
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers		60.2	02.4	05.0		
Classroom behavior	Students		68.3	82.1	95.9		
Classroom behavior	Combined	100	00.0	05.3	100.0	4.00	4.00
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	86.6	95.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		58.2	74.4	90.6		
Next-level guidance	Students		71.4	83.6	95.8		
Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement	Topohore						
	Teachers		76.2	06.1	05.0		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		76.3	86.1	95.9		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined		CO O	04.0	04.6		
Personal attention and support	Students		69.0	81.8	94.6		
Peer support for academic work:	Tanalaria						
Peer support for academic work	Teachers		CO 1	0.5.5	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Parents		68.1	85.5	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Students		41.0	61.6	82.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	1000/					4.00
Section Results:		100%					4.99

17K544 International Arts Business School

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents		76.5	88.9	100.0		
Teacher influence	Teachers	60	40.6	71.4	100.0	0.33	2.32
 Program coherence 	Teachers	100	57.0	82.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	86	58.2	84.6	100.0	0.65	3.60
Section Results:		82%					3.64
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	84	75.2	89.0	100.0	0.34	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents		76.7	88.1	99.5		
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	84				0.34	2.36
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents		41.2	61.0	80.8		
Section Results:		84%					2.36
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents		84.9	93.1	100.0		
Parent-principal trust	Parents		84.5	93.7	100.0		
Student-teacher trust	Students		68.5	81.1	93.7		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	74	55.0	84.0	100.0	0.43	2.72
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	75.7	90.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:	reactions	87%	75.7	30.3	100.0	1.00	3.88
section results.		01/0					3.00

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Credit Accumulation								
10+ Credits in 1st Year - All Students								
10+ Credits in 1st Year - School's Lowest Third								
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - All Students								
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - School's Lowest Third								
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - All Students								
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - School's Lowest Third								
Regents Performance								
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents								
Average Regents Score - English (non-Common Core)*								
Average Regents Score - English (Common Core)*								
Average Regents Score - Living Environment*								
Average Regents Score - Global History*								
Average Regents Score - US History*								
Average Regents Score - Algebra I (Common Core)*								
Graduation / Non-Dropout								
4-Year Graduation Rate	66.7%							
6-Year Graduation Rate	74.4%	73.4% or lower	73.5% to 79.0%	79.1% to 82.9%	83.0% or highe			
4-Year Non-Dropout Rate	66.7%							
6-Year Non-Dropout Rate	77.8%	77.1% or lower	77.2% to 82.1%	82.2% to 85.5%	85.6% or highe			
College and Career Readiness								
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	11.1%							
4-Year College Readiness Index	16.7%							
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence	38.9%	32.6% or lower	32.7% to 39.6%	39.7% to 44.4%	44.5% or highe			
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	45.0%	47.3% or lower	47.4% to 55.7%	55.8% to 61.6%	61.7% or highe			
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months	45.6%	50.5% or lower	50.6% to 56.6%	56.7% to 60.9%	61.0% or highe			

^{*} Because it is not possible to accurately predict who will take the Regents exams in advance, the table above provides estimated targets for the Regents score metrics. These targets will be adjusted based on the students at the school who actually take the exams.

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Four-Year Graduation Rate								
English Language Learners								
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS								
Lowest Third Citywide	50.0%							
Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide								
College and Career Readiness of Students in Lowest Third Citywide								
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	12.5%							
4-Year College Readiness Index	0.0%							
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	26.9%	22.2% or lower	22.3% to 31.0%	31.1% to 37.1%	37.2% or higher			

^{**}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance		49.9% or lower	50.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments		0.72 or lower	0.73 to 0.79	0.80 to 0.85	0.86 or higher		