Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	295	286	285
Grade 7	268	307	296
Grade 8	332	271	295
All students	895	864	876

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	1%	1%
% Free Lunch Eligible	57%	57%	62%
% Student with IEPs	17%	18%	18%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	9%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	38%	37%
% Temporary Housing	-	5%	5%
% Asian	4%	4%	4%
% Black	68%	68%	68%
% Hispanic	26%	25%	25%
% White	1%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	1%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.99	2.72	2.78
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.45	3.07	3.04

11X180 M.S. 180 Dr. Daniel Hale Williams

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.44

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	840	2.71	2.24	2.52	2.65	2.79	2.99	3.43	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	840	34.3%	12.9%	22.7%	29.3%	36.6%	44.7%	3.68	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	810	60.0	51.0	55.9	62.9	67.5	75.8	2.59	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	280	77.0	62.2	66.8	73.7	78.2	86.4	3.73	9.80%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	840	2.71	2.17	2.52	2.72	2.93	3.20	2.95	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	840	33.8%	11.0%	23.5%	32.7%	43.1%	54.8%	3.11	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	811	60.0	45.3	52.0	61.4	67.7	79.0	2.85	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	283	69.0	58.1	63.3	70.8	75.7	84.8	2.76	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates									
• ELA	843	98.0%	80.8%	88.1%	91.6%	95.5%	100.0%	4.56	1.96%
 Math 	843	96.9%	80.2%	87.5%	91.2%	95.3%	100.0%	4.34	1.96%
• Science	843	99.3%	77.6%	84.8%	89.3%	94.3%	100.0%	4.88	1.96%
Social Studies	843	98.1%	79.2%	87.0%	90.8%	95.1%	100.0%	4.61	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	282	44.0%	1.4%	20.9%	35.6%	52.0%	73.4%	3.51	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	233	93.0%	74.0%	82.0%	88.0%	93.0%	100.0%	4.00	9.80%
								Weighted Average Score	3.35

						2	.014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Point
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•			0 0	J	J		5 5			
Self-Contained	72	8.6%	44.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	4.11	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	30	3.6%	19.8%	13.3%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	24	2.9%	31.2%	4.2%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	2.62	0.030	0.012
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	73	8.7%	46.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	3.5%	19.3%	3.4%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
o setss	24	2.9%	31.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	23	2.8%	6.3%	39.1%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	166	20.5%	23.0%	64.5%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	86	10.6%	23.7%	61.6%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%		0.030	0.000
• SC/ICT/SETSS	123	15.2%	29.8%	60.2%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	4.01	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	23	2.8%	5.8%	43.5%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	199	24.5%	29.7%	46.7%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	3.27	0.030	0.017
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	85	10.5%	23.6%	52.9%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	122	15.0%	30.2%	46.7%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	3.37	0.030	0.018
ELL Progress	8	0.9%	2.5%	75.0%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.09
									Ovei	all Student Achie	evement Score	3.44

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

M.S. 180 Dr. Daniel Hale Williams

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	3.16	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
all and the Table III			
aborative Teachers	- 6.		
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.20	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	86%	3.36	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	87.0%	3.48	
HS			
Overall	87.0%	3.48	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.23	2.35	
HS			
Overall	0.23	2.35	5%
Couling Bullion BAssell To 1	Section Score:	3.84	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Store.		
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score.		
ctive School Leadership		4 20	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	90%	4.20	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership		4.20 4.20	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target	90%		100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target ong Family-Community Ties	90%		100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Cotive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target	90% Section Score:	4.20	
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	90% Section Score: 94%	4.20 4.92	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target	90% Section Score: 94% Section Score:	4.20 4.92 4.92	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target	90% Section Score: 94%	4.20 4.92	



