2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.16	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target				3.92
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.28
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.56
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.44	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.60
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.07	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

P.S. 119 Amersfort

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	56	25	18
Grade 1	24	58	25
Grade 2	32	44	66
Grade 3	115	75	89
Grade 4	103	122	77
Grade 5	128	98	117
All students	458	422	428

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	10%	8%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	64%	64%	64%
% Student with IEPs	15%	16%	18%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	5%	5%
% HRA Eligible	-	57%	56%
% Temporary Housing	-	9%	8%
% Asian	12%	14%	12%
% Black	77%	74%	73%
% Hispanic	10%	10%	12%
% White	1%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	1%



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.07									
					2	014-15 Targets	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		267	2.43	1.98	2.30	2.52	2.78	3.10	2.59	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		267	22.8%	0.0%	16.2%	27.8%	41.0%	57.5%	2.57	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		176	60.5	46.0	54.0	61.1	66.0	77.7	2.92	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		67	66.0	56.4	64.5	71.6	76.5	88.4	2.21	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		85	1.85	0.26	1.23	2.05	2.63	4.05	2.76	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		269	2.50	1.82	2.34	2.72	3.15	3.68	2.42	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		269	26.8%	0.0%	21.2%	36.4%	53.8%	75.6%	2.37	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		178	59.5	35.6	48.9	60.3	68.3	87.4	2.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		62	75.5	48.8	60.1	69.9	76.7	92.9	3.82	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		85	1.97	0.02	1.59	2.94	3.89	6.24	2.28	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		89	97.2%	70.9%	79.2%	85.1%	91.7%	100.0%	4.66	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.87

		2014-15 Targets										
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	4.5%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	23	8.6%	43.7%	4.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.21	0.030	0.009
o SETSS	9	3.4%	32.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	4.5%	22.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	23	8.6%	43.9%	8.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.26	0.030	0.009
• SETSS	9	3.3%	31.7%	22.2%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.47	0.030	0.026
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	30	17.0%	36.3%	40.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.37	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	58	33.0%	47.0%	44.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.72	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	13.6%	34.4%	54.2%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.86	0.030	0.022
SC/ICT/SETSS	30	17.0%	37.5%	53.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.86	0.030	0.022
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	31	17.4%	35.7%	35.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.18	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	67	37.6%	51.6%	46.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.34	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	27	15.2%	39.6%	59.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.24	0.030	0.024
SC/ICT/SETSS	30	16.9%	38.0%	50.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.88	0.030	0.022
ELL Progress	16	4.1%	10.4%	56.3%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.20
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.07

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
22K119
```

P.S. 119 Amersfort

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	98%	4.56	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	96%	4.44	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.04	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	90.2%	4.20	
HS			
Overall	90.2%	4.20	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.10	1.71	
HS			
Overall	0.10	1.71	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	93%	4.56	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.56	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	99%	4.60	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction						<u> </u>	
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.76	4.04
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	98	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		98%					4.56
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.54	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0	017.0	
Cultural awareness	Combined	94	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.65	3.60
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.56	3.24
Quality of professional development	Teachers	83	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.65	3.60
School commitment	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Innovation 	Teachers	98	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.93	4.72
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.97	4.88
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	100	68.2	89.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Collective responsibility	Teachers	98	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:	reachers	96%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.55	4.44
Safety:	Tarahara	0.0	00.0	04.6	400.0	0.00	
Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.80	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	96				0.80	4.20
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	92	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.76	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	92				0.76	4.04
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	94	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.77	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	94				0.77	4.08
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	99	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.97	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students	22	50.4	73.8	97.2	~ = -	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	96				0.74	3.96
Section Results:		95%					4.04

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	96	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.82	4.28
Teacher influence	Teachers	76	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.74	3.96
 Program coherence 	Teachers	99	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.98	4.92
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		93%					4.56
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.61	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	97				0.81	4.24
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	74	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.41	2.64
Section Results:		86%					3.44
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		99%					4.60

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
2.43	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
1.98	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
22.8%	14.0% or lower	14.1% to 19.5%	19.6% to 23.7%	23.8% or higher			
2.50	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 or higher			
2.02	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
26.8%	20.1% or lower	20.2% to 27.3%	27.4% to 32.8%	32.9% or higher			
97.2%	86.0% or lower	86.1% to 89.5%	89.6% to 92.2%	92.3% or higher			
2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
1.77	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 or higher			
2.07	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
2.02	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher			
2.44	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
1.95	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
1.89	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
1.91	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
2.11	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
2.22	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 or higher			
2.44	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.50	2.51 or higher			
2.01	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
2101							
2.02	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
	School Value 2.43 1.98 22.8% 22.50 2.02 2.6.8% 97.2% 2014-15 School Value 1.77 2.07 2.02 2.44 1.95 1.89 1.91 2.11 2.22 2.44	School Value Not Meeting Target 2.43 2.26 or lower 1.98 1.87 or lower 22.8% 14.0% or lower 2.50 2.38 or lower 2.02 1.88 or lower 202 1.88 or lower 97.2% 86.0% or lower School Value Not Meeting Target 1.77 1.74 or lower 2.07 2.01 or lower 2.07 2.01 or lower 2.07 2.01 or lower 1.77 1.74 or lower 2.02 1.90 or lower 1.91 1.90 or lower 1.89 1.87 or lower 1.91 1.93 or lower 2.11 2.15 or lower 2.22 2.06 or lower 2.44 2.15 or lower	School Value Not Meeting Target Approaching Target 2.43 2.26 or lower 2.27 to 2.37 1.98 1.87 or lower 1.88 to 2.00 22.8% 14.0% or lower 14.1% to 19.5% 2 2.50 2.38 or lower 2.39 to 2.53 2.02 1.88 or lower 1.89 to 2.05 26.8% 20.1% or lower 20.2% to 27.3% 97.2% 86.0% or lower 86.1% to 89.5% 2014-15 2015-16 School Value Not Meeting Target Approaching Target 1.77 1.74 or lower 1.75 to 1.83 2.07 2.01 or lower 2.02 to 2.12 2.02 1.90 or lower 1.91 to 2.03 2.44 1.97 or lower 1.98 to 2.10 1.95 1.90 or lower 1.91 to 1.97 1.89 1.87 or lower 1.88 to 1.94 .91 1.93 or lower 1.94 to 2.06 2.11 2.15 or lower 2.06 to 2.32 2.22 2.06 or lower 2.07 to 2.24 2.44 2.15 or lower <	School Value Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meeting Target 2.43 2.26 or lower 2.27 to 2.37 2.38 to 2.45 1.98 1.87 or lower 1.88 to 2.00 2.01 to 2.09 22.8% 14.0% or lower 14.1% to 19.5% 19.6% to 23.7% 2.50 2.38 or lower 2.39 to 2.53 2.54 to 2.65 2.02 1.88 or lower 1.89 to 2.05 2.06 to 2.18 26.8% 20.1% or lower 20.2% to 27.3% 27.4% to 32.8% 97.2% 86.0% or lower 86.1% to 89.5% 89.6% to 92.2% 2014-15 2015-16 Targets 2014-15 2015-16 Targets School Value Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meeting Target 1.77 1.74 or lower 1.75 to 1.83 1.84 to 1.90 2.007 2.01 or lower 2.02 to 2.12 2.13 to 2.20 2.02 1.90 or lower 1.91 to 2.03 2.04 to 2.12 2.04 1.97 or lower 1.98 to 2.10 2.11 to 2.21 1.95 1.90 or lower 1.91 to 1.97 1.98 to 2.00			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	90.2%	76.1% or lower	76.2% to 82.2%	82.3% to 86.8%	86.9% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.10	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher		