
#### **Summary of Section Ratings**

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

## Hyde Leadership Charter School- Brooklyn

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	68	64	51
Grade 1	66	73	67
Grade 2	70	71	69
Grade 3	66	73	70
Grade 4	-	64	74
Grade 5	-	-	61
All students	270	345	392

# **Student Demographics**

2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
5%	10%	8%
89%	87%	80%
8%	12%	11%
1%	0%	1%
-	71%	65%
-	12%	14%
1%	2%	2%
80%	77%	75%
19%	21%	22%
0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	1%
	5% 89% 8% 1% 1% 80% 19% 0%	5%       10%         89%       87%         8%       12%         1%       0%         -       71%         -       12%         1%       2%         80%       77%         19%       21%         0%       0%

## Hyde Leadership Charter School- Brooklyn

84K769

## **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

Approaching Target 2.58

				2	014-15 Target:	5			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	200	2.40	1.91	2.25	2.49	2.77	3.12	2.63	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	200	18.0%	0.0%	15.4%	26.5%	39.2%	55.0%	2.23	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	118	54.0	47.1	55.2	62.2	67.1	78.8	1.85	10.00%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third</li> </ul>	43	65.0	56.6	65.1	72.6	77.8	90.2	1.99	10.00%
Early Grade Progress	67	2.39	0.11	1.13	1.99	2.60	4.08	3.66	10.00%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	201	2.48	1.76	2.29	2.68	3.12	3.67	2.49	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	201	23.9%	0.0%	20.0%	34.5%	50.9%	71.4%	2.27	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	124	53.0	35.4	48.3	59.4	67.1	85.6	2.42	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	46	63.0	48.9	59.7	69.0	75.6	91.1	2.35	10.00%
Early Grade Progress	68	2.54	0.02	1.53	2.84	3.75	5.99	2.77	10.00%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students			66.7%	76.6%	83.2%	90.7%	100.0%		0.00%
								Weighted Average Score	2.47

						2014-15 Targets						
		2044 45 6-1	D	2014 15	D-44				- 		Fotos Balata	Forture Desirate
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•										
Self-Contained	1	0.5%	2.5%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> </ul>	16	8.0%	40.6%	12.5%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.49	0.030	0.026
SETSS	6	3.0%	28.6%	16.7%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.5%	2.5%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	8.5%	43.4%	11.8%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.81	0.030	0.014
O SETSS	6	3.0%	28.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.5%	5.3%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	41	34.7%	49.6%	41.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.33	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	17	14.4%	36.5%	52.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.72	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	14	11.9%	22.9%	50.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.4%	4.9%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	48	38.7%	53.2%	27.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.60	0.030	0.005
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	11.3%	29.4%	14.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	14	11.3%	21.9%	28.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	38	9.7%	24.6%	39.5%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.11
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.58

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### **2014-15 School Quality Reports**

**Framework Elements Scoring Appendix** 

Hyde Leadership Charter School- Brooklyn

		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1	orous Instruction			
Ouality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 79% 1.32 100%  Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score:* 1.89  aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 69% 1.72 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.23  portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.16 65% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 74.9% 2.76 HS 0.20 2.55 HS 0.20 2.55 HS 0.20 2.55 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.70  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.70  Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36				
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 79% 1.32 100%  Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score:* 1.89  aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 69% 1.72 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.23  portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.16 65% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance Environment of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 74.9% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.20 2.55 HS 0.20 2.55 HS 0.20 2.55 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.70  ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  In Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: 3.36	Quality Review 1.2			
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target  Section Score:* 1.89  aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 69% 1.72 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.23  portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.16 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.20 2.55 HS 0.20 2.55 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.70  ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.36  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  NYC School Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%	Quality Review 2.2			
aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 69% 1.72 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.23   portive Environment Quality Review 3.4  WYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.16 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 74.9% 2.76 HS 0verall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.20 2.55 HS 0.20 2.55 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.70   Section School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.36  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	79%	1.32	100%
Quality Review 4.2  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  Section Sc	Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:*	1.89	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 69% 1.72 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.23  **Portive Environment**  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.16 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 74.9% 2.76  HS Overall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.20 2.55  HS Overall 0.20 2.55  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.70  **Citive School Leadership**  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  **Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.36  **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  **Section Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%	aborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 69% 1.72 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.23  **Portive Environment**  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.16 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 74.9% 2.76  HS Overall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.20 2.55  HS Overall 0.20 2.55  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.70  **Citive School Leadership**  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  **Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.36  **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  **Section Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%	Quality Review 4.2			
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.16 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 74.9% 2.76  HS Overall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.20 2.55  HS Overall 0.20 2.55  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.70  Ctive School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%	·	69%	1.72	100%
Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  83% 2.16 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS Overall 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:  Section Score: 2.12  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:*	2.23	
Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  83% 2.16 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS Overall 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:  Section Score: 2.12  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	noutive Cardinous and			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.16 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 5%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.70  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36				
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS	·	83%	2.16	65%
EMS 74.9% 2.76 HS Overall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 5%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.70  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  ING Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36		33,0	2.20	0370
Noverall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 5%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.70  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	_	74.9%	2.76	
Overall 74.9% 2.76 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 5%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.70  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36				
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.20 2.55 5%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.70  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  INTERPRETABLE Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36		74.9%	2.76	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.20 2.55 5%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.70  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Score: 3.36  Section Score: 3.36	Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:  86% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	environments			
Overall 0.20 2.55 5%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score:* 2.70  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	EMS	0.20	2.55	
Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:*  2.70  Ctive School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  2.12  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.36  Section Score:  3.36	HS			
Ctive School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  2.12  Ing Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.36  Section Score:  3.36  Section Score:  3.36  Section Score:  3.36	Overall	0.20	2.55	5%
Active School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  2.12  Song Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.36  Section Score:  3.36  Section Score:  3.36  Section Score:  3.36	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:*	2.70	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 63% 2.12 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  St  NYC School Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%				
Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score: 2.12  Ing Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  St  NYC School Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%	-	C20/	2.42	1000/
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  St  NYC School Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	63%	2.12	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  St  NYC School Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.12	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  St  NYC School Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
St  NYC School Survey - Trust  83% 2.84 100%		86%	3.36	100%
St  NYC School Survey - Trust  83% 2.84 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
NYC School Survey - Trust 83% 2.84 100%	<u> </u>			
				,
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84	NYC School Survey - Trust	83%	2.84	100%
	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	

