2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target				4.40
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.48
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.04
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.24
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.76	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.16
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.66	
		1	2	3 4	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	95	85	110
Grade 1	104	88	90
Grade 2	87	106	85
Grade 3	96	84	104
Grade 4	93	91	87
Grade 5	111	91	89
Grade 6	22	24	30
All students	626	587	613

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	6%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	12%	12%	15%
% Student with IEPs	8%	9%	11%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	10%	9%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	1%
% Asian	33%	30%	31%
% Black	3%	2%	3%
% Hispanic	12%	13%	13%
% White	47%	49%	45%
% Other	0%	6%	7%

28Q101 P.S. 101 School in the Gardens

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.66									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		295	3.23	2.53	2.86	3.04	3.25	3.48	3.90	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		295	63.1%	29.9%	44.8%	54.5%	65.6%	78.4%	3.77	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		187	74.0	51.8	59.9	67.0	72.0	83.7	4.17	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest T	hird	67	68.0	55.2	64.2	72.1	77.6	90.6	2.48	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		100	2.84	1.40	2.04	2.51	2.84	3.61	4.00	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		296	3.54	2.67	3.08	3.30	3.55	3.83	3.96	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		296	78.7%	36.5%	54.0%	64.0%	75.4%	87.9%	4.26	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		187	61.0	44.7	55.3	64.3	70.6	85.6	2.63	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest T	hird	65	68.0	49.3	59.5	68.4	74.6	89.3	2.96	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		99	2.62	1.41	2.24	2.77	3.13	4.15	2.72	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		21	99.3%	86.5%	91.8%	94.1%	96.7%	100.0%	4.79	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.60

						2	014-15 Targets	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		r opulation /	or Kunge		ruiget nuige	luiget	Inifer	Turget	i di Bet Hunge		10001010	Lunicu
Self-Contained	1	0.3%	1.5%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	13	4.4%	22.3%	15.4%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	10	3.4%	32.4%	30.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.3%	1.5%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	13	4.4%	22.4%	46.2%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	9	3.0%	28.8%	33.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	7	3.7%	7.9%	71.4%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	15	8.0%	9.6%	60.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	5	2.7%	6.8%	20.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	19	10.2%	18.1%	42.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	4.3%	8.8%	50.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	8	4.3%	5.9%	25.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	1.6%	4.2%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	18	9.6%	17.0%	22.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	25	4.2%	10.7%	76.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.06
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.66

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

28Q101 P.S. 101 School in the Gardens

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
28Q101
```

P.S. 101 School in the Gardens

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.28	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	3.96	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.48	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	4.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	91.3%	3.04	
HS			
Overall	91.3%	3.04	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.44	4.36	
HS			
Overall	0.44	4.36	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	89%	4.24	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	89%	3.76	100%
the school survey scrong running community ries	0.570	5.70	10070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.16	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
28Q101
P.S. 101 School in the Gardens
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.95	4.80
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.78	4.12
Course clarity	Students	97	84.3	92.7	100.0	0.80	4.20
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	92	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:		96%					4.28
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:	Tables	00	05.4	05.0	400.0	0.05	
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.85	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	90	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.69	
Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.76	4.04
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.86	4.44
Quality of professional development	Teachers	87	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.74	3.96
School commitment	Teachers	86	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.66	3.64
Innovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.66	3.64
 Reflective dialogue 	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Peer collaboration 	Teachers	98	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	92	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
Collective responsibility	Teachers	86	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		92%					3.96
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students	88	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.53	
• Safety	Combined	94				0.76	4.04
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	92	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.76	
Classroom behavior	Students	91	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.73	
Classroom behavior	Combined	92				0.74	3.96
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer interactions	Students	91	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.70	3.80
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achieveme	ent Teachers	98	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.93	
Press toward academic achieveme		98	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.93	
Press toward academic achieveme		98				0.93	4.72
Personal attention and support	Students	92	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.65	3.60
Peer support for academic work:	Statents	52		0010	20010	0.00	5.00
Peer support for academic work.	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	79	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.73	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	92	50.4	13.0	57.2	0.79	4.16
	combined					0.79	
Section Results:		94%					4.08

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

28Q101 P.S. 101 School in the Gardens

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	97	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.86	4.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	65	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.57	3.28
 Program coherence 	Teachers	96	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		89%					4.24
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.53	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	97				0.77	4.08
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	80	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		89%					3.76
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Student-teacher trust	Students	97	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.91	4.64
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	82	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.59	3.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	96	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.84	4.36
Section Results:		94%					4.16

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.23	3.04 or lower	3.05 to 3.15	3.16 to 3.23	3.24 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.52	2.45 or lower	2.46 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.66	2.67 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	63.1%	53.8% or lower	53.9% to 59.3%	59.4% to 63.5%	63.6% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.54	3.29 or lower	3.30 to 3.44	3.45 to 3.56	3.57 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.89	2.63 or lower	2.64 to 2.80	2.81 to 2.92	2.93 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	78.7%	64.6% or lower	64.7% to 71.9%	72.0% to 77.4%	77.5% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.3%	88.9% or lower	89.0% to 91.7%	91.8% to 93.8%	93.9% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained		1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.39	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.32	2.33 or higher
SETSS	2.39	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
ELL	2.97	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.60	2.61 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.21	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained		2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.25	2.26 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.67	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.52	2.53 or higher
SETSS	2.59	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.53	2.54 or higher
ELL	3.35	2.81 or lower	2.82 to 3.00	3.01 to 3.15	3.16 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.09	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
ELL Progress	76.0%	56.5% or lower	56.6% to 66.5%	66.6% to 74.0%	74.1% or highe

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	2015-16 Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	91.3%	82.8% or lower	82.9% to 87.2%	87.3% to 90.5%	90.6% or higher	
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.44	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher	