

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


## Summary of Section Ratings

18K268

P.S. 268 Emma Lazarus

### Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

**2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES**  
**School Enrollment and Demographic Data**

18K268  
P.S. 268 Emma Lazarus

**Student Enrollment**

<b>Grade</b>	<b>2012 - 2013</b>	<b>2013 - 2014</b>	<b>2014 - 2015</b>
Kindergarten	70	52	50
Grade 1	91	71	55
Grade 2	67	87	67
Grade 3	62	78	91
Grade 4	82	70	78
Grade 5	84	66	62
<b>All students</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>438</b>

**Student Demographics**

	<b>2012 - 2013</b>	<b>2013 - 2014</b>	<b>2014 - 2015</b>
% English Language Learners	6%	6%	6%
% Free Lunch Eligible	88%	88%	87%
% Student with IEPs	14%	13%	14%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	2%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	64%	57%
% Temporary Housing	-	7%	7%
% Asian	0%	1%	1%
% Black	96%	94%	92%
% Hispanic	3%	4%	4%
% White	0%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES  
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

18K268  
P.S. 268 Emma Lazarus

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
<b>Approaching Target</b>	<b>2.26</b>

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
<b>State Test Results - ELA</b>									
○ Average Student Proficiency	218	<b>2.26</b>	1.95	2.30	2.55	2.84	3.19	<b>1.89</b>	9.09%
○ Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	218	<b>15.1%</b>	0.0%	17.1%	29.4%	43.4%	61.0%	<b>1.88</b>	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	126	<b>48.5</b>	45.7	54.3	61.8	67.1	79.5	<b>1.33</b>	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	42	<b>62.0</b>	56.1	64.6	72.0	77.2	89.6	<b>1.69</b>	9.09%
○ Early Grade Progress	85	<b>1.85</b>	0.24	1.27	2.16	2.78	4.32	<b>2.65</b>	9.09%
<b>State Test Results - Math</b>									
○ Average Student Proficiency	226	<b>2.29</b>	1.80	2.35	2.76	3.21	3.80	<b>1.89</b>	9.09%
○ Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	226	<b>16.8%</b>	0.0%	22.4%	38.6%	56.9%	80.4%	<b>1.75</b>	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	131	<b>52.0</b>	34.6	48.6	60.5	68.8	88.8	<b>2.29</b>	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	47	<b>69.0</b>	46.9	59.1	69.5	76.7	94.1	<b>2.95</b>	9.09%
○ Early Grade Progress	88	<b>1.74</b>	0.02	1.68	3.12	4.12	6.69	<b>2.04</b>	9.09%
● MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	61	<b>90.1%</b>	63.0%	74.6%	81.7%	89.9%	100.0%	<b>4.02</b>	9.09%
								<b>Weighted Average Score</b>	<b>2.22</b>

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
<b>ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
○ Self-Contained	8	3.7%	18.2%	<b>0.0%</b>	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching	26	11.9%	60.4%	<b>0.0%</b>	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	<b>1.00</b>	0.030	0.000
○ SETSS	4	1.8%	17.1%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
<b>Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
○ Self-Contained	9	4.0%	19.9%	<b>11.1%</b>	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching	26	11.5%	58.7%	<b>3.8%</b>	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	<b>1.53</b>	0.030	0.004
○ SETSS	4	1.8%	17.3%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
<b>ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
○ ELL	11	8.7%	18.6%	<b>18.2%</b>	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
○ Lowest Third Citywide	52	41.3%	59.4%	<b>28.8%</b>	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	<b>1.07</b>	0.030	0.001
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	28	22.2%	56.2%	<b>17.9%</b>	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	<b>1.00</b>	0.030	0.000
○ SC/ICT/SETSS	24	19.0%	43.3%	<b>25.0%</b>	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	<b>1.23</b>	0.030	0.002
<b>Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
○ ELL	15	11.5%	23.6%	<b>53.3%</b>	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
○ Lowest Third Citywide	69	52.7%	72.4%	<b>36.2%</b>	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	<b>2.36</b>	0.030	0.010
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	30	22.9%	59.6%	<b>23.3%</b>	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	<b>1.59</b>	0.030	0.004
○ SC/ICT/SETSS	25	19.1%	44.4%	<b>44.0%</b>	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	<b>3.33</b>	0.030	0.018
○ ELL Progress	27	6.7%	17.0%	<b>33.3%</b>	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
											<b>CtAG Additional Points</b>	<b>0.04</b>
											<b>Overall Student Achievement Score</b>	<b>2.26</b>