M.S. 180 Dr. Daniel Hale Williams

11X180

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		Survey 70 T OSILIVE	bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Nange	refeelit of Runge	30010
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	90	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.53	3.12
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	88	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.60	3.40
Course clarity	Students	89	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.46	2.84
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	79	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.55	3.20
Section Results:	reactiers	87%	33.2	70.4	100.0	0.55	3.16
ection results.		67 70					3.10
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.86	
Cultural awareness	Parents	98	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.90	
Cultural awareness	Students	82	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.42	
Cultural awareness	Combined	93	70.0	07.2	37.0	0.72	3.88
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.72	4.64
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.65	3.60
School commitment	Teachers	99	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.03	4.88
Innovation	Teachers	87	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.62	3.48
- 6	Teachers	97	86.6	95.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Reflective dialoguePeer collaboration		99	76.7	95.8		0.75	4.00
	Teachers Teachers	98	68.4	88.4	100.0 100.0	0.94	4.76
Focus on student learning Callasting reasonability							
Collective responsibility section Results:	Teachers	87 94%	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.68	3.72 4.20
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	87	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.62	
Safety	Combined	87				0.62	3.48
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	69	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.16	
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	69				0.16	1.64
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	84.7	95.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students	82	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.54	3.16
Next-level guidance	Students	96	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.85	4.40
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	88	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.50	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.50	3.00
Personal attention and support	Students	82	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.34	2.36
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.88	
Peer support for academic work	Students	65	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.47	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	81				0.67	3.68
Section Results:	22	86%					3.36

11X180 M.S. 180 Dr. Daniel Hale Williams

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	98	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.94	4.76
Teacher influence	Teachers	69	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.52	3.08
Program coherence	Teachers	98	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.95	4.80
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	93	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		90%					4.20
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.98	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	98	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.93	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	99				0.95	4.80
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	89	47.1	66.3	85.5	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		94%					4.92
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	99	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.94	4.76
Parent-principal trust	Parents	99	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.91	4.64
Student-teacher trust	Students	78	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.33	2.32
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	96	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.90	4.60
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.92	4.68
	reactiers		/4.2	90.0	100.0	0.92	4.08 4.20
Section Results:		94%					4.20

11X180

Targets for 2015-16 M.S. 180 Dr. Daniel Hale Williams

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.71	2.62 or lower	2.63 to 2.70	2.71 to 2.76	2.77 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.17	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	34.3%	31.7% or lower	31.8% to 35.4%	35.5% to 38.4%	38.5% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.71	2.56 or lower	2.57 to 2.69	2.70 to 2.80	2.81 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.02	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	33.8%	27.9% or lower	28.0% to 33.7%	33.8% to 38.4%	38.5% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	98.0%	79.8% or lower	79.9% to 84.6%	84.7% to 88.4%	88.5% or higher			
Math	96.9%	83.4% or lower	83.5% to 87.3%	87.4% to 90.5%	90.6% or highe			
Science	99.3%	84.1% or lower	84.2% to 87.8%	87.9% to 90.9%	91.0% or highe			
Social Studies	98.1%	77.3% or lower	77.4% to 82.6%	82.7% to 87.0%	87.1% or highe			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	44.0%	20.8% or lower	20.9% to 32.7%	32.8% to 42.4%	42.5% or highe			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	93.0%	81.9% or lower	82.0% to 85.9%	86.0% to 88.9%	89.0% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
_	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.92	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.87	1.88 to 1.92	1.93 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.28	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
SETSS	2.08	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.33	2.34 or higher			
ELL	2.23	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.95	1.96 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.89	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.12	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
SETSS	2.05	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher			
ELL	2.28	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.66	2.67 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	75.0%	32.8% or lower	32.9% to 42.7%	42.8% to 50.7%	50.8% or highe			
LLL Flogress	73.070	32.070 01 10 1101	02.070 to .2.770	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	30.070 01 Highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	87.0%	75.9% or lower	76.0% to 81.5%	81.6% to 86.1%	86.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.23	0.23 or lower	0.24 to 0.37	0.38 to 0.48	0.49 or higher			

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.