



ERIC L. ADAMS  
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD  
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235  
[www.nyc.gov/ccrb](http://www.nyc.gov/ccrb)



ARVA RICE  
INTERIM CHAIR

**Executive Director's Monthly Report**  
**April 2022**  
**(Statistics for March 2022)**

## Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	13
Resolving Cases	13
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	14
Dispositions - Full Investigations	16
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	17
Dispositions - Allegations	18
Substantiation Rates	21
Substantiation Rates and Video	21
Disposition of Substantiated Complaints	23
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	24
Unable to Investigate and Withdrawn Complaints	33
Complaints by PSA	34
Mediation Unit	36
Administrative Prosecution Unit	38
NYPD Discipline	39

# Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for March 2022 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 35% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 51% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In March, the CCRB opened 336 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,201 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 33% of its fully investigated cases (page 16).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 75% of the cases it closed in March (page 13) and resolved (fully investigated, mediated or attempted mediation) 77% of the cases it closed (page 17). The Agency was unable to investigate /withdrawn 18% of the cases received (page 13).
- 4) For March, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 36% of cases - compared to 12% of cases in which video was not available (page 21-22).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 25-32).
- 6) In March the Police Commissioner did not finalize any decisions against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 38). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 4 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 3 trials were conducted against respondent officers in March.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

# Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

**Allegation:** An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

**APU:** The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

**Board Panel:** The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

**Case/Complaint:** For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

**Disposition:** The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

**FADO:** Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

**Intake:** CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

**Investigation:** CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

**Mediation:** A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.


**Unable to Investigate / Withdrawn:** When the CCRB is unable to obtain a sworn statement from the complainant/alleged victim, the case is closed as unable to investigate. When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as withdrawn.

**Closed Pending Litigation:** Sometimes when a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, their attorney advises against making sworn statements until the conclusion of the court case. When a complainant declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is "Closed Pending Litigation."


# Complaints Received

The CCRB’s Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB’s jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In March 2022, the CCRB initiated 336 new complaints.


**Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2021 - March 2022)**



**Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2021 - March 2022)**




**Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2022)**




## CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Of the five boroughs, the largest number of misconduct complaints stemmed from incidents occurring in Brooklyn, followed by Bronx. The 44th Precinct and 75th Precinct had the highest number at 12 incidents.

**Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (March 2022)**



**Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2022)**



**Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (March 2022)**


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	2	67	5
1	2	68	6
5	4	69	2
6	2	70	2
7	6	71	3
9	4	72	1
10	3	73	5
13	4	75	12
14	8	77	3
17	2	78	3
18	3	79	10
19	2	81	9
20	2	83	5
23	3	84	4
24	4	88	4
25	3	90	6
26	2	94	3
28	2	100	1
30	1	101	3
32	6	102	4
33	4	103	10
34	1	104	5
40	8	105	2
41	4	106	4
42	7	107	2
43	6	108	3
44	12	109	3
45	7	110	4
46	9	112	8
47	7	113	4
48	7	114	6
49	2	115	1
50	3	120	4
52	7	121	3
60	3	122	3
61	2	123	2
63	1	Unknown	25
66	1		

\*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

# Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. In comparing March 2021 to March 2022, the number of complaints containing an allegation of Force is down, Abuse of Authority complaints are down, Discourtesy are down and Offensive Language are down. Figures for the year-to-date comparison show that in 2022, complaints containing an allegation of Force are down, Abuse of Authority are down, Discourtesy are down and Offensive Language are down.

**Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (March 2021 vs. March 2022)**



\*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.


**Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)**

	March 2021		March 2022		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	161	43%	154	46%	-7	-4%
Abuse of Authority (A)	290	77%	227	68%	-63	-22%
Discourtesy (D)	113	30%	64	19%	-49	-43%
Offensive Language (O)	29	8%	10	3%	-19	-66%
Total FADO Allegations	593		455		-138	-23%
Total Complaints	377		336		-41	-11%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.



**Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2021 vs. YTD 2022)**



\*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

**Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)**

	YTD 2021		YTD 2022		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	424	43%	388	47%	-36	-8%
Abuse of Authority (A)	774	78%	600	73%	-174	-22%
Discourtesy (D)	264	27%	170	21%	-94	-36%
Offensive Language (O)	81	8%	34	4%	-47	-58%
<b>Total FADO Allegations</b>	<b>1543</b>		<b>1192</b>		<b>-351</b>	<b>-23%</b>
<b>Total Complaints</b>	<b>989</b>		<b>823</b>		<b>-166</b>	<b>-17%</b>

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

**Figure 11: Total Allegations (% of Total Allegations)**

	March 2021		March 2022		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	440	25%	322	33%	-118	-27%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1113	63%	551	57%	-562	-50%
Discourtesy (D)	183	10%	81	8%	-102	-56%
Offensive Language (O)	37	2%	11	1%	-26	-70%
Total Allegations	1773		965		-808	-46%
Total Complaints	377		336		-41	-11%

**Figure 12: Total Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)**

	YTD 2021		YTD 2022		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	1126	25%	811	30%	-315	-28%
Abuse of Authority (A)	2880	64%	1585	59%	-1295	-45%
Discourtesy (D)	421	9%	240	9%	-181	-43%
Offensive Language (O)	107	2%	39	1%	-68	-64%
Total Allegations	4534		2675		-1859	-41%
Total Complaints	989		823		-166	-17%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

# CCRB Docket

As of the end of March 2022, 35% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 51% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

**Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (March 2022)**

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1108	34.9%
Cases 5-7 Months	509	16.0%
Cases 8-11 Months	547	17.2%
Cases 12-18 Months*	711	22.4%
Cases Over 18 Months**	303	9.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3178</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*12-18 Months: 10 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold.

\*\*Over18 Months: 20 cases that were reopened; 6 cases that were on DA Hold.

**Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (March 2022)**


	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	969	30.5%
Cases 5-7 Months	493	15.5%
Cases 8-11 Months	536	16.9%
Cases 12-18 Months*	800	25.2%
Cases Over 18 Months**	380	12.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3178</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*12-18 Months: 10 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold.


\*\*Over18 Months: 24 cases that were reopened; 6 cases that were on DA Hold.

*An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.*

**Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2021 - March 2022)**



**Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis**



**Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change**

	February 2022		March 2022		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1801	54%	1727	54%	-74	-4%
Pending Board Review	1500	45%	1451	45%	-49	-3%
Mediation	18	1%	20	1%	2	11%
On DA Hold	2	0%	3	0%	1	50%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3321</b>		<b>3201</b>		<b>-120</b>	<b>-4%</b>

## Body Worn Camera Footage Requests


Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

**Figure 18: Pending Requests for BWC Footage**

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	37	40.7%
30 <= Days < 60	9	9.9%
90 >= Days	45	49.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Figure 19: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests (January 2021 - March 2022)**




# Closed Cases

## Resolving Cases

In March 2022, the CCRB fully investigated 75% of the cases it closed, and resolved (fully investigated, mediated or mediation attempted) 77% of the cases it closed.

Figure 20: Case Resolutions (January 2021 - March 2022) (%)



## Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.\*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.\*\*
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Additionally, a case might be **mediated**, with the subject officer and complainant discussing the incident in the presence of a neutral third-party moderator, or closed as **mediation attempted**, the designation for a case in which both the officer and the civilian agree to mediate, but the civilian fails to appear twice for the scheduled mediation session or fails to respond to attempts to schedule a mediation session. Finally, a case that cannot be fully investigated because the CCRB was unable to obtain a sworn statement from the complainant/victim is closed as **unable to investigate**.

## Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

### 1. Substantiated

An individual had an incident with Subject Officer 1 and Subject Officer 2. At the conclusion of the incident, the individual asked for subject officer 1's business card. Subject officer 1 did not provide the individual with his business card. New York City Administrative Code § 14-174 requires an officer to offer their business card "at the conclusion of any such activity that does not result in an arrest or summons", and that in the case that an officer should not have any business cards, they must verbally provide their name, rank, shield number, and command, and "allow sufficient time for such person to record such information".

The subject officers were captured on BWC footage – it showed the individual repeatedly asking subject officer 1 for his business card and shield number. Subject officer 1 eventually provided his name and shield number to the individual but not his rank and command. Subject officer 1 permitted the individual to take a picture of his shield and told the individual that he had run out of business cards and subject officer 2 gave the individual a business card but not her actual business card. The investigation determined that although subject officer 1 verbally provided his name and shield number to the individual, he did not provide his rank and command as required by the statute and that subject officer 2 did not provide the individual with her business card. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegations.

### 2. Unable to Determine

An individual was speaking to multiple officers who arrived at her home in response to her noise complaint. The individual asked the two subject officers for their names and shield numbers, and they refused to provide it to her. The subject officers stated that they did not recall the individual asking either them or any other officer for their name and shield number at any point in the interaction. The investigation found that witness officers did not recall speaking to the individual or

any other civilian who had asked for their names or shield numbers. Without further independent evidence, the investigation could not determine if the subject officers refused to provide their names and shield numbers to the individual. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Unable to Determine.

### **3. Unfounded**

An individual stated that he arrived at his friend's apartment. The individual's ex-girlfriend called 911 and the subject officer and his partner responded. They asked the individual to leave the building. The individual refused and tried to open an outside door to enter the building. The subject officer allegedly turned around and shoved the individual. BWC footage showed that the subject officer encountered the individual in the building's courtyard, and he told the individual to exit the premises, or he would be issued a summons for trespassing. The subject officer put his right hand on the individual's left bicep and pushed him. The individual moved back and told the subject officer that he was putting his hands on him. The individual then moved to the sidewalk and stated that he was waiting for a higher ranked officer. The investigation concluded that the physical contact was minimal touching to physically guide the individual out of the courtyard and not the shoving force that the individual described. The Board closed the Use of Force allegation as Unfounded.

### **4. Within NYPD Guidelines**

An individual called 911 because her landlord had damaged her door. The subject officer and his partner responded. At the conclusion of the call, the individual asked for the subject officer's business card, and he responded that he didn't have one. The individual then asked him to write down his name and the subject officer responded that he didn't have a pen or paper. The individual then wrote down the subject officer's name after observing his nameplate. The subject officer could not recall if the individual asked for his business card or his name. The subject officer's partner stated that the individual asked for the subject officer's name and shield number and that the subject officer verbally gave her the requested information. He recalled the individual asked for the subject officer's business card and that the subject officer told her that he had run out of business cards and that the individual did not ask for alternatives. The investigation determined that both the individual and the subject officer's partner recollections support that the individual asked for the subject officer's name and that it was provided to her as requested. The Board found the subject officer's conduct to be within the Department's guidelines and closed the Abuse of Authority allegation as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

### **5. Officer Unidentified**

An individual stated that he and his girlfriend were walking down the street near a precinct when a police van passed directly beside his girlfriend. The individual noted that the van did not have lights or sirens on. The individual stated that the van slowed down after passing them and honked its horn, a male officer raising his middle finger at the individual and his girlfriend. The individual could only identify the officers as light skinned males of unknown race and was unable to provide any description of the van. The investigation found three marked police vans belonging to the nearby precinct that were present on the incident date. No officers were listed as being assigned to the vans and requests for the movement of the vehicles were returned as unavailable. The investigation was unable to identify the officer that allegedly raised his middle finger to the individual and his girlfriend. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority allegations as Officer Unidentified.


\* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

\*\* Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.




## Dispositions - Full Investigations

**Figure 21: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (March 2022)**



Due to the reconsideration process, counts are subject to change.

**Figure 22: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2022)**



Due to the reconsideration process, counts are subject to change.

## Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

**Figure 23: Disposition of Cases (2021 vs 2022)**

	Mar 2021		Mar 2022		YTD 2021		YTD 2022	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
<b>Full Investigations</b>								
Substantiated	1	33%	112	33%	19	40%	243	32%
Within NYPD Guidelines	0	0%	49	14%	5	10%	128	17%
Unfounded	0	0%	40	12%	4	8%	85	11%
Unable to Determine	2	67%	104	30%	14	29%	225	30%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	36	11%	6	12%	77	10%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>3</b>		<b>341</b>		<b>48</b>		<b>758</b>	
<b>Mediation Closures</b>	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	1	100%	7	70%	9	100%	30	42%
Mediation Attempted	0	0%	3	30%	0	0%	42	58%
<b>Total - ADR Closures</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>10</b>		<b>9</b>		<b>72</b>	
<b>Resolved Case Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>830</b>	<b>71%</b>
<b>Unable to Investigate / Other Closures</b>	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint withdrawn	24	17%	12	11%	73	18%	54	16%
Unable to Investigate	105	73%	71	67%	258	63%	224	65%
Closed - Pending Litigation	14	10%	17	16%	76	19%	53	15%
Miscellaneous	0	0%	5	5%	0	0%	12	3%
Administrative closure*	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	1	0%
<b>Total - Other Case Dispositions</b>	<b>143</b>		<b>106</b>		<b>408</b>		<b>344</b>	
<b>Total - Closed Cases</b>	<b>147</b>		<b>457</b>		<b>465</b>		<b>1174</b>	

\*Administrative closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

## Dispositions - FADO Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct. The allegation substantiation rate is 18% for the month of March 2022, and the allegation substantiation rate is 17% year-to-date.

**Figure 24: Disposition of Allegations (2021 vs 2022)**

	Mar 2021		Mar 2022		YTD 2021		YTD 2022	
<b>Fully Investigated Allegations</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Substantiated	1	6%	365	18%	44	25%	724	17%
Unable to Determine	9	53%	524	27%	43	25%	1093	26%
Unfounded	0	0%	251	13%	13	7%	497	12%
Within NYPD Guidelines	5	29%	607	31%	54	31%	1398	33%
MOS Unidentified	2	12%	228	12%	20	11%	550	13%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>17</b>		<b>1975</b>		<b>174</b>		<b>4262</b>	
<b>Mediation Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	2	100%	17	63%	16	100%	85	37%
Mediation Attempted	0	0%	10	37%	0	0%	143	63%
<b>Total - ADR Closures</b>	<b>2</b>		<b>27</b>		<b>16</b>		<b>228</b>	
<b>Unable to Investigate / Other Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	59	15%	25	7%	182	16%	104	10%
Unable to Investigate	279	70%	176	49%	659	57%	556	54%
Closed - Pending Litigation	62	16%	54	15%	298	26%	167	16%
Miscellaneous	0	0%	105	29%	12	1%	204	20%
Administrative closure	0	0%	1	0%	3	0%	1	0%
<b>Total - Other Case Dispositions</b>	<b>400</b>		<b>361</b>		<b>1154</b>		<b>1032</b>	
<b>Total - Closed Allegations</b>	<b>419</b>		<b>2667</b>		<b>1344</b>		<b>6000</b>	

**Figure 25: Disposition of Allegations By FADO Category (March 2022)**

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	33 9%	85 22%	146 38%	80 21%	42 11%	386 100%
Abuse of Authority	243 19%	349 27%	439 34%	131 10%	133 10%	1295 100%
Discourtesy	66 28%	72 31%	22 9%	33 14%	42 18%	235 100%
Offensive Language	6 15%	17 41%	0 0%	7 17%	11 27%	41 100%
Total	348 18%	523 27%	607 31%	251 13%	228 12%	1957 100%

**Figure 26: Disposition of Allegations By FADO Category (YTD 2022)**

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	99 11%	194 21%	355 38%	155 17%	136 14%	939 100%
Abuse of Authority	432 16%	731 27%	976 36%	269 10%	305 11%	2713 100%
Discourtesy	147 30%	127 26%	65 13%	59 12%	87 18%	485 100%
Offensive Language	18 19%	37 40%	2 2%	14 15%	22 24%	93 100%
Total	696 16%	1089 26%	1398 33%	497 12%	550 13%	4230 100%

## Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

Following the 2019 passage of Ballot Question #2 and the subsequent City Charter Revision, CCRB’s jurisdiction was expanded to include untruthful material statements made by police officers. As a result, CCRB added a new “Untruthful Statement” category of allegations.

