2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target				4.20
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.56
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.20
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.32
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target				4.60
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.16
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.75	
		1	2	3 4	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	158	158	127
Grade 1	132	158	167
Grade 2	136	139	181
Grade 3	109	134	144
Grade 4	114	102	132
Grade 5	89	111	103
All students	756	820	872

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	3%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	30%	30%	26%
% Student with IEPs	14%	15%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	1%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	21%	20%
% Temporary Housing	-	2%	2%
% Asian	13%	12%	13%
% Black	12%	9%	7%
% Hispanic	28%	28%	28%
% White	45%	47%	48%
% Other	0%	3%	4%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achiev	vement Score								
Meeting Target	3.75									
					:	2014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		369	3.12	2.44	2.76	2.93	3.13	3.36	3.95	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or	- 4	369	57.5%	24.7%	39.2%	48.7%	59.5%	72.1%	3.81	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		222	77.0	48.4	57.2	64.9	70.2	83.0	4.53	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 	- School's Lowest Third	78	81.0	54.4	63.2	70.9	76.3	89.0	4.37	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		143	2.33	1.30	1.91	2.36	2.67	3.43	2.93	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		370	3.35	2.53	2.93	3.16	3.42	3.72	3.73	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or	• 4	370	67.6%	28.9%	46.2%	57.0%	69.4%	83.7%	3.85	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		222	69.0	43.3	54.0	63.2	69.6	84.9	3.91	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	- School's Lowest Third	75	67.0	48.8	59.4	68.5	74.9	90.1	2.84	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		143	2.18	1.21	2.05	2.64	3.04	4.14	2.22	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of I	Former Students	99	97.4%	87.1%	92.4%	94.5%	97.0%	100.0%	3.88	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.66

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	1.1%	5.4%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	34	9.2%	46.7%	14.7%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.83	0.030	0.029
SETSS	7	1.9%	18.1%	14.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	1.1%	5.5%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	34	9.2%	46.9%	17.6%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.85	0.030	0.021
SETSS	7	1.9%	18.3%	28.6%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	3.6%	7.7%	87.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	38	17.1%	23.2%	63.2%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	19	8.6%	21.8%	57.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	29	13.1%	26.4%	48.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.35	0.030	0.018
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	4.1%	8.4%	77.8%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	28	12.6%	17.3%	46.4%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	6.3%	16.4%	35.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	29	13.1%	27.1%	44.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.40	0.030	0.018
ELL Progress	31	3.6%	9.1%	67.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.09
									Overa	Il Student Achie	vement Score	3.75

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
02M011
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.68	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	4.08	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.56	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	98%	4.52	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance		-	
EMS	90.0%	3.24	
HS			
Overall	90.0%	3.24	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.22	2.73	
HS			
Overall	0.22	2.73	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
		=•	
ctive School Leadership	0424	4.00	1000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.32	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	93%	4.60	100%
,			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.16	100%
Section Poting: Exceeding Torget	Section Score:	4.16	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.10	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range			
	Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Teachers	91	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Teachers	92	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
	93%					3.68
Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Parents	98	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.83	
Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Combined	97				0.79	4.16
Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Teachers	77	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.53	3.12
Teachers	95	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Teachers	95	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.83	4.32
Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.77	4.08
Teachers	97	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Teachers	92	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.75	4.00
	93%					4.08
	Teachers Students Teachers Teachers Teachers Parents Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	Teachers96Teachers91Students1Teachers9293%93%Teachers96Parents98Students91Combined97Teachers95Teachers97Teachers92	Teachers 96 86.4 Teachers 91 83.3 Students 84.3 84.3 Teachers 92 68.7 93% 93% 93% Teachers 96 Teachers 96 85.4 Parents 98 90.5 Students 68.6 68.6 Combined 97 68.6 Teachers 95 84.2 Teachers 95 84.2 Teachers 95 59.9 Teachers 95 59.9 Teachers 95 70.3 Teachers 95 70.3 Teachers 95 77.6 Teachers 97 68.2 Teachers 97 68.2 Teachers 97 68.2 Teachers 97 68.2 Teachers 92 65.7	Teachers 96 86.4 94.8 Teachers 91 83.3 93.1 Students 84.3 92.7 Teachers 92 68.7 85.3 93% 93% 93% 93% Teachers 96 85.4 95.0 Parents 98 90.5 94.9 Students 68.6 87.4 95.0 Parents 98 90.5 94.9 Students 68.6 87.4 95.0 Parents 95 84.2 94.6 Teachers 95 84.2 94.6 Teachers 95 84.2 94.6 Teachers 95 59.9 85.3 Teachers 95 59.9 85.3 Teachers 95 70.3 86.7 Teachers 95 70.3 86.7 Teachers 95 77.6 92.2 Teachers 97 68.2 89.0 </td <td>Teachers 96 86.4 94.8 100.0 Teachers 91 83.3 93.1 100.0 Students 84.3 92.7 100.0 Teachers 92 68.7 85.3 100.0 Teachers 92 68.7 85.3 100.0 93% 93% 90.5 94.9 99.3 Students 68.6 87.4 100.0 Parents 98 90.5 94.9 99.3 Students 68.6 87.4 100.0 Combined 97 97 95 94.2 94.6 100.0 Teachers 95 84.2 94.6 100.0 100</td> <td>Teachers 96 86.4 94.8 100.0 0.75 Teachers 91 83.3 93.1 100.0 0.50 Students 84.3 92.7 100.0 0.75 Teachers 92 68.7 85.3 100.0 0.75 Parents 92 68.7 85.3 100.0 0.75 Parents 96 85.4 95.0 100.0 0.75 Parents 98 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.83 Students 68.6 87.4 100.0 0.75 Combined 97 0.79 0.79 0.79 Teachers 95 84.2 94.6 100.0 0.75 Teachers 95 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.53 Teachers 95 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.86 Teachers 95 70.3 86.7 100.0 0.83 Teachers 95 77.6 92.2 <t< td=""></t<></td>	Teachers 96 86.4 94.8 100.0 Teachers 91 83.3 93.1 100.0 Students 84.3 92.7 100.0 Teachers 92 68.7 85.3 100.0 Teachers 92 68.7 85.3 100.0 93% 93% 90.5 94.9 99.3 Students 68.6 87.4 100.0 Parents 98 90.5 94.9 99.3 Students 68.6 87.4 100.0 Combined 97 97 95 94.2 94.6 100.0 Teachers 95 84.2 94.6 100.0 100	Teachers 96 86.4 94.8 100.0 0.75 Teachers 91 83.3 93.1 100.0 0.50 Students 84.3 92.7 100.0 0.75 Teachers 92 68.7 85.3 100.0 0.75 Parents 92 68.7 85.3 100.0 0.75 Parents 96 85.4 95.0 100.0 0.75 Parents 98 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.83 Students 68.6 87.4 100.0 0.75 Combined 97 0.79 0.79 0.79 Teachers 95 84.2 94.6 100.0 0.75 Teachers 95 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.53 Teachers 95 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.86 Teachers 95 70.3 86.7 100.0 0.83 Teachers 95 77.6 92.2 <t< td=""></t<>

	Safety:							
	Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.98	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	100				0.98	4.92
	Classroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	95	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.84	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
•	Classroom behavior	Combined	95				0.84	4.36
•	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.82	4.28
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	Next-level guidance	Students						
	Press toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	98	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.92	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
•	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	98				0.92	4.68
	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
	Peer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.83	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.81	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.82	4.28
Secti	on Results:		98%					4.52

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	97	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Teacher influence	Teachers	81	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.82	4.28
Program coherence	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	95	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
Section Results:		91%					4.32
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.96	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	97				0.85	4.40
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	89	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		93%					4.60
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.82	4.28
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	92	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		95%					4.16

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
State Test Results - ELA*						
Average Student Proficiency	3.12	2.83 or lower	2.84 to 2.93	2.94 to 3.01	3.02 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.44	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.41	2.42 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	57.5%	44.4% or lower	44.5% to 49.9%	50.0% to 54.1%	54.2% or higher	
State Test Results - Math*						
Average Student Proficiency	3.35	2.97 or lower	2.98 to 3.12	3.13 to 3.24	3.25 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.52	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.57	2.58 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	67.6%	50.3% or lower	50.4% to 57.6%	57.7% to 63.1%	63.2% or higher	
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	97.4%	88.2% or lower	88.3% to 91.2%	91.3% to 93.5%	93.6% or higher	
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets			
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained		1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.14	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.32	2.33 or higher	
SETSS	2.30	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.33	2.34 or higher	
ELL	2.71	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.55	2.56 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	2.09	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.10	2.11 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher	
Math - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained		1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.36	2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.62	2.63 or higher	
SETSS	2.43	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.60	2.61 or higher	
ELL	2.74	2.60 or lower	2.61 to 2.79	2.80 to 2.94	2.95 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.17	2.18 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher	
	67.7%	53.5% or lower	53.6% to 63.5%	63.6% to 71.0%	71.1% or highe	

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	90.0%	81.2% or lower	81.3% to 86.0%	86.1% to 89.6%	89.7% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.22	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.15	0.16 to 0.19	0.20 or higher			