<sup>\*</sup> These scores have been rescaled so that schools without Quality Review ratings are measured on a comparable scale to schools with Quality Review ratings.



84K769

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			•			•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	86	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00
O Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	83	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.00	1.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
<ul> <li>Quality of student discussion</li> </ul>	Teachers	69	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		79%					1.32
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	94	OF 4	05.0	100.0	0.59	
			85.4	95.0			
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	0.5	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.67	2.60
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	04.3	04.6	400.0	0.67	3.68
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.64	3.56
Quality of professional development	Teachers	41	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
<ul> <li>School commitment</li> </ul>	Teachers	60	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.01	1.04
o Innovation	Teachers	54	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	85	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.25	2.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	78	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.01	1.04
<ul> <li>Focus on student learning</li> </ul>	Teachers	65	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
<ul> <li>Collective responsibility</li> </ul>	Teachers	50	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		69%					1.72
Supportive Environment							
Safety:	T I						
Safety		0.0	00.0	0.4.6	1000	0.40	
	Teachers	88	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.40	
Safety	Students		80.0 74.5	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0		
Safety Safety		88				0.40	2.60
Safety Safety Classroom behavior:	Students Combined	88	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.40	2.60
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers		74.5 66.9	88.5 85.5	100.0		2.60
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students	<b>88</b> 73	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.40 0.17	
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined	88 73 <b>73</b>	74.5 66.9 67.3	88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17 0.17	1.68
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	<b>88</b> 73	74.5 66.9 67.3 <b>89.0</b>	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17	2.60 1.68 2.00
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	88 73 <b>73</b>	74.5 66.9 67.3	88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17 0.17	1.68
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	88 73 <b>73</b>	74.5 66.9 67.3 <b>89.0</b>	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17 0.17	1.68
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students	88 73 <b>73</b>	74.5 66.9 67.3 <b>89.0</b>	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17 0.17	1.68
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	88 73 <b>73</b>	74.5 66.9 67.3 <b>89.0</b>	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17 0.17	1.68
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students	88 73 73 87	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17 0.17 0.25	1.68
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers	88 73 73 87	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17 0.17 0.25	1.68 2.00
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students	88 73 73 87	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17 0.17 0.25	1.68 2.00
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement O Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	88 73 73 87	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.40 0.17 0.17 0.25	1.68 2.00
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	88 73 73 87	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.40 0.17 0.17 0.25	1.68
Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Students Combined  Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students  Teachers Students Combined Students	88 73 73 87 77 77	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.40 0.17 0.17 0.25 0.08	1.68 2.00
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior O Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work	Students Combined  Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	88 73 73 87 77 77	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.40 0.17 0.17 0.25 0.08	1.68 2.00
Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Students Combined  Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students  Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	88 73 73 87 77 77	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.40 0.17 0.17 0.25 0.08	1.68 2.00

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Cohool Loadovship							
Effective School Leadership	Dougata	02	70.2	00.0	100.0	0.62	2.52
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.63	3.52
Teacher influence	Teachers	41	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.20	1.80
Program coherence	Teachers	48	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	72	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.28	2.12
Section Results:		63%					2.12
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	85	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	82	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		86%					3.36
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	75	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.42	2.68
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	65	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		83%					2.84

**Targets for 2015-16** 

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.40	2.44 or lower	2.45 to 2.55	2.56 to 2.63	2.64 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.03	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	18.0%	23.8% or lower	23.9% to 29.3%	29.4% to 33.5%	33.6% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.48	2.65 or lower	2.66 to 2.81	2.82 to 2.93	2.94 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.99	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.41	2.42 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.9%	34.5% or lower	34.6% to 41.8%	41.9% to 47.2%	47.3% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.15	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
SETSS	2.27	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
ELL	2.25	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.50	2.51 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.39	2.40 or higher			
SETSS	2.07	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.32	2.33 or higher			
ELL	1.92	2.43 or lower	2.44 to 2.63	2.64 to 2.78	2.79 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
ELL Progress	39.5%	35.6% or lower	35.7% to 45.6%	45.7% to 53.1%	53.2% or higher			

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	74.9%	67.1% or lower	67.2% to 74.0%	74.1% to 79.1%	79.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.20	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.21	0.22 or higher			