## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Meeting Target				3.96
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Meeting Target			3.28	
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.32	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.56	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.40	
Trust	Meeting Target			3.36	
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.	78
		1	2 3	,	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 090 Horace Mann

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data


# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	139	160	146
Grade 1	152	145	150
Grade 2	139	142	140
Grade 3	111	137	137
Grade 4	149	117	130
Grade 5	134	153	117
All students	859	890	856

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	20%	20%	17%
% Free Lunch Eligible	78%	78%	80%
% Student with IEPs	19%	19%	20%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	8%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	52%	50%
% Temporary Housing	-	8%	7%
% Asian	33%	35%	34%
% Black	9%	8%	7%
% Hispanic	54%	53%	54%
% White	4%	4%	4%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

27Q090 P.S. 090 Horace Mann



# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Student Achievement Scoring Appendix** 

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.78									
					2	2014-15 Targets	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										-
Average Student Proficiency		367	2.59	2.15	2.38	2.51	2.65	2.88	3.57	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		367	30.0%	10.9%	21.2%	27.4%	34.5%	45.0%	3.37	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		229	61.0	50.8	57.4	63.0	66.9	76.4	2.64	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thir	ď	87	74.0	59.1	66.5	72.8	77.2	87.9	3.27	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		131	2.20	0.98	1.55	1.99	2.30	3.09	3.68	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		371	2.87	2.19	2.52	2.71	2.93	3.25	3.73	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		371	45.8%	11.6%	26.2%	35.8%	46.7%	61.3%	3.92	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		232	61.0	44.6	54.3	62.4	68.2	81.9	2.83	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thir	ď	79	74.0	55.5	64.1	71.4	76.5	88.7	3.51	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		131	3.63	0.68	1.68	2.52	3.10	4.53	4.37	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		133	95.1%	76.6%	83.2%	87.9%	93.3%	100.0%	4.27	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.56

						2	014-15 Target	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	20											
• Self-Contained	39	10.6%	52.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	32	8.7%	44.2%	15.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.97	0.030	0.030
SETSS	5	1.4%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	39	10.5%	52.2%	15.4%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> </ul>	32	8.6%	43.9%	43.8%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	5	1.3%	12.5%	80.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	59	25.8%	55.1%	33.9%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.77	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	66	28.8%	40.7%	53.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.71	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	23	10.0%	25.3%	39.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.30	0.030	0.010
SC/ICT/SETSS	51	22.3%	52.7%	47.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.23	0.030	0.017
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	62	26.7%	54.8%	37.1%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.32	0.030	0.017
Lowest Third Citywide	55	23.7%	32.6%	52.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.96	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	15	6.5%	16.9%	46.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	51	22.0%	52.7%	33.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.35	0.030	0.010
ELL Progress	135	16.5%	41.9%	66.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.06	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.22
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.78

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 27Q090 P.S. 090 Horace Mann

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
27Q090
```

P.S. 090 Horace Mann

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	2.96	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.16	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	91%	3.40	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	83.6%	3.32	
HS			
Overall	83.6%	3.32	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.19	2.45	
HS			
Overall	0.19	2.45	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	83%	3.56	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.40	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
st NVC School Surgery Truct	0001	2.25	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	89%	3.36	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

# 27Q090

#### P.S. 090 Horace Mann

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.56	3.24
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	92	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.51	3.04
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	81	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.40	2.60
ection Results:		89%					2.96
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	90	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0	0110	
Cultural awareness	Combined	93	00.0	0711	20010	0.63	3.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.59	3.36
Quality of professional development	Teachers	71	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.40	2.60
School commitment	Teachers	80	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Innovation	Teachers	83	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.43	2.72
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	93	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	91	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.58	3.32
Focus on student learning	Teachers	89	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.65	3.60
Collective responsibility	Teachers	85	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.57	3.28
ection Results:		87%					3.16
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.82	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	96				0.82	4.28
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	83	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.48	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	83				0.48	2.92
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	93	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	Tasahawa	07	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.46	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	87	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.46	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	07	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.46	2.04
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	87	0 22	80 C	100.0	0.46	2.84
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Topphare	0.2	76 5	01 5	100.0	0.69	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	93	76.5 88.4	91.5 94.8	100.0	0.68	
Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Parents Students	95	50.4	94.8 73.8	100.0 97.2	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94	JU.4	13.0	J1.2	0.72	3.88
	combined					0.72	
Section Results:		91%					3.40

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

#### 27Q090 P.S. 090 Horace Mann

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.58	3.32
Teacher influence	Teachers	60	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.49	2.96
Program coherence	Teachers	87	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.68	3.72
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	92	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section Results:		83%					3.56
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		86%					3.40
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.77	4.08
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	84	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.63	3.52
<ul> <li>Teacher-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	80	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.22	1.88
Section Results:		89%					3.36

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.59	2.52 or lower	2.53 to 2.62	2.63 to 2.70	2.71 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.08	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.16	2.17 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	30.0%	28.1% or lower	28.2% to 33.7%	33.8% to 37.8%	37.9% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.87	2.74 or lower	2.75 to 2.89	2.90 to 3.01	3.02 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.15	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.34	2.35 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	45.8%	39.3% or lower	39.4% to 46.5%	46.6% to 52.0%	52.1% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.1%	87.1% or lower	87.2% to 90.4%	90.5% to 92.8%	92.9% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2		Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.84	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.27	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
SETSS	2.18	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.27	2.28 or higher
ELL	2.25	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.48	2.49 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.23	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.71	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.69	2.70 or higher
SETSS	3.25	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.55	2.56 to 2.68	2.69 or higher
ELL	2.56	2.51 or lower	2.52 to 2.70	2.71 to 2.85	2.86 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
ELL Progress	66.7%	43.0% or lower	43.1% to 52.9%	53.0% to 60.5%	60.6% or higher

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	83.6%	77.2% or lower	77.3% to 83.0%	83.1% to 87.4%	87.5% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.19	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.29	0.30 to 0.37	0.38 or higher