2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target			2.96	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.44	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target			2.92	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.32	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Not Meeting Target	1	1.92		
Trust	Approaching Target			2.96	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.7	' 9	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

P.S. 041 Francis White

2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Vindorgartan	74	52	E A
Kindergarten		-	54
Grade 1	61	57	61
Grade 2	71	65	62
Grade 3	83	67	63
Grade 4	79	86	70
Grade 5	48	59	76
Grade 6	43	47	51
Grade 7	70	44	44
Grade 8	66	76	47
All students	595	553	528

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	4%	4%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	95%	95%	96%
% Student with IEPs	27%	27%	28%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	8%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	80%	77%
% Temporary Housing	-	24%	23%
% Asian	1%	1%	1%
% Black	67%	63%	62%
% Hispanic	29%	34%	34%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	2%	1%

23K041 P.S. 041 Francis White

2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.79

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	328	2.14	1.96	2.14	2.27	2.37	2.65	2.00	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	328	8.5%	1.4%	8.5%	14.1%	18.5%	30.0%	2.00	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	255	66.0	51.6	58.1	63.7	68.0	77.1	3.53	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	95	82.0	68.0	74.2	78.8	82.5	90.1	3.86	8.20%
• Early Grade Progress	60	0.81	0.17	0.87	1.48	1.96	2.99	1.91	8.20%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	331	2.13	1.86	2.10	2.29	2.44	2.81	2.16	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	331	8.5%	0.0%	8.5%	15.6%	21.2%	35.5%	2.00	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	257	57.0	45.8	53.7	60.5	65.8	76.8	2.49	8.20%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	90	81.5	62.3	68.8	74.1	78.3	87.0	4.37	8.20%
• Early Grade Progress	60	0.94	0.03	1.02	1.86	2.53	3.98	1.92	8.20%
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	125	72.8%	58.0%	69.8%	79.6%	87.3%	100.0%	2.31	1.64%
Math	125	73.6%	54.0%	67.1%	77.7%	86.1%	100.0%	2.61	1.64%
Science	125	84.8%	51.4%	65.4%	76.6%	85.4%	100.0%	3.93	1.64%
 Social Studies 	125	18.4%	49.6%	64.1%	75.7%	84.9%	100.0%	1.00	1.64%
 Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit 	44	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	13.1%	17.8%	29.3%	1.00	3.28%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	66	79.0%	61.0%	71.0%	79.0%	86.0%	97.0%	3.00	8.20%
								Weighted Average Score	2.59

						2	2014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			-			_	-					
• Self-Contained	43	13.1%	73.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	28	8.5%	47.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• SETSS	28	8.5%	72.6%	3.6%	0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%	1.92	0.030	0.007
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	43	13.0%	73.4%	2.3%	0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%	2.17	0.030	0.009
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	8.8%	49.7%	6.9%	0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%	2.55	0.030	0.012
O SETSS	28	8.5%	73.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	27	10.6%	28.0%	48.1%	14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%	4.02	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	151	59.2%	92.4%	51.0%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	3.30	0.030	0.017
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	79	31.0%	86.4%	57.0%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%	4.03	0.030	0.023
• SC/ICT/SETSS	77	30.2%	84.3%	63.6%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	4.37	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	28	10.9%	27.6%	39.3%	13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%	3.44	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	144	56.0%	80.2%	46.5%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	3.01	0.030	0.015
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	72	28.0%	77.8%	52.8%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%	3.67	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	77	30.0%	84.5%	58.4%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	4.43	0.030	0.026
ELL Progress	24	4.6%	16.0%	54.2%	24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.20
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	2.79

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

23K041 P.S. 041 Francis White

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
23K041
```

P.S. 041 Francis White

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	2.96	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	88%	3.48	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	79%	2.04	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	57.7%	2.00	
HS			
Overall	57.7%	2.00	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.21	2.09	
HS Overall	0.21	2.09	5%
Overall	0.21	2.09	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81%	3.32	100%
NTC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	0170	5.52	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	77%	1.92	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.92	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	87%	2.96	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
Section nating. Approaching target		2.30	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

23K041 P.S. 041 Francis White

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	93	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	95	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students	93	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.63	3.52
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	68	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.08	1.32
Section Results:		87%					2.96
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	89	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.25	
Cultural awareness	Students	84	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Combined	89				0.50	3.00
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	97	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.80	4.20
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.66	3.64
School commitment	Teachers	86	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.65	3.60
Innovation	Teachers	75	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.25	2.00
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.4	95.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.66	3.64
Focus on student learning	Teachers	89	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.66	3.64
Collective responsibility	Teachers	76	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.41	2.64
Section Results:		88%					3.48
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	78	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.22	
o Safety	Combined	78				0.22	1.88
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	69	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.15	
Classroom behavior	Combined	69				0.15	1.60
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	94	88.0	96.2	100.0	0.50	3.00
• Peer interactions	Students	74	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.23	1.92
Next-level guidance	Students	88	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.47	2.88
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	86	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.28	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	86				0.28	2.12
 Personal attention and support 	Students	80	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.20	1.80
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	_	_			_	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	78	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.00	
Peer support for academic work	Students	43	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.00	
• Peer support for academic work	Combined	60				0.00	1.00
Section Results:		79%					2.04

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
23K041
P.S. 041 Francis White
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	87	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.37	2.48
Teacher influence	Teachers	56	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.44	2.76
 Program coherence 	Teachers	91	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.76	4.04
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	91	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:		81%					3.32
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	93	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	84	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.05	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	88				0.28	2.12
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	65	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.18	1.72
Section Results:		77%					1.92
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	90	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	89	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.37	2.48
 Student-teacher trust 	Students	74	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.23	1.92
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	90	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		87%					2.96

2014-15 School Quality Reports / K-8

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.14	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.27	2.28 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	8.5%	8.1% or lower	8.2% to 12.4%	12.5% to 15.6%	15.7% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.13	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.33	2.34 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.82	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	8.5%	9.0% or lower	9.1% to 14.0%	14.1% to 17.7%	17.8% or higher
Core Course Pass Rates					
ELA	72.8%	70.4% or lower	70.5% to 77.6%	77.7% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher
Math	73.6%	69.3% or lower	69.4% to 76.7%	76.8% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher
Science	84.8%	72.9% or lower	73.0% to 79.5%	79.6% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher
Social Studies	18.4%	68.2% or lower	68.3% to 75.9%	76.0% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%	9.2% or lower	9.3% to 14.2%	14.3% to 17.9%	18.0% or higher
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	79.0%	74.9% or lower	75.0% to 78.9%	79.0% to 81.9%	82.0% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Tar			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.77	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or high			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.95	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.04	2.05 or high			
SETSS	1.96	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or high			
ELL	2.16	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.17	2.18 or high			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or high			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or high			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.90	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.85	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 or high			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.07	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.11	2.12 or high			
SETSS	2.00	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.15	2.16 or high			
ELL	2.07	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 or high			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or high			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or high			
ELL Progress	54.2%	45.0% or lower	45.1% to 53.8%	53.9% to 60.2%	60.3% or high			

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Tar			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	57.7%	54.1% or lower	54.2% to 62.4%	62.5% to 69.9%	70.0% or hig			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.21	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.30	0.31 or high			

* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

23K041

Target

igher nigher nigher

arget

gher gher gher

igher

gher

igher

gher

gher

gher

igher

gher

igher

igher

arget igher

gher