2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Tar	get	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.36		
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.12		
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.40		
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target				3.72	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2	.88		
Trust	Meeting Target				3.80)
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.20		
		1	2	3	2	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 096 Richard Rodgers

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	153	157	158
Grade 1	168	164	166
Grade 2	178	168	161
Grade 3	189	166	165
Grade 4	160	177	165
Grade 5	166	155	170
All students	1014	987	985

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	9%	9%	9%
% Free Lunch Eligible	71%	71%	71%
% Student with IEPs	17%	19%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	5%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	65%	63%
% Temporary Housing	-	14%	16%
% Asian	5%	5%	5%
% Black	23%	21%	23%
% Hispanic	61%	62%	61%
% White	10%	10%	10%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

11X096 P.S. 096 Richard Rodgers



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.20									
					2	2014-15 Targets	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										-
Average Student Proficiency		491	2.41	1.94	2.22	2.42	2.64	2.94	2.95	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		491	24.2%	0.0%	13.4%	23.1%	34.1%	48.2%	3.10	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		321	62.0	49.3	56.1	62.0	66.1	76.1	3.00	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		113	71.0	59.3	67.2	74.0	78.8	90.1	2.56	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		159	1.76	0.13	1.03	1.80	2.34	3.62	2.95	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		492	2.64	1.81	2.26	2.58	2.94	3.41	3.17	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		492	34.1%	0.0%	17.1%	29.3%	43.3%	61.2%	3.34	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		329	49.0	39.7	51.1	61.1	68.1	84.6	1.82	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		111	66.0	53.7	63.1	71.4	77.1	90.8	2.35	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		160	2.59	0.02	1.31	2.44	3.22	5.09	3.19	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		136	93.6%	70.8%	79.2%	85.0%	91.7%	100.0%	4.23	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.97

						2	014-15 Targets	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	42	8.6%	42.4%	4.8%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	43	8.8%	44.7%	4.7%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.36	0.030	0.010
SETSS	29	5.9%	56.2%	6.9%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.26	0.030	0.017
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	42	8.5%	42.3%	9.5%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.40	0.030	0.026
Integrated Co-Teaching	43	8.7%	44.4%	20.9%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.20	0.030	0.024
SETSS	29	5.9%	56.7%	6.9%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	2.06	0.030	0.008
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	43	13.4%	28.6%	32.6%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.64	0.030	0.012
Lowest Third Citywide	120	37.4%	53.6%	44.2%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.65	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	74	23.1%	58.5%	47.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.14	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	76	23.7%	56.7%	51.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.66	0.030	0.020
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	49	14.9%	30.6%	34.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.11	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	102	31.0%	42.6%	37.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.47	0.030	0.011
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	56	17.0%	44.3%	37.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.68	0.030	0.013
SC/ICT/SETSS	79	24.0%	58.5%	41.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.13	0.030	0.016
ELL Progress	80	8.1%	20.6%	76.3%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.23
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.20

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

11X096 P.S. 096 Richard Rodgers

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
11X096
```

P.S. 096 Richard Rodgers

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.16	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.20	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	96%	4.20	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	68.5%	2.24	
HS			
Overall	68.5%	2.24	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.49	4.56	
HS			
Overall	0.49	4.56	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	86%	3.72	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	2.88	100%
Continue Annuarchine Treast		3.00	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
t	0.57	2.00	
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	3.80	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
section nating. Meeting raiget	Jection Store.	5.00	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
11X096
P.S. 096 Richard Rodgers
```

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction						-	
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.79	4.16
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	94	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:		95%					4.16
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.82	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.66	3.64
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.86	4.44
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.66	3.64
School commitment	Teachers	96	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.89	4.56
Innovation	Teachers	93	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.77	4.08
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.86	4.44
Collective responsibility	Teachers	91	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:		94%					4.20
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.73	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	91				0.73	3.92
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.80	4.20
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	96	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.82	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	96				0.82	4.28
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.82	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		_
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.66	3.64
Section Results:		96%					4.20

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
11X096
P.S. 096 Richard Rodgers
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.41	2.64
Teacher influence	Teachers	66	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.58	3.32
 Program coherence 	Teachers	95	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	95	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.87	4.48
Section Results:		86%					3.72
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.96	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.73	3.92
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	68	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.20	1.80
Section Results:		82%					2.88
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.64	3.56
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	95	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		94%					3.80

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2014-15 2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.41	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.46	2.47 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	24.2%	15.1% or lower	15.2% to 20.6%	20.7% to 24.8%	24.9% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.64	2.42 or lower	2.43 to 2.58	2.59 to 2.69	2.70 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.96	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	34.1%	23.7% or lower	23.8% to 30.9%	31.0% to 36.4%	36.5% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.6%	85.6% or lower	85.7% to 89.2%	89.3% to 92.0%	92.1% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.84	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.80	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.98	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
SETSS	2.00	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.11	2.12 or higher			
ELL	2.11	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.99	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.47	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher			
SETSS	2.01	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher			
ELL	2.33	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.48	2.49 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	68.5%	61.6% or lower	61.7% to 68.4%	68.5% to 73.6%	73.7% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.49	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher