2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.04	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.12	
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.16	
Effective School Leadership	Not Meeting Target		1.92		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.32		
Trust	Meeting Target			3.08	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.51		
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

P.S. 121 Throop

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	144	163	141
Grade 1	158	159	163
Grade 2	168	162	162
Grade 3	164	164	157
Grade 4	174	160	162
Grade 5	142	170	159
All students	986	1014	980

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	4%	4%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	64%	64%	64%
% Student with IEPs	14%	15%	16%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	2%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	53%	50%
% Temporary Housing	-	7%	9%
% Asian	5%	6%	6%
% Black	63%	62%	63%
% Hispanic	28%	28%	27%
% White	2%	3%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.51									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		463	2.45	1.98	2.29	2.51	2.75	3.07	2.73	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		463	25.3%	0.0%	15.7%	26.9%	39.7%	55.7%	2.86	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		299	47.0	47.5	55.1	61.8	66.4	77.5	1.00	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowes 	t Third	107	57.0	56.9	64.9	71.9	76.8	88.5	1.01	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		150	1.98	0.32	1.23	2.01	2.56	3.88	2.96	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		468	2.69	1.86	2.34	2.69	3.09	3.59	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		468	37.4%	0.0%	20.5%	35.2%	51.9%	72.9%	3.13	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		303	47.0	37.2	50.0	61.1	68.8	87.3	1.77	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowes 	t Third	107	53.0	48.1	59.8	69.8	76.8	93.5	1.42	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		153	3.06	0.02	1.51	2.80	3.70	5.90	3.29	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		149	91.5%	69.7%	78.5%	84.5%	91.4%	100.0%	4.01	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.47

						2	014-15 Targets	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•	U		0 0	U	U	0	0 0			
Self-Contained	23	5.0%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	0.9%	4.6%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	53	11.4%	100.0%	5.7%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	2.81	0.030	0.014
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	23	4.9%	24.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	0.9%	4.6%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	54	11.5%	100.0%	7.4%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	2.16	0.030	0.009
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	14	4.7%	10.0%	28.6%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	87	29.1%	41.2%	31.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.28	0.030	0.002
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	46	15.4%	39.0%	26.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.20	0.030	0.002
SC/ICT/SETSS	57	19.1%	43.6%	28.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.47	0.030	0.004
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	15	5.0%	10.3%	26.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	78	25.7%	35.3%	26.9%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.58	0.030	0.004
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	39	12.9%	33.6%	20.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.40	0.030	0.003
SC/ICT/SETSS	59	19.5%	45.5%	16.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.11	0.030	0.001
ELL Progress	43	4.6%	11.7%	32.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.04
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.51

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
11X121
```

P.S. 121 Throop

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.24	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
· · · - ·			
aborative Teachers		2.42	500/
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	83%	2.80	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	3.96	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	73.9%	2.16	
HS			
Overall	73.9%	2.16	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.15	2.09	
HS			
Overall	0.15	2.09	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	66%	1.92	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.92	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	80%	2.32	100%
		2.22	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.32	
st	0524	2.02	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	85%	3.08	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	92	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.57	3.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	88	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.60	3.40
Section Results:		91%					3.24
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	90	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	92				0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.62	3.48
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	57	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.11	1.44
School commitment	Teachers	76	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.40	2.60
Innovation	Teachers	83	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.44	2.76
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	86	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.39	2.56
Focus on student learning	Teachers	81	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.39	2.56
Collective responsibility	Teachers	81	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.45	2.80
Section Results:		83%					2.80
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.93	
Safety	Students	55	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.95	
• Safety	Combined	99	74.5	00.5	100.0	0.93	4.72
Classroom behavior:	Combined	55				0.95	4.72
Classroom behavior	Teachers	92	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.76	
Classroom behavior	Students	92	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.70	
Classroom behavior	Combined	92	07.5	04.5	100.0	0.76	4.04
			90.0	06.6	100.0		
Social-emotional measure Peer interactions	Teachers Students	97	89.0 68.2	96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0	0.75	4.00
Next-level guidance	Students		08.2	84.8	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
Press toward academic achievement.	Teachers	91	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.63	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	91	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.05	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	91	03.5	91.9	50.5	0.63	3.52
	Students	91	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.03	3.52
Personal attention and support	Students		//.0	69.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Taachara	0.4	76 5	01 5	100.0	0.76	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	94 93	76.5 88.4	91.5 94.8	100.0 100.0	0.76 0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Parents Students	32	50.4		97.2	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94	50.4	73.8	51.2	0.63	3.52
Peer support for academic work Section Results:	Combined	95%				0.05	3.52 3.96
Section Results.		95%					3.90

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.41	2.64
• Teacher influence	Teachers	42	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.20	1.80
 Program coherence 	Teachers	61	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.03	1.12
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	72	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.27	2.08
Section Results:		66%					1.92
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	91	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	89	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.38	2.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	70	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.27	2.08
Section Results:		80%					2.32
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	63	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.15	1.60
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	92	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		85%					3.08

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.45	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.48	2.49 to 2.56	2.57 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	25.3%	21.3% or lower	21.4% to 26.8%	26.9% to 31.0%	31.1% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.69	2.55 or lower	2.56 to 2.71	2.72 to 2.82	2.83 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.26	2.27 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	37.4%	29.8% or lower	29.9% to 37.1%	37.2% to 42.6%	42.7% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	91.5%	86.5% or lower	86.6% to 90.0%	90.1% to 92.5%	92.6% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	rgets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.76	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
SETSS	1.90	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.13	2.14 or higher		
ELL	2.01	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.36	2.37 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.82	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.75	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
SETSS	1.99	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
ELL	2.09	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.62	2.63 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.84	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.82	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
ELL Progress	32.6%	49.0% or lower	49.1% to 58.9%	59.0% to 66.5%	66.6% or highe		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	73.9%	68.4% or lower	68.5% to 75.2%	75.3% to 80.4%	80.5% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.15	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher			