
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 033 Chelsea Prep

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	93	100	102
Grade 1	104	95	113
Grade 2	92	106	92
Grade 3	70	100	113
Grade 4	72	68	109
Grade 5	50	71	69
All students	516	576	634

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	7%	6%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	53%	53%	46%
% Student with IEPs	12%	13%	13%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	1%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	33%	32%
% Temporary Housing	-	7%	8%
% Asian	23%	26%	26%
% Black	17%	15%	12%
% Hispanic	35%	31%	32%
% White	20%	23%	25%
% Other	0%	6%	6%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

02M033 P.S. 033 Chelsea Prep

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.51

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of	NA shiis Carrie	Maiala Bat
State Test Results - ELA	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Average Student Proficiency	283	3.04	2.27	2.56	2.72	2.92	3.16	4.50	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	283	55.5%	16.1%	29.4%	38.1%	48.0%	60.0%	4.63	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	163	58.0	46.3	55.0	62.6	67.8	80.3	2.39	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	60	50.0	54.8	63.0	70.3	75.4	87.5	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	109	2.85	1.22	1.82	2.25	2.56	3.31	4.39	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	283	3.40	2.34	2.71	2.93	3.19	3.51	4.66	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	283	68.2%	19.3%	35.6%	46.1%	58.1%	72.8%	4.69	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	162	53.5	42.2	52.8	62.0	68.4	83.6	2.08	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	58	47.0	48.0	58.5	67.6	74.0	89.1	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	109	3.62	1.17	2.04	2.66	3.09	4.23	4.46	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	60	94.6%	73.7%	81.1%	86.4%	92.5%	100.0%	4.28	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.46

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	5	1.8%	9.1%	20.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	20	7.1%	67.6%	10.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.18	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	5	1.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	20	7.1%	68.3%	20.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.28	0.030	0.025
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	4.9%	10.5%	50.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	23	14.1%	18.7%	21.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	9	5.5%	13.9%	0.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	13	8.0%	11.7%	23.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	4.9%	10.1%	50.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	11	6.8%	9.3%	18.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	4	2.5%	6.5%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	13	8.0%	12.4%	30.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	28	4.7%	11.9%	71.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.05
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.51

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Section Rating: Meeting Target

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

02M033 P.S. 033 Chelsea Prep

amework Elements Scoring Appendix			r.s. 055 Cheisea
	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	97%	4.48	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.48	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	3.76	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
upportive Environment	W 115 1 1	4.00	200/
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	4.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	87.2%	3.60	
HS			
Overall	87.2%	3.60	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.10	1.71	
HS			
Overall	0.10	1.71	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.08	
Santing Cabaal Landarahia			
ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.32	100%
	0270	3.32	100/0
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
trong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	92%	4.16	100%
NTC 3CHOOL Survey - Strong Farmily-Community Hes	9276	4.10	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	
ruct			
rust NYC School Survey - Trust	89%	3.52	100%
	- 		

3.52

Section Score:



P.S. 033 Chelsea Prep

	City Range							
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
• Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99	
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	98	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.87	4.48	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	92	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.74	3.96	
Section Results:		97%					4.48	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.86		
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0	0.7.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	97	00.0	0711	100.0	0.81	4.24	
 Inclusive classroom instruction 		97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.81	4.24	
Quality of professional develop		68	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.35	2.40	
School commitment	Teachers	89	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.73	3.92	
Innovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.66	3.64	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.64	3.56	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	83	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.47	2.88	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	90	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.72	3.88	
Section Results:	reactions	90%	03.7	04.7	100.0	0.72	3.76	
Supportive Environment Safety:		0.7	20.0	0.4.5	400.0	0.05		
Safety	Teachers	97	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.85		
Safety	Students	~-	74.5	88.5	100.0			
• Safety	Combined	97				0.85	4.40	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.72		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	91				0.72	3.88	
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achieve		_						
Press toward academic ac		89	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.56		
Press toward academic ac			85.3	91.9	98.5			
Press toward academic ac		89				0.56	3.24	
Personal attention and support			77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic wor								
Peer support for academic		93	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.70		
Peer support for academic		96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75		
Peer support for academic			50.4	73.8	97.2			
Peer support for academic	work Combined	95				0.73	3.92	
Section Results:		94%					4.08	

P.S. 033 Chelsea Prep

		City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.58	3.32
Teacher influence	Teachers	75	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.73	3.92
Program coherence	Teachers	86	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.65	3.60
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	76	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.37	2.48
Section Results:		82%					3.32
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.81	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.54	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.67	3.68
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	88	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.91	4.64
Section Results:		92%					4.16
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	50	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.50	5.00
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	75	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.43	2.72
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	95	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.43	4.28
	Lacita	<i>J J</i>	/ 7.1	50.5	100.0	0.02	7.20

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 033 Chelsea Prep

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.04	2.89 or lower	2.90 to 3.00	3.01 to 3.08	3.09 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.30	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	55.5%	47.8% or lower	47.9% to 53.3%	53.4% to 57.5%	57.6% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.40	3.08 or lower	3.09 to 3.24	3.25 to 3.35	3.36 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.36	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.48	2.49 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	68.2%	55.8% or lower	55.9% to 63.1%	63.2% to 68.6%	68.7% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.6%	88.0% or lower	88.1% to 91.1%	91.2% to 93.4%	93.5% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.24	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
SETSS	2.07	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
ELL	2.73	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.54	2.55 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.77	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.18	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.52	2.53 or higher		
SETSS	2.34	2.16 or lower	2.17 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.47	2.48 or higher		
ELL	3.08	2.53 or lower	2.54 to 2.73	2.74 to 2.88	2.89 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
ELL Progress	71.4%	49.0% or lower	49.1% to 58.9%	59.0% to 66.5%	66.6% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	87.2%	80.2% or lower	80.3% to 85.2%	85.3% to 89.0%	89.1% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.10	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.29	0.30 or higher			