There are four specific allegations in the new “Untruthful Statement” category: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

**Figure 27: Disposition of Untruthful Statement Allegations (March 2022)**

Untruthful Statement Allegation	Substantiated		Within NYPD Guidelines		Unable to Determine		Unfounded		Administrative Closure		Other	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
False official statement	7	87.5%	0	0%	1	12.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Misleading official statement	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Inaccurate official statement	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Impeding an investigation	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>94.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>


**Figure 28: Disposition of Untruthful Statement Allegations (YTD 2022)**

Untruthful Statement Allegation	Substantiated		Within NYPD Guidelines		Unable to Determine		Unfounded		Administrative Closure		Other	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
False official statement	14	77.8%	0	0%	4	22.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Misleading official statement	13	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Inaccurate official statement	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Impeding an investigation	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>

## Substantiation Rates

The March 2022 case substantiation rate was 33%.

**Figure 29: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2021 - March 2022)**




Due to the reconsideration process, counts are subject to change.

## Substantiation Rates and Video


In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

**Figure 30: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2022 - Mar 2022) (% substantiated shown)**




Due to the reconsideration process, counts are subject to change.

**Figure 31: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2022 - Mar 2022)**  
 (% substantiated shown)



Due to the reconsideration process, counts are subject to change.

**Figure 32: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints\* (2022)**



Due to the reconsideration process, counts are subject to change.

\* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline 3) Formalized Training 4) Instructions.



## Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” and “Instructions\*” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training) or training at the command level (Instructions\*).
- When the Board has recommended Instructions\*, Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

**Figure 33: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations\*\*  
(Mar 2021, Mar 2022, YTD 2021, YTD 2022)**

Disposition	March 2021		March 2022		YTD 2021		YTD 2022	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	0	0%	59	32%	4	16%	128	32%
Command Discipline B	0	0%	43	23%	2	8%	90	23%
Command Discipline A	0	0%	70	38%	7	28%	153	39%
Formalized Training	0	0%	12	7%	6	24%	24	6%
Instructions	1	100%	0	0%	6	24%	0	0%
Total	1		184		25		395	

Due to the reconsideration process, counts are subject to change.

\*With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

\*\* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days >= 11) 2. Command Discipline B (6 <= penalty days <= 10) 3. Command Discipline A (1 <= penalty days <= 5) 4. Formalized Training ( 0 < penalty days < 1)

**Figure 34: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (March 2022)**

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	FADO Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	13	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	13	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	13	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	13	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Offensive Language	Other	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Force	Physical force	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	28	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Pepper spray	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Pepper spray	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Offensive Language	Other	33	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Action	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Action	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Action	34	Manhattan

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>FADO Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Discourtesy	Action	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of summons	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat to damage/seize property	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat to damage/seize property	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Other	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx

Board Disposition	FADO Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Gun Pointed	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Gun Pointed	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Gun Pointed	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Gun Pointed	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Restricted Breathing	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>FADO Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Action	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Force	Physical force	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of summons	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Seizure of property	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Force	Physical force	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Offensive Language	Gender	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Gun Pointed	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	49	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	50	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Discourtesy	Word	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Force	Physical force	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	61	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Action	61	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	62	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>FADO Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	62	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Action	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	63	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Gun Pointed	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Gun Pointed	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to obtain medical treatment	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Gun Drawn	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	73	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>FADO Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Retaliatory summons	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Offensive Language	Other	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Inaccurate official statement	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Force	Physical force	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	76	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	76	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	77	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>FADO Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Action	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Other	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	Untruthful Statement	Misleading official statement	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	81	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Discourtesy	Word	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle stop	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Force	Physical force	94	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	101	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Offensive Language	Gender	101	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	102	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	102	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	102	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Offensive Language	Race	102	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Other	103	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	104	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	Force	Physical force	104	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	106	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Property damaged	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	Discourtesy	Word	114	Queens



<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>FADO Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	121	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Stop	122	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	122	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	122	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	Discourtesy	Word	122	Staten Island

## Unable to Investigate and Withdrawn Complaints

When the CCRB is unable to obtain a sworn statement from the complainant/alleged victim, the case is closed as unable to investigate. When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as withdrawn.

**Figure 35: Unable to Investigate and Withdrawn Allegations (March 2022)**

	Withdrawn	Unable to Investigate	Total
Untruthful Statement	0	0	0
Force	2	50	52
Abuse of Authority	19	109	128
Discourtesy	3	14	17
Offensive Language	1	3	4
<b>Total</b>	25	176	201

**Figure 36: Unable to Investigate and Withdrawn CCRB Complaints (March 2022)**

	Withdrawn	Unable to Investigate	Total
<b>Total</b>	12	71	83

**Figure 37: Unable to Investigate and Withdrawn Allegations (YTD 2022)**

	Withdrawn	Unable to Investigate	Total
Untruthful Statement	0	0	0
Force	28	162	190
Abuse of Authority	57	322	379
Discourtesy	17	54	71
Offensive Language	2	18	20
<b>Total</b>	104	556	660

**Figure 38: Unable to Investigate and Withdrawn CCRB Complaints (YTD 2022)**

	Withdrawn	Unable to Investigate	Total
<b>Total</b>	54	224	278

## Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

**Figure 39: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed**

	Mar 2021	Mar 2022	YTD 2021	YTD 2022
PSA Complaints	8	19	18	67
Total Complaints	147	457	465	1174
PSA Complaints as % of Total	5.4%	4.2%	3.9%	5.7%

A single PSA complaint may contain allegations against multiple officers assigned to multiple PSA commands. The following table breaks out the different PSAs and shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom FADO allegations have been made.

**Figure 40: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA**

	Mar 2021	Mar 2022	YTD 2021	YTD 2022
PSA 1	4	1	4	8
PSA 2	0	10	6	23
PSA 3	0	0	2	17
PSA 4	2	0	2	3
PSA 5	4	1	4	16
PSA 6	1	1	1	4
PSA 7	1	39	13	63
PSA 8	10	6	10	10
PSA 9	0	4	0	11
Total	22	62	42	155

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO type.

**Figure 41: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO Type**

	Mar 2021		Mar 2022		YTD 2021		YTD 2022	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Untruthful Statement (U)	0	0%	4	5%	0	0%	7	3%
Force (F)	16	73%	27	32%	26	55%	61	30%
Abuse of Authority (A)	6	27%	36	42%	19	40%	97	48%
Discourtesy (D)	0	0%	16	19%	2	4%	30	15%
Offensive Language (O)	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	7	3%
Total	22	100%	85	100%	47	99%	202	99%

## Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO allegation made against them.

**Figure 42: Disposition of PSA Officers (2021 vs 2022)**

	Mar 2021		Mar 2022		YTD 2021		YTD 2022	
	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
<b>Full Investigations</b>								
Substantiated	0	0%	20	47%	0	0%	38	37%
Within NYPD Guidelines	0	0%	3	7%	3	75%	20	20%
Unfounded	0	0%	3	7%	0	0%	11	11%
Unable to Determine	0	0%	15	35%	1	25%	31	30%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	2	2%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>0</b>		<b>43</b>		<b>4</b>		<b>102</b>	
<b>Mediation Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	15%
Mediation Attempted	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	85%
<b>Total - ADR Closures</b>	<b>0</b>		<b>0</b>		<b>0</b>		<b>13</b>	
<b>Resolved Case Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>74%</b>
<b>Unable to Investigate / Other Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	4	18%	0	0%	4	11%	1	3%
Unable to Investigate	18	82%	8	62%	27	71%	21	70%
Closed - Pending Litigation	0	0%	0	0%	7	18%	0	0%
Miscellaneous	0	0%	5	38%	0	0%	8	27%
Administrative closure*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>Total - Other Case Dispositions</b>	<b>22</b>		<b>13</b>		<b>38</b>		<b>30</b>	
<b>Total - Closed Cases</b>	<b>22</b>		<b>62</b>		<b>42</b>		<b>155</b>	

\*Administrative closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

# Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. “Mediation Attempted” refers to a situation in which an officer agrees to mediate and the complainant becomes unavailable (after the complainant initially agreed to mediation). The chart below indicates the number of mediations and attempted mediations in March and this year.

**Figure 43: Mediated Complaints Closed**

	March 2022			YTD 2022		
	Mediated	Mediation Attempted	Total	Mediated	Mediation Attempted	Total
Mediated Complaints	7	3	10	30	42	72

**Figure 44: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed**

	March 2022			YTD 2022		
	Mediated	Mediation Attempted	Total	Mediated	Mediation Attempted	Total
Force	0	0	0	2	19	21
Abuse of Authority	13	5	18	67	108	175
Discourtesy	4	2	6	16	11	27
Offensive Language	0	3	3	0	5	5
Untruthful Statement	0	0	0	0	0	0
OPMN	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	17	10	27	85	143	228

**Figure 45: Mediated Complaints By Borough (March 2022)**

	Mediations
	0
Bronx	0
Brooklyn	2
Manhattan	1
Queens	4
Staten Island	0

**Figure 46: Mediated Allegations By Borough (March 2022)**

	Mediations
Bronx	0
Brooklyn	5
Manhattan	4
Queens	8
Staten Island	0

**Figure 47: Mediated Complaints By Precinct  
(Mar 2022 - YTD 2022)**

Precinct	Mar 2022	YTD 2022	Precinct	Mar 2022	YTD 2022
9	0	1	68	0	1
23	1	1	69	0	1
25	0	1	71	0	1
40	0	1	75	0	1
42	0	1	83	1	1
43	0	1	84	0	1
44	0	1	90	0	1
47	0	1	103	0	2
49	0	2	108	1	1
52	0	1	109	0	2
62	1	1	113	1	3
67	0	1	114	2	2

**Figure 48: Mediated Allegations By Precinct  
(Mar 2022 - YTD 2022)**

Precinct	Mar 2022	YTD 2022	Precinct	Mar 2022	YTD 2022
9	0	2	68	0	3
23	4	4	69	0	5
25	0	9	71	0	1
40	0	2	75	0	2
42	0	1	83	3	3
43	0	3	84	0	3
44	0	1	90	0	2
47	0	3	103	0	5
49	0	12	108	1	1
52	0	2	109	0	7
62	2	2	113	2	4
67	0	3	114	5	5

# Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

**Figure 49: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures**

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Mar 2022	YTD 2022
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	0	1
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	0
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	0
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	0	0
	<b>Disciplinary Action Total</b>		<b>0</b>
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	0
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	0	0
	**Retained, without discipline	0	0
	Dismissed by APU	0	0
	SOL Expired in APU	0	0
	<b>No Disciplinary Action Total</b>		<b>0</b>
Not Adjudicated	Charges not served	0	0
	Deceased	0	0
	Other	1	1
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	0	0
	***Previously adjudicated, without discipline	0	0
	†Reconsidered by CCRB Board	0	0
	Retired	0	1
	SOL Expired prior to APU	0	0
	<b>Not Adjudicated Total</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>Total Closures</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>

\*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB.

\*\* When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges.

\*\*\* In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution.

† Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

# NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials.

The first chart reflects NYPD-imposed discipline for cases brought by the APU (Charges).

The chart on the following page reflects cases referred to the Police Commissioner where the Board recommended Command Discipline, Formalized Training or Instructions.

**Figure 50: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases**

Discipline*	March 2022	YTD 2022
Terminated	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	1
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
Command Discipline B	0	0
Command Discipline A	0	0
Formalized Training**	0	0
Instructions***	0	0
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
<b>Disciplinary Action† Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
No Disciplinary Action†	0	0
<b>Adjudicated Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Discipline Rate</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>100%</b>
Not Adjudicated† Total	1	2
<b>Total Closures</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>

\*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

\*\* Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

\*\*\* Instructions are conducted at the command level.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 49 on the previous page.



**Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases**

<b>Disposition</b>	<b>Disposition Type*</b>	<b>February 2022</b>	<b>YTD 2022</b>
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	2	2
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	1
	Command Discipline B	1	3
	Command Discipline A	16	22
	Formalized Training**	4	5
	Instructions***	0	0
	Warned & admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>33</b>
No Disciplinary Action	Not Guilty †	0	1
	Filed ††	0	0
	SOL Expired	8	8
	Department Unable to Prosecute†††	14	24
	No Finding ††††	3	3
		<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>
	<b>Discipline Rate</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>48%</b>
	<b>DUP Rate</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>35%</b>

\*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

\*\* Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

\*\*\* Instructions are conducted at the command level.

† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.

†† "Filed" is a term used when the police department is not required to take action against the subject officer because the officer has resigned or retired from the department, or has been terminated.

††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

†††† "No Finding" refers to cases which the department reports as "Administratively Closed."

**Figure 52: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (February 2022)**

Board Disposition	FADO Type	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	14	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Refusal to provide shield number	25	Manhattan	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	26	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	F	Pepper spray	28	Manhattan	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	F	Nonlethal restraining device	28	Manhattan	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	E	Race	32	Manhattan	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Vehicle search	33	Manhattan	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	A	Threat to damage/seize property	33	Manhattan	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	33	Manhattan	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	F	Physical force	34	Manhattan	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	40	Bronx	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx	No Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Forcible Removal to Hospital	41	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Forcible Removal to Hospital	41	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Stop	42	Bronx	No Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	44	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	D	Word	45	Bronx	Suspension
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	D	Word	45	Bronx	Suspension
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	F	Physical force	47	Bronx	Suspension
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	D	Word	47	Bronx	Suspension
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	47	Bronx	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	D	Action	47	Bronx	Suspension
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	E	Gender	47	Bronx	Suspension
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	70	Brooklyn	No Discipline

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>FADO Type</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	70	Brooklyn	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	F	Physical force	73	Brooklyn	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Vehicle stop	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	73	Brooklyn	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	73	Brooklyn	No Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Forcible Removal to Hospital	73	Brooklyn	No Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Forcible Removal to Hospital	73	Brooklyn	No Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	F	Physical force	75	Brooklyn	No Discipline
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	A	Stop	75	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Refusal to provide shield number	78	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	83	Brooklyn	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Refusal to provide name	84	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Refusal to provide shield number	84	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Refusal to provide shield number	84	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Obstructed Shield Number	84	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	D	Word	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	A	Frisk	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	A	Stop	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	A	Stop	90	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - B
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	F	Physical force	101	Queens	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	F	Physical force	101	Queens	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Vehicle search	101	Queens	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Vehicle search	101	Queens	No Discipline

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>FADO Type</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Seizure of property	103	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	F	Physical force	108	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	D	Word	114	Queens	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Frisk	114	Queens	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Frisk	114	Queens	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Search (of person)	114	Queens	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Search (of person)	114	Queens	No Discipline
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	A	Failure to provide RTKA card	114	Queens	No Discipline

**Figure 53: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - APU Adjudicated Cases (March 2022)**

Board Disposition	FADO Type	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
-------------------	-----------	------------	----------	---------	-----------------