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	98%	4.64	34%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.52</b>	

<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	4.16	50%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.80</b>	

<b>Supportive Environment</b>			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	91%	3.48	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	79.4%	2.96	
HS			
Overall	79.4%	2.96	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.55	4.80	
HS			
Overall	0.55	4.80	5%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.36</b>	

<b>Effective School Leadership</b>			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.40	100%
<b>Section Rating: Exceeding Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>4.40</b>	

<b>Strong Family-Community Ties</b>			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.12	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.12</b>	

<b>Trust</b>			
NYC School Survey - Trust	98%	4.48	100%
<b>Section Rating: Exceeding Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>4.48</b>	

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score	
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range			
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>								
•	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.89	4.56
•	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	98	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.90	4.60
	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
•	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	98	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.94	4.76
<b>Section Results:</b>			98%					<b>4.64</b>
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>								
Cultural awareness:								
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	91	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
	Cultural awareness	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
•	Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
•	Quality of professional development	Teachers	88	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.76	4.04
•	School commitment	Teachers	96	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.91	4.64
	Innovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.66	3.64
•	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
•	Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
•	Focus on student learning	Teachers	98	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
	Collective responsibility	Teachers	80	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.42	2.68
<b>Section Results:</b>			93%					<b>4.16</b>
<b>Supportive Environment</b>								
Safety:								
	Safety	Teachers	86	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.28	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
	Safety	Combined	86				0.28	2.12
Classroom behavior:								
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	83	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.49	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	Classroom behavior	Combined	83				0.49	2.96
•	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:								
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	91	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.63	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	91				0.63	3.52
	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:								
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	91	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.61	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	93				0.68	3.72
<b>Section Results:</b>			91%					<b>3.48</b>

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
<b>Effective School Leadership</b>							
• Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	96	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.81	4.24
Teacher influence	Teachers	67	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.60	3.40
• Program coherence	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
• Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	99	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.97	4.88
<b>Section Results:</b>		91%					<b>4.40</b>
<b>Strong Family Community Ties</b>							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.84	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.55	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.70	3.80
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.36	2.44
<b>Section Results:</b>		84%					<b>3.12</b>
<b>Trust</b>							
• Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
• Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
• Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
• Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.92	4.68
<b>Section Results:</b>		98%					<b>4.48</b>

**2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES**  
**Targets for 2015-16**

18K268

P.S. 268 Emma Lazarus

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>State Test Results - ELA*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	2.26	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.52	2.53 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.15	2.16 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	15.1%	18.0% or lower	18.1% to 23.5%	23.6% to 27.7%	27.8% or higher
<b>State Test Results - Math*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	2.29	2.52 or lower	2.53 to 2.67	2.68 to 2.79	2.80 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.82	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.25	2.26 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.8%	28.6% or lower	28.7% to 35.9%	36.0% to 41.4%	41.5% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	90.1%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>ELA - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	1.90	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.88	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
SETSS		1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 or higher
ELL	1.95	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.32	2.33 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
<b>Math - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	1.91	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.94	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.42	2.43 or higher
SETSS		2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 or higher
ELL	1.91	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.58	2.59 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
ELL Progress	33.3%	56.5% or lower	56.6% to 66.5%	66.6% to 74.0%	74.1% or higher

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	79.4%	66.2% or lower	66.3% to 73.0%	73.1% to 78.2%	78.3% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.55	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher