2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.28	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.60	
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.16	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.	76
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2	.88	
Trust	Meeting Target				3.84
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.79	9	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	112	136	129
Grade 1	139	132	139
Grade 2	120	137	138
Grade 3	154	138	139
Grade 4	169	175	129
Grade 5	144	159	159
All students	838	877	833

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	37%	33%	31%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	95%
% Student with IEPs	15%	18%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	4%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	82%	76%
% Temporary Housing	-	34%	37%
% Asian	5%	4%	5%
% Black	18%	22%	23%
% Hispanic	75%	72%	69%
% White	2%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.79									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
• Average Student Proficiency		410	2.07	1.94	2.13	2.25	2.39	2.66	1.68	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		410	7.8%	2.6%	10.1%	15.1%	20.9%	32.4%	1.69	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		247	65.0	47.8	55.7	62.6	67.4	78.9	3.50	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thirc	1	93	74.0	60.2	68.4	75.4	80.4	92.0	2.80	9.09%
• Early Grade Progress		131	0.95	0.55	1.15	1.68	2.04	2.95	1.67	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
• Average Student Proficiency		416	2.19	1.97	2.23	2.39	2.58	2.93	1.85	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		416	13.2%	2.3%	13.2%	20.8%	29.4%	44.2%	2.00	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		266	70.5	41.1	51.2	59.9	66.0	80.7	4.31	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thirc	1	94	75.0	55.3	64.7	72.8	78.4	91.8	3.39	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		132	1.48	0.43	1.39	2.20	2.77	4.19	2.11	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		140	94.5%	76.4%	83.0%	87.8%	93.2%	100.0%	4.19	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.65

						2	014-15 Target	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		r opulation 70	of Range	School value	Taiget Nalige	laiget	laiget	Idiget	ranget hange	Wether Score	10331016	Lanieu
 Self-Contained 	28	6.8%	33.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	37	9.0%	45.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	23	5.6%	53.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	27	6.5%	32.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	37	8.9%	45.4%	2.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.38	0.030	0.003
O SETSS	23	5.5%	52.9%	4.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.65	0.030	0.005
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	101	40.9%	87.4%	41.6%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.52	0.030	0.019
Lowest Third Citywide	164	66.4%	97.0%	45.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.76	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	87	35.2%	89.1%	40.2%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.41	0.030	0.011
• SC/ICT/SETSS	53	21.5%	50.4%	34.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.93	0.030	0.007
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	119	44.7%	91.8%	50.4%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.23	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide	197	74.1%	100.0%	48.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.52	0.030	0.019
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	97	36.5%	95.1%	51.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.89	0.030	0.022
SC/ICT/SETSS	53	19.9%	46.7%	39.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.93	0.030	0.015
O ELL Progress	248	29.8%	75.6%	37.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.48	0.030	0.004
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.14
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.79

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
09X114
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	25%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	25%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	25%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.24	25%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
aborative Teachers			
	Proficient	2.40	67%
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	3.40	33%
NTC School Sulvey - Collaborative reachers	5570	4.04	55%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.16	25%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	5370	7.10	2370
EMS	68.6%	2.44	
HS	00.070		
Overall	68.6%	2.44	35%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.03	1.21	
HS			
Overall	0.03	1.21	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	86%	3.76	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.88	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
st	020/	2.04	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	3.84	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
09X114
```

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		·····		., .			
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.80	4.20
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	89	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.64	3.56
Section Results:		95%					4.24
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.93	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	97	00.0	0711	20010	0.72	3.88
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	92	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.84	4.36
School commitment	Teachers	89	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.71	3.84
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.56	3.24
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
 Peer collaboration 	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	94	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Collective responsibility	Teachers	83	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
Section Results:	reachers	93%	03.7	01.7	100.0	0.00	4.04
Safety:		00	00.0	04.0	400.0	0.00	
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.90	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	98				0.90	4.60
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	89	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.66	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	89				0.66	3.64
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	90	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.59	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90				0.59	3.36
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.87	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	96				0.81	4.24
Section Results:		95%					4.16

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
09X114
```

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.52	3.08
Teacher influence	Teachers	69	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.63	3.52
 Program coherence 	Teachers	92	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.79	4.16
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:		86%					3.76
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.77	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	71	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.30	2.20
Section Results:		83%					2.88
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	92	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.82	4.28
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.65	3.60
Section Results:		93%					3.84

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.07	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.79	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	7.8%	8.8% or lower	8.9% to 13.9%	14.0% to 17.8%	17.9% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.19	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.49	2.50 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.79	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	13.2%	13.5% or lower	13.6% to 20.7%	20.8% to 26.2%	26.3% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.5%	84.8% or lower	84.9% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.61	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.88	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
SETSS	1.85	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
ELL	1.94	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.71	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.84	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
SETSS	1.96	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.25	2.26 or higher		
ELL	2.13	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
	37.9%	42.3% or lower	42.4% to 52.3%	52.4% to 59.8%	59.9% or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	2015-16 Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	68.6%	68.4% or lower	68.5% to 75.2%	75.3% to 80.4%	80.5% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.03	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.21	0.22 or higher		