
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 203 Floyd Bennett School

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	113	100	105
Grade 1	140	130	98
Grade 2	127	130	136
Grade 3	111	118	136
Grade 4	146	101	120
Grade 5	172	132	94
All students	878	775	778

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	6%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	74%	74%	74%
% Student with IEPs	18%	21%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	7%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	49%	46%
% Temporary Housing	-	8%	11%
% Asian	2%	3%	3%
% Black	84%	81%	82%
% Hispanic	9%	10%	10%
% White	5%	5%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

22K203 P.S. 203 Floyd Bennett School

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.33

				2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	338	2.43	2.10	2.30	2.41	2.53	2.77	3.17	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	338	23.4%	7.8%	16.6%	22.0%	28.1%	38.8%	3.23	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	192	51.0	47.3	54.9	61.5	66.1	77.1	1.49	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	68	71.0	59.2	66.7	73.2	77.7	88.6	2.66	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	128	2.58	0.67	1.25	1.73	2.07	2.93	4.59	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	339	2.61	1.99	2.32	2.54	2.79	3.15	3.28	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	339	30.7%	2.5%	17.0%	27.3%	39.0%	54.9%	3.29	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	195	50.0	39.9	50.8	60.2	66.8	82.5	1.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	68	63.5	51.9	62.2	71.2	77.5	92.3	2.14	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	129	3.88	0.02	1.23	2.28	3.01	4.76	4.50	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	118	98.4%	72.8%	80.5%	85.9%	92.2%	100.0%	4.79	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.19

		2014-15 Targets										
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	37	10.9%	53.7%	2.7%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.00	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	35	10.4%	52.8%	8.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.75	0.030	0.021
SETSS	3	0.9%	8.6%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	37	10.9%	54.2%	8.1%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.13	0.030	0.024
Integrated Co-Teaching	35	10.3%	52.6%	8.6%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.25	0.030	0.009
SETSS	3	0.9%	8.7%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	15	7.8%	16.7%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	60	31.3%	44.5%	41.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.35	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	33	17.2%	43.5%	48.5%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.27	0.030	0.017
SC/ICT/SETSS	44	22.9%	54.4%	36.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.15	0.030	0.009
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	18	9.2%	18.9%	5.6%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
O Lowest Third Citywide	81	41.5%	57.0%	27.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.60	0.030	0.005
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	40	20.5%	53.4%	35.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.47	0.030	0.011
o sc/ict/setss	44	22.6%	54.5%	27.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.84	0.030	0.006
ELL Progress	36	5.2%	13.2%	66.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.14
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.33

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 203 Floyd Bennett School

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	90%	3.12	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.48	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	87%	3.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			3370
EMS	83.5%	3.72	
HS Overall	83.5%	3.72	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	83.376	5.72	30%
environments			
EMS	0.16	2.18	
HS	0.10	2.10	
Overall	0.16	2.18	5%
		3.80	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
· · · · ·	Section Score:	3.80	
ctive School Leadership			100%
ctive School Leadership	Section Score:	3.64	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership			100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target	82%	3.64	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties	82%	3.64	100%
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82% Section Score:	3.64 3.64	
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	82% Section Score: 86%	3.64 3.64 3.24	
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82% Section Score: 86%	3.64 3.64 3.24	



P.S. 203 Floyd Bennett School

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.78	4.12	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	89	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.36	2.44	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	83	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.46	2.84	
Section Results:		90%					3.12	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	94	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.56		
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.65	3.60	
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	76	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.51	3.04	
School commitment	Teachers	87	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.66	3.64	
Innovation	Teachers	81	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.35	2.40	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.79	4.16	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	91	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.70	3.80	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	79	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.40	2.60	
Section Results:	reactions	89%	03.7	0 1.7	100.0	0.10	3.48	
Supportive Environment Safety:	Tarahana	100	20.0	04.6	100.0	4.00		
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00		
Safety	Students	400	74.5	88.5	100.0	4.00	4.00	
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99	
Classroom behavior:	- 1	70	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.4.4		
Classroom behavior	Teachers	72	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.14		
Classroom behavior	Students	70	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.4.4	4.50	
Classroom behavior	Combined	72	20.0	0.0.0	100.0	0.14	1.56	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.95	4.80	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:	T I	77	75.0	00.0	4000	0.05		
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	77	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.06		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5	0.05		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	77	77.0	00.6	400.0	0.06	1.24	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:	Tariba	0.0	70.5	04.5	400.0	0.40		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	86	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.40		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50		
Peer support for academic work	Students	20	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.45	2.00	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	89				0.45	2.80	
Section Results:		87%					3.08	

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Dance				
		Current 9/ Desiting	Pottom of Dongs	City Range	Top of Dongs	Dougout of Dougo	Coore
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	97	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Teacher influence	Teachers	51	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.35	2.40
Program coherence	Teachers	84	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.61	3.44
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	94	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.83	4.32
Section Results:		82%					3.64
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.53	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.64	3.56
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.48	2.92
Section Results:		86%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	89	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.74	3.96
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		95%					4.24

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.43	2.40 or lower	2.41 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.58	2.59 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.00	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.4%	20.8% or lower	20.9% to 26.3%	26.4% to 30.5%	30.6% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.61	2.52 or lower	2.53 to 2.67	2.68 to 2.79	2.80 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.97	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	30.7%	27.4% or lower	27.5% to 34.7%	34.8% to 40.2%	40.3% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.4%	85.0% or lower	85.1% to 88.8%	88.9% to 91.7%	91.8% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15						
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.89	1.71 or lower	1.72 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.13	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.16	2.17 or higher		
SETSS		1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
ELL	2.08	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.38	2.39 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.11	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.21	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.42	2.43 or higher		
SETSS		2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
ELL	2.20	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.71	2.72 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
ELL Progress	66.7%	44.0% or lower	44.1% to 54.0%	54.1% to 61.5%	61.6% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	83.5%	74.9% or lower	75.0% to 81.3%	81.4% to 86.1%	86.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.16	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher			