### 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Meeting Target			3.00	
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Meeting Target			3.	.72
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target			2.92	
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.16		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.12		
Trust	Approaching Target		2.68		
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.60	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

J.H.S. 292 Margaret S. Douglas

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	257	232	186
Grade 7	229	261	240
Grade 8	269	234	268
All students	755	727	694

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	7%	10%
% Free Lunch Eligible	86%	86%	86%
% Student with IEPs	17%	18%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	9%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	71%	70%
% Temporary Housing	-	18%	18%
% Asian	9%	9%	11%
% Black	60%	57%	53%
% Hispanic	30%	31%	33%
% White	1%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	2%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.72	2.36	2.38
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.10	2.57	2.55

19K292 J.H.S. 292 Margaret S. Douglas

## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.60									
					2	2014-15 Targets	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA						_				_
Average Student Proficiency		644	2.39	1.93	2.15	2.27	2.41	2.67	3.86	9.80%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>		644	20.0%	0.9%	7.8%	12.8%	18.5%	26.8%	4.18	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		602	58.0	47.6	53.3	61.4	66.9	76.5	2.58	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		210	71.5	64.0	69.2	76.7	81.6	90.5	2.31	9.80%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		660	2.44	1.76	2.05	2.25	2.46	2.80	3.90	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		660	23.5%	0.0%	7.5%	13.1%	19.3%	28.7%	4.45	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		612	63.5	37.7	46.1	58.2	66.2	80.5	3.66	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		210	77.0	55.2	61.9	71.8	78.3	89.9	3.80	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates										
ELA		642	67.4%	62.6%	73.2%	81.1%	89.9%	100.0%	1.45	1.96%
D Math		642	60.1%	63.1%	73.6%	81.4%	90.1%	100.0%	1.00	1.96%
D Science		642	69.2%	66.2%	75.8%	82.9%	90.9%	100.0%	1.31	1.96%
Social Studies		642	69.3%	60.0%	71.4%	79.8%	89.2%	100.0%	1.82	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit		250	21.6%	0.0%	10.3%	17.9%	26.5%	37.6%	3.43	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		200	81.0%	59.0%	70.0%	79.0%	89.0%	100.0%	3.20	9.80%
									Weighted Average Score	3.37

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	69	10.7%	55.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	20	3.1%	17.0%	5.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	18	2.8%	30.1%	5.6%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	3.13	0.030	0.016
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	69	10.5%	55.6%	2.9%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	4.40	0.030	0.026
Integrated Co-Teaching	20	3.0%	16.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	18	2.7%	29.3%	11.1%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	4.10	0.030	0.023
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	80	13.3%	29.8%	46.3%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	3.87	0.030	0.022
Lowest Third Citywide	272	45.2%	57.3%	41.9%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	2.32	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	154	25.6%	57.3%	40.3%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	2.28	0.030	0.010
SC/ICT/SETSS	105	17.4%	36.5%	49.5%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	2.84	0.030	0.014
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	88	14.4%	29.7%	46.6%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	4.07	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	283	46.2%	56.7%	48.4%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	3.42	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	154	25.2%	56.6%	46.1%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	3.21	0.030	0.017
• SC/ICT/SETSS	105	17.2%	36.9%	56.2%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	4.17	0.030	0.024
ELL Progress	68	9.8%	27.1%	58.8%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%	4.47	0.030	0.026
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.23
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	3.60

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 19K292 J.H.S. 292 Margaret S. Douglas

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
19K292
```

J.H.S. 292 Margaret S. Douglas

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	79%	2.20	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	79%	2.44	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	81%	2.52	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	70.9%	2.88	
HS			
Overall	70.9%	2.88	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.35	3.05	
HS			
Overall	0.35	3.05	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	72%	2.16	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.16	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	72%	2.12	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.12	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	85%	2.68	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.68	
occurrenting. Approaching laiger		2.00	

#### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
19K292
J.H.S. 292 Margaret S. Douglas
```

					City Range				
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigor	ous Instruction								
0 C	common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	77	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.00	1.00	
0 C	common Core shifts in math	Teachers	75	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.20	1.80	
С	ourse clarity	Students	91	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.57	3.28	
С	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	72	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.41	2.64	
Sectio	on Results:		79%					2.20	
Collai	borative Teachers								
	cultural awareness:								
U	Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.52		
	Cultural awareness		90			99.5	0.52		
	Cultural awareness	Parents Students	84	87.1 70.6	93.3 84.2	99.5	0.50		
		Combined	84 89	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.50	3.04	
	Cultural awareness nclusive classroom instruction	Teachers		01 7	93.3	100.0	0.51		
			93	81.7				3.44	
	Quality of professional development	Teachers	62	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.17	1.68	
	chool commitment	Teachers	70	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.24	1.96	
	novation	Teachers	74	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.25	2.00	
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	94	86.6	95.8	100.0	0.55	3.20	
	eer collaboration	Teachers	86	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.39	2.56	
	ocus on student learning	Teachers	77	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.29	2.16	
	collective responsibility	Teachers	67	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.21	1.84	
Sectio	on Results:		79%					2.44	
Supp	ortive Environment								
	afety:								
3	Safety	Teachers							
	Safety	Students	72	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.16		
0	Safety	Combined	72	07.5	02.9	90.5	0.16	1.64	
0	lassroom behavior:	Combined	72				0.10	1.04	
Ľ		Taaabara							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	00	CD 4	70.2	05.0	0.52		
	Classroom behavior	Students	80	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.52	2.00	
	Classroom behavior	Combined	80	<b>•</b> • <b>-</b>			0.52	3.08	
	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	93	84.7	95.3	100.0	0.52	3.08	
	eer interactions	Students	73	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.21	1.84	
	lext-level guidance	Students	86	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.40	2.60	
Р	ress toward academic achievement:								
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers							
	Press toward academic achievement	Students	88	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.49		
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.49	2.96	
	ersonal attention and support	Students	84	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.43	2.72	
Р	eer support for academic work:								
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers							
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	82	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.22		
	Peer support for academic work	Students	60	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.31		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	71				0.27	2.08	
Sectio	on Results:		81%					2.52	

#### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
19K292
J.H.S. 292 Margaret S. Douglas
```

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
<ul> <li>Inclusive principal leadership</li> </ul>	Parents	84	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.11	1.44
<ul> <li>Teacher influence</li> </ul>	Teachers	42	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.12	1.48
Program coherence	Teachers	79	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.47	2.88
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	82	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.46	2.84
Section Results:		72%					2.16
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	90	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	84	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.16	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	87				0.33	2.32
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	56	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.22	1.88
Section Results:		72%					2.12
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	92	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	76	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.26	2.04
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	85	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.58	3.32
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	81	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.26	2.04
Section Results:		85%					2.68

#### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.39	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.36	2.37 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.0%	11.3% or lower	11.4% to 15.0%	15.1% to 18.0%	18.1% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.44	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.42	2.43 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.5%	11.1% or lower	11.2% to 16.9%	17.0% to 21.6%	21.7% or higher
Core Course Pass Rates					
ELA	67.4%	78.6% or lower	78.7% to 83.6%	83.7% to 87.7%	87.8% or higher
Math	60.1%	79.1% or lower	79.2% to 84.0%	84.1% to 88.0%	88.1% or higher
Science	69.2%	81.7% or lower	81.8% to 86.0%	86.1% to 89.5%	89.6% or higher
Social Studies	69.3%	77.0% or lower	77.1% to 82.4%	82.5% to 86.8%	86.9% or higher
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	21.6%	11.0% or lower	11.1% to 17.2%	17.3% to 22.3%	22.4% or higher
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	81.0%	76.9% or lower	77.0% to 80.9%	81.0% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
LA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.85	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.09	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
SETSS	2.02	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.13	2.14 or higher
ELL	2.14	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.85	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.83	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
SETSS	2.06	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.15	2.16 or higher
ELL	2.28	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
	1.92	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.52				
Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
					2.00 or higher 51.7% or higher

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	70.9%	62.9% or lower	63.0% to 68.8%	68.9% to 73.5%	73.6% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.35	0.29 or lower	0.30 to 0.45	0.46 to 0.58	0.59 or higher

\* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

## 19K292

et