
Summary of Section Ratings
P.S. 005 Dr. Ronald Mcnair

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 005 Dr. Ronald Mcnair

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	37	46	43
Grade 1	64	43	33
Grade 2	62	53	44
Grade 3	63	53	51
Grade 4	53	57	33
Grade 5	63	43	45
All students	360	312	268

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	9%	8%
% Free Lunch Eligible	77%	77%	89%
% Student with IEPs	16%	25%	31%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	10%	10%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	75%	71%
% Temporary Housing	-	47%	41%
% Asian	2%	2%	0%
% Black	82%	78%	77%
% Hispanic	13%	16%	18%
% White	3%	3%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

16K005 P.S. 005 Dr. Ronald Mcnair

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.57

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA		School value	ranger name	ruiget	ruiget	Tuiget	ranger name	Wethe Score	Weight i ct
Average Student Proficiency	120	2.05	1.90	2.08	2.21	2.35	2.63	1.83	9.09%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	120	5.8%	0.1%	7.6%	13.0%	19.2%	31.2%	1.76	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	69	45.0	45.9	54.6	62.3	67.6	80.2	1.00	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	27	60.0	60.7	69.0	76.2	81.2	93.1	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	49	1.56	0.23	0.88	1.46	1.87	2.86	3.24	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
O Average Student Proficiency	121	2.09	1.86	2.13	2.31	2.52	2.89	1.85	9.09%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	121	7.4%	0.0%	10.0%	17.2%	25.4%	40.5%	1.74	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	71	60.0	37.5	49.0	58.9	65.9	82.5	3.16	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	26	86.5	56.6	65.9	73.9	79.4	92.6	4.54	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	49	1.78	0.02	1.04	1.92	2.54	4.07	2.84	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	33	92.7%	73.1%	80.7%	86.1%	92.3%	100.0%	4.05	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.46

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	10.0%	49.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	13	10.8%	54.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	6	5.0%	47.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	9.9%	49.3%	8.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.17	0.030	0.024
Integrated Co-Teaching	13	10.7%	54.6%	7.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.09	0.030	0.008
SETSS SETSS	6	5.0%	48.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	8.7%	18.6%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	39	56.5%	82.2%	30.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.26	0.030	0.002
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	19	27.5%	69.6%	36.8%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.06	0.030	0.008
SC/ICT/SETSS	16	23.2%	55.3%	31.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.72	0.030	0.005
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	7	9.9%	20.3%	57.1%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	53	74.6%	100.0%	45.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.24	0.030	0.017
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	23	32.4%	84.4%	52.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.95	0.030	0.022
SC/ICT/SETSS	16	22.5%	54.2%	56.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.20	0.030	0.024
ELL Progress	20	8.1%	20.6%	20.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.11
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	2.57

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 005 Dr. Ronald Mcnair

16K005

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction	TOTAL FUINC	WELLIC SCOLE	vveignt PCt
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	25%
Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	25%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	25%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.08	25%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	67%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.68	33%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	88%	3.00	25%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	5570	5.00	25/0
EMS	61.5%	2.40	
HS	J=.3/V		
Overall	61.5%	2.40	35%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	02.070	10	3370
environments			
EMS	0.79	4.99	
HS	0.73	7.55	
Overall	0.79	4.99	5%
			370
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
	Section Score:	3.04	
ctive School Leadership	Section Score:	3.04	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	75%	3.00	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership			100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target	75%	3.00	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties	75%	3.00	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	75% Section Score:	3.00 3.00	
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	75% Section Score: 86%	3.00 3.00	
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	75% Section Score: 86% Section Score:	3.00 3.40 3.40	100%
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	75% Section Score: 86%	3.00 3.00	



P.S. 005 Dr. Ronald Mcnair

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.56	3.24
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	93	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.76	4.04
ection Results:		96%					4.08
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.80	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	37	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Combined	97	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.77	4.08
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.77	4.08
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.67	3.68
School commitment	Teachers	88	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.69	3.76
Innovation	Teachers	88	70.3	85.3	100.0	0.69	3.40
	Teachers	94	70.3 87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	
Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration	Teachers					0.87	3.00
	Teachers	97 88	77.6 68.2	92.2 89.0	100.0 100.0	0.62	4.48 3.48
Focus on student learning							
Collective responsibility ection Results:	Teachers	84 91%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.52	3.08 3.68
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	94	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.70	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	94				0.70	3.80
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	77	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.31	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	77				0.31	2.24
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	90	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	88	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.50	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.50	3.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	88	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.49	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.51	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
ection Results:		88%					3.00

16K005

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	96	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.81	4.24
Teacher influence	Teachers	49	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.31	2.24
Program coherence	Teachers	76	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.41	2.64
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	80	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.48	2.92
Section Results:		75%					3.00
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.81	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.59	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.70	3.80
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.50	3.00
Section Results:		86%					3.40
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.60	3.40
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	85	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.66	3.64
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	88	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.54	3.16
Section Results:		90%					3.56

Targets for 2015-16

16K005

P.S. 005 Dr. Ronald Mcnair

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.05	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.32	2.33 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	5.8%	9.3% or lower	9.4% to 14.7%	14.8% to 18.8%	18.9% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.09	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	7.4%	10.4% or lower	10.5% to 16.4%	16.5% to 20.9%	21.0% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	92.7%	84.5% or lower	84.6% to 88.4%	88.5% to 91.4%	91.5% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.79	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.90	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
SETSS	1.82	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
ELL	2.02	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.32	2.33 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.85	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
SETSS	1.77	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.16	2.17 or higher
ELL	2.18	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.42	2.43 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	20.0%	47.8% or lower	47.9% to 57.7%	57.8% to 65.3%	65.4% or higher

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	61.5%	62.3% or lower	62.4% to 69.1%	69.2% to 74.3%	74.4% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.79	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher			