
### **Summary of Section Ratings**

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## P.S. 299 Thomas Warren Field

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	55	67	60
Grade 1	50	51	59
Grade 2	54	50	43
Grade 3	68	55	50
Grade 4	59	75	47
Grade 5	53	47	56
All students	375	381	349

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	14%	16%	17%
% Free Lunch Eligible	90%	90%	91%
% Student with IEPs	20%	26%	26%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	16%	12%	15%
% HRA Eligible	-	78%	75%
% Temporary Housing	-	29%	30%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	56%	52%	50%
% Hispanic	43%	48%	47%
% White	1%	0%	2%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

P.S. 299 Thomas Warren Field

32K299

### **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
<b>Exceeding Target</b>	4.24

				2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA			<b>g</b> g-		1311601	1 3 1 <b>3</b> 2 3			
Average Student Proficiency	146	2.25	1.89	2.07	2.20	2.34	2.62	3.36	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	146	15.1%	0.0%	7.3%	12.5%	18.5%	30.5%	3.43	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	93	59.0	46.7	55.3	62.8	68.1	80.6	2.49	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	35	84.0	62.6	70.5	77.1	81.7	92.6	4.21	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	46	1.78	0.22	0.89	1.47	1.88	2.88	3.76	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	147	2.52	1.86	2.12	2.30	2.50	2.87	4.05	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	147	27.9%	0.0%	9.8%	16.9%	25.0%	40.0%	4.19	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	95	70.0	38.6	49.8	59.6	66.5	82.8	4.21	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third</li> </ul>	35	89.0	57.7	66.5	73.8	79.0	91.2	4.82	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	47	3.73	0.02	1.04	1.93	2.55	4.08	4.77	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	41	92.3%	73.1%	80.7%	86.1%	92.3%	100.0%	4.00	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.94

		2014-15 Targets										
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	– Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•										
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	36	24.7%	100.0%	2.8%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.05	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	5	3.4%	17.3%	20.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	10	6.8%	64.8%	10.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.18	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	36	24.5%	100.0%	8.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.17	0.030	0.024
Integrated Co-Teaching	5	3.4%	17.3%	60.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	10	6.8%	65.4%	20.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.28	0.030	0.025
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	15	16.1%	34.4%	46.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.01	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	44	47.3%	68.4%	56.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.07	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	22.6%	57.2%	52.4%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.67	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	35	37.6%	96.6%	54.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.96	0.030	0.022
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	16	16.8%	34.5%	31.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.80	0.030	0.014
Lowest Third Citywide	54	56.8%	78.0%	64.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.50	0.030	0.026
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	26	27.4%	71.4%	65.4%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.47	0.030	0.026
• SC/ICT/SETSS	35	36.8%	95.4%	57.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.23	0.030	0.024
• ELL Progress	52	16.5%	41.9%	65.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.01	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.30
									Overa	ıll Student Achie	vement Score	4.24

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 299 Thomas Warren Field

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.16	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	3.96	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.48	
nouther Fundaments			
portive Environment	Proficient	2.40	200/
Quality Review 3.4		3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	93%	3.96	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	70.9%	3.48	
HS	70.370	5.40	
Overall	70.9%	3.48	30%
Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	10.370	3.40	30%
environments			
	0.17	2 27	
EMS HS	0.17	2.27	
กร Overall	0.17	2.27	5%
Overall	U.1/	۷.۷۱	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
ctive School Leadership	0/	0.00	42501
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	85%	3.96	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	98%	4.84	100%
2 can be seen a configuration of the configura	3070		100/0
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.84	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	4.04	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
	Jeelion Jelle.	TIVT	



P.S. 299 Thomas Warren Field

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction				, ,		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.80	4.20
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	98	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.88	4.52
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	91	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.70	3.80
Section Results:		95%					4.16
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.80	
Cultural awareness					99.3		
Cultural awareness	Parents	99	90.5	94.9		0.94	
	Students	00	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.07	4.40
Cultural awareness     Inclusive classes on instruction	Combined	98	04.3	04.6	100.0	0.87	4.48
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.87	4.48
Quality of professional development	Teachers	83	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.65	3.60
School commitment	Teachers	87	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.66	3.64
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.56	3.24
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer collaboration	Teachers	96	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.82	4.28
Focus on student learning	Teachers	90	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.69	3.76
Collective responsibility	Teachers	89	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		92%					3.96
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	93	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.65	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0	0.00	
Safety	Combined	93	7 113	00.0	100.0	0.65	3.60
Classroom behavior:	Combined	33				0.03	3.00
Classroom behavior	Teachers	86	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.57	
Classroom behavior	Students	00	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.57	
Classroom behavior	Combined	86	07.5	04.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
		100	68.2			1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		08.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	Togalaga	0.2	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.73	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	93	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.73	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	0.2	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.70	0.00
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	93	^	00.0	400.5	0.73	3.92
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	93	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.70	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.81	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
<ul> <li>Peer support for academic work</li> </ul>	Combined	95				0.76	4.04
Section Results:		93%					3.96

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	99	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.95	4.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	60	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.49	2.96
Program coherence	Teachers	89	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.73	3.92
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	91	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		85%					3.96
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.94	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	98	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.91	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	99				0.92	4.68
<ul> <li>Parent involvement in the schools</li> </ul>	Parents	96	62.4	76.6	90.8	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		98%					4.84
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	98	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.85	4.40
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	99	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.94	4.76
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	87	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.71	3.84
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	88	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.54	3.16
Section Results:		93%					4.04

**Targets for 2015-16** 

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2014-15 2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.25	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.37	2.38 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	15.1%	13.6% or lower	13.7% to 19.1%	19.2% to 23.3%	23.4% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.52	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.55	2.56 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.95	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	27.9%	17.1% or lower	17.2% to 24.4%	24.5% to 29.9%	30.0% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	92.3%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.90	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.31	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
SETSS	2.05	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
ELL	2.20	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.07	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.88	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.32	2.33 or higher		
SETSS	2.32	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
ELL	2.53	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	65.4%	46.0% or lower	46.1% to 55.9%	56.0% to 63.5%	63.6% or higher		

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	70.9%	63.0% or lower	63.1% to 69.8%	69.9% to 75.0%	75.1% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.17	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.29	0.30 or higher			