2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.04	
Collaborative Teachers	Approaching Target		2.	88	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.80	0	
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.48		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.	88	
Trust	Approaching Target		2.76		
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.8	1	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 018 John G. Whittier

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	113	110	118
Grade 1	89	98	103
Grade 2	95	83	86
Grade 3	96	91	100
Grade 4	89	94	89
Grade 5	87	87	88
All students	623	617	637

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	7%	6%	6%
% Free Lunch Eligible	84%	84%	84%
% Student with IEPs	33%	36%	40%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	15%	15%	17%
% HRA Eligible	-	74%	74%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	17%
% Asian	2%	2%	3%
% Black	41%	44%	45%
% Hispanic	48%	46%	45%
% White	8%	7%	6%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

31R018 P.S. 018 John G. Whittier

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.81									
					2	2014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		260	2.15	1.91	2.11	2.24	2.40	2.68	2.31	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		260	10.0%	0.0%	8.3%	14.3%	21.1%	33.2%	2.28	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		161	58.0	46.6	55.6	63.5	68.9	82.0	2.30	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		58	75.0	62.0	70.3	77.5	82.6	94.5	2.65	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		90	0.99	0.11	0.88	1.56	2.03	3.15	2.16	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		256	2.34	1.85	2.12	2.32	2.54	2.92	3.09	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		256	18.4%	0.0%	10.1%	17.4%	25.7%	40.8%	3.12	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		158	48.5	35.6	48.2	59.1	66.7	84.9	2.03	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		55	63.0	54.2	64.6	73.6	79.9	95.0	1.85	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		89	2.53	0.02	1.08	2.01	2.66	4.23	3.80	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		77	93.0%	73.8%	81.2%	86.5%	92.5%	100.0%	4.07	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.70

						2	2014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	_	2014-15 School Population %	•	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of	Matria Casua	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	n	Population %	of Range	School value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
 Self-Contained 	52	20.0%	98.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	50	19.2%	97.5%	2.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.54	0.030	0.000
 SETSS 	7	2.7%	25.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.004
5 52155	1	2.770	23.770	0.076	0.076	5.570	0.270	0.570	13.070	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	48	18.8%	93.5%	6.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.50	0.030	0.019
Integrated Co-Teaching	50	19.5%	99.5%	8.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.14	0.030	0.009
o SETSS	7	2.7%	26.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	11	6.8%	14.5%	9.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	77	47.8%	69.2%	45.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.81	0.030	0.014
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	32	19.9%	50.4%	43.8%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.78	0.030	0.013
SC/ICT/SETSS	63	39.1%	100.0%	44.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.96	0.030	0.015
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	11	7.0%	14.4%	27.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
• Lowest Third Citywide	68	43.0%	59.1%	30.9%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.88	0.030	0.007
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	25	15.8%	41.1%	40.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.90	0.030	0.014
SC/ICT/SETSS	60	38.0%	98.8%	33.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.35	0.030	0.010
ELL Progress	30	5.2%	13.2%	70.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.11
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.81

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

31R018 P.S. 018 John G. Whittier

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
31R018
```

P.S. 018 John G. Whittier

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	3.20	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	81%	2.32	50%
	01/0	2.02	00/0
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	84%	2.44	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	66.4%	2.72	
HS			
Overall	66.4%	2.72	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.11	1.79	
HS			
Overall	0.11	1.79	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.80	
Section Nating. Approaching ranget	Section Store.	2.00	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	72%	2.48	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.48	
ong Family-Community Ties		_	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.88	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
st	96%	2.70	100%
st NYC School Survey - Trust	86%	2.76	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
31R018
P.S. 018 John G. Whittier
```

				City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.61	3.44	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	78	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.30	2.20	
Section Results:		89%					3.20	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	87	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.25		
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	90				0.38	2.52	
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	84	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.00	1.00	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	68	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.33	2.32	
School commitment	Teachers	74	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.36	2.44	
 Innovation 	Teachers	76	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.20	1.80	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.88	4.52	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	87	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.41	2.64	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	80	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.37	2.48	
• Collective responsibility	Teachers	68	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.07	1.28	
ection Results:		81%		-			2.32	
Supportive Environment Safety:	Tasahara	76	20.0	04.6	100.0	0.00		
Safety	Teachers	76	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00		
Safety	Students	70	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.00	1.00	
o Safety	Combined	76				0.00	1.00	
Classroom behavior:	T	70	66 Q	05.5	400.0	0.00		
Classroom behavior	Teachers	79	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.36		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	79				0.36	2.44	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:		07	75.0	00.0	400.0	0.40		
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	87	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.46		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5	0.40		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	87				0.46	2.84	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:	T 1	74	76.5	04.5	400.0	0.00		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	71	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.00		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	91	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50		
Peer support for academic work	Students	~	50.4	73.8	97.2	- 	2.00	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	81				0.25	2.00	
Section Results:		84%					2.44	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
31R018
P.S. 018 John G. Whittier
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.42	2.68
Teacher influence	Teachers	48	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.30	2.20
Program coherence	Teachers	79	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.48	2.92
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	72	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.28	2.12
Section Results:		72%					2.48
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	92	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.43	2.72
Section Results:		83%					2.88
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.51	3.04
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	79	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.52	3.08
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	80	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.22	1.88
Section Results:		86%					2.76

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.15	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.33	2.34 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.86	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.0%	9.8% or lower	9.9% to 15.4%	15.5% to 19.5%	19.6% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.34	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.53	2.54 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.91	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	18.4%	17.0% or lower	17.1% to 24.3%	24.4% to 29.8%	29.9% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.0%	85.6% or lower	85.7% to 89.3%	89.4% to 92.0%	92.1% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.81	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.93	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
SETSS	2.01	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.11	2.12 or higher
ELL	2.00	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.36	2.37 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.84	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.08	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.13	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.31	2.32 or higher
SETSS	2.05	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
ELL	2.37	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.71	2.72 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	70.0%	41.3% or lower	41.4% to 51.3%	51.4% to 58.9%	59.0% or higher

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	66.4%	64.9% or lower	65.0% to 71.7%	71.8% to 76.9%	77.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.11	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher		